# Multi-level Distance Labelings for Paths and Cycles

Daphne Der-Fen Liu \*
Department of Mathematics
California State University, Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA

Email: dliu@calstatela.edu

Xuding Zhu <sup>†</sup>
Department of Applied Mathematics
National Sun Yat-sen University
Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424

Email: zhu@ibm18.math.nsysu.edu.tw

March 6, 2003

#### Abstract

For a graph G, let  $\operatorname{diam}(G)$  denote the diameter of G. For any two vertices u and v in G, let d(u,v) denote the distance between u and v. A multi-level distance labeling (or distance labeling) for G is a function f that assigns to each vertex of G a non-negative integer such that for any vertices u and v,  $|f(u) - f(v)| \ge \operatorname{diam}(G) - d_G(u,v) + 1$ . The span of f is the largest number in f(V). The radio number of G, denoted by rn(G), is the minimum span of a distance labeling for G. This paper determines the radio numbers for paths and cycles.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS 9805945.  $^{\dagger}$ Supported in part by the National Science Council, Taiwan, under grant NSC 91-2115-M-110-003.

#### 1 Introduction

Multi-level distance labeling can be regarded as an extension of distance two labeling which is motivated by the channel assignment problem introduced by Hale [10]. For a set of given cities (or stations), the task is to assign to each city a channel, which is a non-negative integer, so that interference is prohibited, and the span of the channels assigned is minimized.

Usually, the level of interference between any two stations is closely related to the geographic locations of the stations – the closer are the stations the stronger is the interference. Suppose we consider two levels of interference, major and minor. Major interference occurs between two very close stations; to avoid it, the channels assigned to a pair of very close stations have to be at least two apart. Minor interference occurs between close stations; to avoid it, the channels assigned to close stations have to be different.

To model this problem, we construct a graph G by representing each station by a vertex and connecting two vertices by an edge if the geographical locations of the corresponding stations are *very close*. Two close stations are represented by, in the corresponding graph G, a pair of vertices that are distance two apart.

Let  $d_G(u, v)$  denote the distance (the shortest length of a path) between u and v in G (or simply d(u, v) when G is clear in the context). Thus, for a graph G, a distance two labeling (or L(2, 1)-labeling) with span k is a function,  $f: V(G) \to \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , such that the following are satisfied:

1)  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge 2$  if d(x, y) = 1; and 2)  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge 1$  if d(x, y) = 2.

Distance two labeling has been studied extensively in the past decade (cf. [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]). One of the main research focuses has been the  $\lambda$ -number for a graph G, denoted by  $\lambda(G)$ , which is the smallest span k of a distance two labeling for G.

Practically, interference among channels might go beyond two levels. We consider interference levels from 1 through the largest possible value – the diameter of G, denoted by diam(G), which is the largest distance between two vertices of G.

A multi-level distance labeling (or distance labeling for short), with span k, is a function  $f: V(G) \to \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , so that for any vertices u and v,

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \ge \operatorname{diam}(G) - d_G(u, v) + 1.$$

The radio number (as suggested by the FM radio frequency assignment [4]) for G, denoted by rn(G), is the minimum span of a distance labeling for G. Note that if diam(G) = 2, then distance two labeling coincides with multi-level distance labeling, and in this case,  $\lambda(G) = rn(G)$ .

The radio number for paths and cycles has been studied, respectively, by Chartrand et al. [4] and by Chartrand et al. [3]. In [4, 3], bounds of the radio numbers for paths and cycles, respectively, were presented.

In this article, we completely settle the radio numbers for paths and cycles. Note that, to be consistent with distance two labelings, we allow 0 to be used as a color (or channel). However, in [4, 3], only positive integers can be used as colors, so 0 is not allowed. Therefore, the radio number defined in this article is *one less* than the radio number defined in [4, 3]. Being consistent, throughout the article, we make necessary adjustments, reflecting this "one less" difference, for all the results quoted from [4, 3].

### 2 The Radio Number for Paths

Let  $P_n$  be the path on n vertices. The upper bounds for  $rn(P_n)$  are obtained by Chartrand, Erwin and Zhang [4]:

**Theorem 1** [4] For any positive integer n,

$$rn(P_n) \le \begin{cases} 2k^2 + k, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1; \\ 2(k^2 - k) + 1, & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the bound is sharp when  $n \leq 5$ .

In this section, we completely settle the radio numbers for paths. We first prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2** Let  $P_n$  be a path and f an assignment of distinctive non-negative integers to  $V(P_n)$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be the ordering of  $V(P_n)$  such that  $f(x_i) < f(x_{i+1})$ . The following three statements are equivalent.

- (1) For any  $1 \le i \le n-2$ ,  $\min\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}), d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})\} \le n/2$ .
- (2) If  $f(x_{i+1}) f(x_i) \ge n d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for any  $1 \le i \le n 1$ , then f is a distance labeling.
- (3) If  $f(x_{i+1}) f(x_i) = n d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for any  $1 \le i \le n 1$ , then f is a distance labeling.

**Proof.** Note that  $diam(P_n) = n - 1$ .

[(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)] Assume: 1) For any  $1 \le i \le n-2$ ,  $\min\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}), d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})\} \le n/2$ ; and 2)  $f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) \ge n - d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for any  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . We need to show that for any  $i, j, |f(x_i) - f(x_j)| \ge n - d(x_i, x_j)$ .

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , set

$$f_i = f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i).$$

Assume i < j. Then

$$f(x_j) - f(x_i) = f_i + f_{i+1} + \dots + f_{j-1}.$$

Assumptions 1) and 2) imply that  $f_i \ge n - d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ ,  $f_{i+1} \ge n - d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$ , and for any i,

$$\max\{f_i, f_{i+1}\} \ge n/2.$$

Thus, if  $j \ge i + 4$ , then  $f(x_j) - f(x_i) \ge n > n - d(x_i, x_j)$ , and we are done. It suffices to consider the cases that j = i + 2 or j = i + 3.

Assume j=i+2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $d(x_i,x_{i+1}) \geq d(x_{i+1},x_{i+2})$ , and hence  $d(x_{i+1},x_{i+2}) \leq n/2$ . Then  $d(x_i,x_{i+2}) \geq d(x_i,x_{i+1}) - d(x_{i+1},x_{i+2})$ . Therefore

$$f(x_{j}) - f(x_{i}) = f_{i} + f_{i+1}$$

$$\geq (n - d(x_{i}, x_{i+1})) + (n - d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}))$$

$$= 2n - 2d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) - (d(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) - d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}))$$

$$\geq n - d(x_{i}, x_{i+2}).$$

Assume j = i + 3. If the sum of any two of the distances  $d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ ,  $d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$ ,  $d(x_{i+2}, x_{i+3})$  is at most n, then  $f(x_{i+3}) - f(x_i) = f_i + f_{i+1} + f_{i+2} \ge n$ , so we are done.

Thus, we assume that the sum of any two of the distances  $d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ ,  $d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$ ,  $d(x_{i+2}, x_{i+3})$  is greater than n. This implies that

$$d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) \le n/2$$
, and  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}), d(x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}) > n/2$ .

Let  $x_i = v_a$ ,  $x_{i+1} = v_b$ ,  $x_{i+2} = v_c$ ,  $x_{i+3} = v_d$ . Let m and m' be, respectively, the maximum and the minimum of  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ . Then  $\{m, m'\} = \{a, d\}$ . For otherwise, say m' = b, then we have b < c < d, implying that  $d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) + d(x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}) \le n$ , in contrary to our assumption. Hence, one has

$$d(x_i, x_{i+3}) = d(x_i, x_{i+1}) + d(x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}) - d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) > n/2.$$

So, 
$$f(x_{i+3}) - f(x_i) = f_i + f_{i+1} + f_{i+2} > f_{i+1} \ge n/2 > n - d(x_i, x_{i+3}).$$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Trivial.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $f(x_1) = 0$ , and  $f(x_i) = f(x_{i-1}) + n - d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for all i. By (3), f is a distance labeling of  $P_n$ . Assume, to the contrary of (1), that there is an index i such that

$$\min\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}), d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})\} > n/2.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \ge d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$ . Then

$$d(x_i, x_{i+2}) = d(x_i, x_{i+1}) - d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$$

and

$$f(x_{i+2}) - f(x_i) = n - d(x_i, x_{i+1}) + n - d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$$

$$= 2n - 2(d(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})) - d(x_i, x_{i+2})$$

$$< n - d(x_i, x_{i+2}),$$

contrary to the assumption that f is a distance labeling.

**Theorem 3** For any  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$rn(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2k^2 + 2, & \text{if } n = 2k + 1; \\ 2(k^2 - k) + 1, & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Note that, for even paths, by Theorem 1, it suffices to show that  $rn(P_{2k}) \geq 2(k^2 - k) + 1$ . However, for completeness, we present a proof here without using Theorem 1.

First, we show that  $rn(P_{2k+1}) \leq 2k^2 + 2$  and  $rn(P_{2k}) \leq 2(k^2 - k) + 1$ . Assume  $P_{2k+1} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k+1})$ , where  $v_i \sim v_{i+1}$ . Order the vertices of  $P_{2k+1}$  as follows:

 $v_k, v_{k+k}, v_1, v_{1+k}, v_{1+k+k}, v_3, v_{3+k}, v_4, v_{4+k}, v_5, v_{5+k}, \cdots, v_{k-1}, v_{k-1+k}, v_2, v_{2+k}.$ 

Rename the vertices of P in the above ordering by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k+1}$ . Namely, let  $x_1 = v_k, x_2 = v_{k+k}, \dots, x_{2k+1} = v_{2+k}$ .

Let f be the mapping defined as  $f(x_1) = 0$ , and for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, 2k + 1$ ,

$$f(x_i) = f(x_{i-1}) + 2k + 1 - d(x_{i-1}, x_i).$$

It is easy to verify that the ordering and the mapping f satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2 (1), (3). Therefore f is a distance labeling of  $P_{2k+1}$ . It remains to show that  $f(x_{2k+1}) = 2k^2 + 2$ . By definition,

$$f(x_{2k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} [2k+1 - d(x_i, x_{i+1})]$$
$$= 2k(2k+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 2k^2 + 2k - 2.$$

Note that if  $x_i = v_j$ ,  $x_{i+1} = v_{j'}$  then  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = |j - j'|$ , which is equal to either j - j' or j' - j, whichever is positive. By replacing each term  $d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  with the corresponding j - j' or j' - j, whichever is positive, we obtain a summation whose entries are  $\pm j$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k+1\}$ .

For the ordering above, if  $j \leq k$ , then the vertex preceding  $v_j$  is  $v_{j'}$  for some  $j' \geq k+2$ , and the vertex following  $v_j$  is  $v_{j''}$  for some  $j'' \geq k+1$ . Therefore, for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , whenever  $\pm j$  occurs in the summation above, it occurs as a -j. Similarly, if  $k+2 \leq j \leq 2k+1$ , then whenever  $\pm j$  occurs in the summation it occurs as a +j. The number k+1 occurs once as +(k+1) and once as -(k+1). Also it is easy to see that each j occurs twice in the summation, except for each of j=k and j=k+2 occurring only once in

the summation. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 2(\sum_{j=k+2}^{2k+1} j - \sum_{j=1}^{k} j) - (k+2-k)$$
$$= 2k^2 + 2k - 2.$$

The case for even paths is similar. Order the vertices of  $P_{2k}$  as follows:

$$v_k, v_{k+k}, v_2, v_{2+k}, v_3, v_{3+k}, \cdots, v_{k-1}, v_{k-1+k}, v_1, v_{1+k}.$$

Rename the vertices so that the ordering above is  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}$ . Namely, let  $x_1 = v_k, x_2 = v_{k+k}, \dots, x_{2k} = v_{1+k}$ .

Let f be the mapping defined as  $f(x_1) = 0$ , and for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, 2k$ ,

$$f(x_i) = f(x_{i-1}) + 2k - d(x_{i-1}, x_i).$$

Then the ordering and the mapping f satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2. Therefore f is a distance labeling of  $P_{2k}$ .

Similarly, in the summation  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ , each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$  occurs twice as -j, k occurs once as a -k, each of  $j \in \{k+2, k+3, \dots, 2k\}$  occurs twice as +j, and k+1 occurs once as a +(k+1). Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 2(\sum_{j=k+2}^{2k} j - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j) + k + 1 - k$$
$$= 2k^2 - 1.$$

This implies,

$$f(x_{2k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} [2k - d(x_i, x_{i+1})]$$

$$= 2k(2k-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

$$= 4k^2 - 2k - 2k^2 + 1$$

$$= 2(k^2 - k) + 1$$

Next, we show that  $rn(P_{2k+1}) \geq 2k^2 + 2$ . Let f be a distance labeling of  $P_{2k+1}$ . Order the vertices of  $P_{2k+1}$  as  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k+1}$  such that  $f(x_i) < f(x_{i+1})$  for all i. Assume  $x_i = v_{\sigma(i)}$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k+1\}$ . We shall prove that  $f(x_{2k+1}) \geq 2k^2 + 2$ .

By definition,  $f(x_1) \ge 0$  and  $f(x_i) \ge f(x_{i-1}) + 2k + 1 - d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, 2k + 1$ . Thus

$$f(x_{2k+1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2k} [2k+1 - d(x_i, x_{i+1})]$$
$$= 2k(2k+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

If  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq 2k^2 + 2k - 2$ , then  $f(x_{2k+1}) \geq 2k^2 + 2$ , and we are done. Hence, assume  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) > 2k^2 + 2k - 2$ .

Claim. If  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) > 2k^2 + 2k - 2$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 2k^2 + 2k - 1$  and there is an index i such that  $f(x_{i+1}) = f(x_i) + n - d(x_{i+1}, x_i) + 1$ .

**Proof of Claim)** Note that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  is equal to either  $\sigma(i) - \sigma(i+1)$  or  $\sigma(i+1) - \sigma(i)$ , whichever is positive. By replacing each term  $d(x_i, x_{i+1})$  with the corresponding  $\sigma(i) - \sigma(i+1)$  or  $\sigma(i+1) - \sigma(i)$ , whichever is positive, we obtain a summation whose entries are  $\pm j$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k+1\}$ .

All together, there are 4k terms in the summation  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ , half of them are positive and half are negative. Each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k+1\}$  occurs as  $\pm j$  exactly twice in the summation, except for two j's where each occurs as  $\pm j$  only once.

To maximize the summation  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ , one needs to minimize the absolute values for the negative terms while maximize the values of the positive terms. It is easy to verify that there are two combinations achieving the maximum summation:

- Case 1) Each of the numbers in  $\{k+2, k+3, k+4, \dots, 2k+1\}$  occurs twice as a positive, each of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$  occurs twice as a negative, and each of k and k+1 occurs once as a negative.
- Case 2) Each of the numbers in  $\{k+3, k+4, \dots, 2k+1\}$  occurs twice as a positive, each of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  occurs twice as a negative, and each of k+1 and k+2 occurs once as a positive.

In both cases,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 2k^2 + 2k - 1.$$

In the first case, we must have  $\{\sigma(1), \sigma(2k+1)\} = \{k+1, k\}$ . Moreover,  $\sigma(i) \geq k+2$  if and only if  $\sigma(i+1) \leq k+1$ . In particular, if  $\sigma(i) = 1$ , then  $\sigma(i-1) \geq k+2$  and  $\sigma(i+1) \geq k+2$ . This violates (1) in Lemma 2. As f is a distance labeling, it follows from Lemma 2 (3) that there exists some i such that  $f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) \geq n - d(x_i, x_{i+1}) + 1$ .

In the second case, we must have  $\{\sigma(1), \sigma(2k+1)\} = \{k+1, k+2\}$ . Moreover,  $\sigma(i) \geq k+1$  if and only if  $\sigma(i+1) \leq k$ . In particular, if  $\sigma(i) = 2k+1$ , then  $\sigma(i-1) \leq k$  and  $\sigma(i+1) \leq k$ . Again, this violates (1) in Lemma 2, and it follows from Lemma 2 (3) that there exists some i such that  $f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) \geq n - d(x_i, x_{i+1}) + 1$ .

It follows from the Claim that if  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) > 2k^2 + 2k - 2$ , we also have  $f(x_{2k+1}) \geq 2k^2 + 2$ , completing the proof for odd paths.

We now show that  $rn(P_{2k}) \geq 2(k^2 - k) + 1$ . Let f be a distance labeling of  $P_{2k}$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}$  be the ordering of the vertices of  $P_{2k}$  such that  $f(x_i) < f(x_{i+1})$  for all i. Then

$$f(x_{2k}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} [2k - d(x_i, x_{i+1})]$$

$$= 2k(2k-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}).$$

Similarly, in the summation  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ , each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$  occurs as  $\pm j$  twice, except two j's, each of which occurs once. Moreover, 2k-1 of the terms are positive and 2k-1 of them are negative. Thus to maximize the summation subject to the constraint, each number in  $\{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$  occurs twice as negative terms, each number in  $\{k+2, k+3, \dots, 2k\}$  occurs twice as positive terms, while k and k+1 occurs once, respectively, as a negative term and a positive term. Hence, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq 2(k^2-1) + 1$ , implying  $f(x_{2k}) \geq 2k(2k-1) - 2(k^2-1) - 1 \geq 2(k^2-k) + 1$ .

## 3 The Radio Number for Cycles

Let  $C_n$  denote the cycle on n vertices. Chartrand et al. [3] proved the following bounds for  $rn(C_n)$ .

Theorem 4 [3] For  $k \geq 3$ ,

$$rn(C_n) \le \begin{cases} k^2, & \text{if } n = 2k+1; \\ k^2 - k + 1, & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $rn(C_n) \ge 3\lceil \frac{n}{2} - 1 \rceil - 1$ , for  $n \ge 6$ .

In this section, we completely determine the radio number for cycles. For any integer  $n \geq 3$ , let

$$\phi(n) = \begin{cases} k+1, & \text{if } n = 4k+1; \\ k+2, & \text{if } n = 4k+r \text{ for some } r = 0, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 5** Let  $C_n$  be the n-vertex cycle,  $n \geq 3$ . Then

$$rn(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-2}{2}\phi(n) + 1, & \text{if } n = 4k \text{ or } 4k + 2; \\ \frac{n-1}{2}\phi(n), & \text{if } n = 4k + 1 \text{ or } 4k + 3. \end{cases}$$

First we prove that the desired numbers in Theorem 5 are lower bounds for  $rn(C_n)$ . Assume  $V(C_n) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ , where  $v_i \sim v_{i+1}$  and

 $v_{n-1} \sim v_0$ . Let f be a distance labeling for  $C_n$ . We order the vertices of  $V(C_n)$  by  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$  with  $f(x_i) < f(x_{i+1})$ .

Denote  $d = \operatorname{diam}(C_n)$ . Then  $d = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . For  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ , set

$$d_i = d(x_i, x_{i+1}), f_i = f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i).$$

By definition,  $f_i \ge d - d_i + 1$  for all i.

**Lemma 6** For any  $0 \le i \le n - 2$ ,  $f_i + f_{i+1} \ge \phi(n)$ .

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that for some i,  $f_i + f_{i+1} \leq \phi(n) - 1$ . Then  $f_i, f_{i+1} \leq \phi(n) - 2$ . So, we have  $d_i \geq d - f_i + 1 \geq d - \phi(n) + 3$  and  $d_{i+1} \geq d - \phi(n) + 3$ , implying that  $d_i, d_{i+1} > d/2$ . Therefore,  $d(x_i, x_{i+2})$  is equal to either  $|d_i - d_{i+1}|$  or  $n - (d_i + d_{i+1})$ . In the former case,  $d(x_i, x_{i+2}) \leq d - (d - \phi(n) + 3) = \phi(n) - 3$ , implying that

$$f_i + f_{i+1} = f(x_{i+2}) - f(x_i) \ge d - (\phi(n) - 3) + 1 > \phi(n),$$

contrary to our assumption. If it is the latter case, we have:

$$f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) \geq d - d_i + 1,$$

$$f(x_{i+2}) - f(x_{i+1}) \geq d - d_{i+1} + 1,$$

$$f(x_{i+2}) - f(x_i) \geq d - (n - d_i - d_{i+1}) + 1.$$

Hence,  $2(f(x_{i+2})-f(x_i)) \ge 3d-n+3$ . Easy calculation shows that  $f_i+f_{i+1} = f(x_{i+2}) - f(x_i) \ge \phi(n)$ , a contradiction.

Corollary 7 For any integer  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$rn(C_n) \ge \begin{cases} \frac{n-2}{2}\phi(n) + 1, & \text{if } n = 4k \text{ or } 4k + 2; \\ \frac{n-1}{2}\phi(n), & \text{if } n = 4k + 1 \text{ or } 4k + 3. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If n = 4k or n = 4k + 2, by Lemma 6, the span of a distance labeling f for  $C_n$  is  $f(x_{n-1})$  and

$$f(x_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} f_i = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-4)/2} (f_{2i} + f_{2i+1}) + f_{n-2} \ge \frac{n-2}{2} \phi(n) + 1.$$

If n = 4k + 1 or n = 4k + 3, by Lemma 6, the span of a distance labeling f for  $C_n$  is:

$$f(x_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} f_i = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-3)/2} (f_{2i} + f_{2i+1}) \ge \frac{n-1}{2} \phi(n).$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 5, it remains to find distance labelings for  $C_n$  with spans equal to the desired numbers. We consider four cases. For each case, we present a distance labeling f of  $C_n$ , achieving the bound.

In each of the four cases, the labeling is generated by two sequences of positive integers, the *distance gap sequence* 

$$D = (d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, \cdots, d_{n-2}),$$

and the color gap sequence

$$F = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \cdots f_{n-2}).$$

Let  $\tau : \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \to \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  be defined by  $\tau(0) = 0$ , and

$$\tau(i+1) = \tau(i) + d_i \pmod{n}.$$

We will show that  $\tau$  is a permutation. Let  $x_i = v_{\tau(i)}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  is an ordering of the vertices of  $C_n$ . For the distance gap sequence, we shall let  $1 \leq d_i \leq d$  for each i. This would imply  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = d_i$ .

The labeling f is defined by  $f(x_0) = 0$ , and for  $i \ge 1$ ,

$$f(x_{i+1}) = f(x_i) + f_i.$$

Or equivalently,

$$f_i = f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i).$$

Therefore, to show that f is indeed a distance labeling, it suffices to prove that all the following hold, for any i:

- 1)  $\tau$  is a permutation,
- 2)  $f_i \ge d d_i + 1$ ,
- 3)  $f_i + f_{i+1} \ge d d(x_i, x_{i+2}) + 1$ ,
- 4)  $f_i + f_{i+1} + f_{i+2} \ge d d(x_i, x_{i+3}) + 1$ ,
- 5)  $f_i + \cdots + f_{i+3} > n$ .

For all the labelings we shall give below, 5) is trivial, 2) is obvious, 3) and 4) are easy to verify. Thus, for each labeling, we sketch a proof for 1) and leave the verifications of 2) to 5) to the readers.

Case 1. n = 4k In this case, d = 2k. The distance gap sequence  $D = (d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{n-2})$  is given by:

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 2k, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ k, & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ k+1, & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

The color gap sequence  $F = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n-2})$  is given by:

$$f_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ k+1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This implies, for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ , we have

$$\tau(4i) = 2ik + i \pmod{n}, 
\tau(4i+1) = (2i+2)k + i \pmod{n}, 
\tau(4i+2) = (2i+3)k + i \pmod{n}, 
\tau(4i+3) = (2i+1)k + i \pmod{n}.$$

We prove that  $\tau$  is a permutation. Assume to the contrary that  $\tau(4i+j) = \tau(4i'+j')$  for some  $0 \le 4i+j < 4i'+j' \le 4k-1$ . Then

$$(2i+t)k+i \equiv (2i'+t')k+i' \pmod{n}$$
 for some  $t, t' = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

This implies,  $2(i'-i)k + (t'-t)k \equiv i'-i \pmod{n}$ , which is impossible, as 0 < i'-i < k and  $2(i'-i)k + (t'-t)k \equiv sk \pmod{n}$  for some integer s.

The span of f is equal to  $f_0 + f_1 + f_3 + \cdots + f_{n-2} = (k+2)(2k-1) + 1$ .

Case 2. n = 4k + 2 In this case, d = 2k + 1. The distance gap sequence  $D = (d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{n-2})$  is defined by:

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 2k+1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ k+1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

The color gap sequence  $F = (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_{n-2})$  is defined by:

$$f_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ k+1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$ , we have

$$\tau(2i) = i(3k+2) \pmod{n}, 
\tau(2i+1) = i(3k+2) + 2k + 1 \pmod{n}.$$

We show that  $\tau$  is a permutation. Note that  $(n,k) \leq 2$  and  $3k+2 \equiv -k \pmod{n}$ . Thus,  $(i-i')(3k+2) \equiv k(i'-i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , if i-i' < n/2. This implies that  $\tau(2i) \neq \tau(2i')$  and  $\tau(2i+1) \neq \tau(2i'+1)$  if  $i \neq i'$ .

If  $\tau(2i) = \tau(2i+1)$ , then similarly, we get  $(i-i')k \equiv 2k+1 \pmod{n}$ . This is impossible, because  $(n,k) \leq 2$  and  $i-i' \leq 2k < n/2 = 2k+1$ .

The span of f is  $f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_{n-2} = 2k(k+2) + 1$ .

Case 3. n = 4k + 1 In this case, d = 2k. The distance gap sequence  $D = (d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-2})$  is defined by:

$$d_{4i} = d_{4i+2} = 2k - i, \ d_{4i+1} = d_{4i+3} = k + 1 + i.$$

The color gap sequence  $F = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-2})$  is defined by:

$$f_i = d - d_i + 1 = 2k - d_i + 1.$$

Then, the mapping  $\tau$  on the vertices of  $C_n$  has:

$$\tau(2i) = i(3k+1) \pmod{n}$$
  
=  $-ik \pmod{n}$ ,  $0 \le i \le 2k$ .

$$\tau(4i+1) = 2i(3k+1) + 2k - i \pmod{n} 
= 2(i+1)k \pmod{n}, \qquad 0 \le i \le k-1.$$

$$\tau(4i+3) = (2i+1)(3k+1) + 2k - i \pmod{n}$$
  
=  $(2i+1)k \pmod{n}$ ,  $0 \le i \le k-1$ .

We show that  $\tau$  is a permutation. Let

$$S = \{-i : 0 \le i \le 2k\} \cup \{2(i+1) : 0 \le i \le k-1\}$$
$$\cup \{2i+1 : 0 \le i \le k-1\}$$
$$= \{-2k, -(2k-1), \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2k\}.$$

Then for any  $0 \le j \le 4k$ ,  $\tau(j) = ak \pmod{n}$  for some  $a \in S$ . If  $j \ne j'$  and  $\tau(j) = ak \pmod{n}$ ,  $\tau(j') = a'k \pmod{n}$ , then  $a \ne a'$ . Moreover, since  $(n, k) = 1 \pmod{n}$ , it follows that for any  $a, a' \in S$ , if  $a \ne a'$ , then  $(a - a')k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Therefore  $\tau(j) \not\equiv \tau(j')$  if  $j \ne j'$ .

Using the fact that  $d_{2i} + d_{2i+1} = 3k + 1$  for any i, the span of f is

$$f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_{n-2} = (4k)(2k) - (d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_{n-2}) + 4k$$
$$= 8k^2 - 2k(3k+1) + 4k$$
$$= 2k(k+1).$$

Case 4. n = 4k + 3 In this case, d = 2k + 1. The distance gap sequence  $D = (d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{n-2})$  is defined by:

$$d_{4i} = d_{4i+2} = 2k + 1 - i, \ d_{4i+1} = k + 1 + i, \ d_{4i+3} = k + 2 + i.$$

The color gap sequence  $F = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-2})$  is defined by:

$$f_i = \begin{cases} d - d_i + 1 = 2k - d_i + 2, & i \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}; \\ d - d_i + 2 = 2k - d_i + 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the mapping  $\tau$  on the vertices of  $C_n$  has:

$$\tau(4i) = i(6k+5) \pmod{n} 
= 2i(k+1) \pmod{n}, \qquad 0 \le i \le k.$$

$$\tau(4i+1) = 2i(k+1) + 2k + 1 - i \pmod{n} 
= (i+1)(2k+1) \pmod{n} 
= -2(i+1)(k+1) \pmod{n}, \qquad 0 \le i \le k.$$

$$\tau(4i+2) = (i+1)(2k+1) + k + 1 + i \pmod{n}$$

$$= (i+1)(2k+2) + k \pmod{n}$$

$$= 2(i+1)(k+1) - 3(k+1) \pmod{n}$$

$$= (2i-1)(k+1) \pmod{n}, \qquad 0 \le i \le k.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(4i+3) &= 2i(k+1) + 3k + 2 + 2k + 1 - i \pmod{n} \\ &= i(2k+1) + k \pmod{n} \\ &= -i(2k+2) - 3(k+1) \pmod{n} \\ &= -(2i+3)(k+1) \pmod{n}, \qquad 0 \le i \le k-1. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that  $\tau$  is a permutation. Let

$$S = \{2i : 0 \le i \le k\} \cup \{-2(i+1) : 0 \le i \le k\}$$
$$\cup \{2i-1 : 0 \le i \le k\} \cup \{-(2i+3) : 0 \le i \le k-1\}$$
$$= \{-(2k+2), -(2k+1), \cdots, 0, 1, \cdots, 2k\}.$$

Then for any  $0 \le j \le 4k+2$ ,  $\tau(j) = a(k+1) \pmod n$  for some  $a \in S$ . If  $j \ne j'$  and  $\tau(j) = a(k+1) \pmod n$ ,  $\tau(j') = a'(k+1) \pmod n$ , then  $a \ne a'$ . Moreover, since  $(n, k+1) = 1 \pmod n$ , it follows that for any  $a, a' \in S$ , if  $a \ne a'$ , then  $(a-a')(k+1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$ . Therefore  $\tau(j) \ne \tau(j')$  if  $j \ne j'$ . So  $\tau$  is a permutation.

The span of f is

$$f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_{n-2} = 2k(4k+2) - (d_0 + d_1 + \dots + d_{n-2}) + 2(4k+2) + k$$

$$= 2k(4k+2) - [k(6k+5) + 3k+2] + 9k + 4$$

$$= (k+2)(2k+1).$$

#### References

- [1] G. Chang, C. Ke, D. Kuo, D. Liu, and R. Yeh, A generalized distance two labeling of graphs, Disc. Math., 220 (2000), 57–66.
- [2] G. Chang and D. Kuo, The L(2,1)-labeling problem on graphs, SIAM J. Disc. Math., 9 (1996), 309–316.
- [3] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, F. Harary and P. Zhang, *Radio labelings of graphs*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 33 (2001), 77–85.
- [4] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, and P. Zhang, A graph labeling problem suggested by FM channel restrictions, manuscript.
- [5] J. Georges and D. Mauro, Generalized vertex labelings with a condition at distance two, Congr. Numer., 109 (1995), 141–159.
- [6] J. Georges, D. Mauro, and M. Stein, Labeling products of complete graphs with a condition at distance two, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 14 (2001), 28– 35.
- [7] J. Georges, D. Mauro, and M. Whittlesey, On the size of graphs labeled with a condition at distance two, J. Graph Theory, 22 (1996), 47–57.
- [8] J. Georges, D. Mauro, and M. Whittlesey, Relating path covering to vertex labelings with a condition at distance two, Disc. Math., 135 (1994), 103–111.
- [9] J. R. Griggs and R. K. Yeh, Labeling graphs with a condition at distance 2, SIAM J. Disc. Math., 5 (1992), 586–595.
- [10] W. K. Hale, Frequency assignment: theory and applications, Proc. IEEE, 68 (1980), 1497–1514.

- [11] J. van den Heuvel, R. Leese, and M. Shepherd, *Graph labeling and radio channel assignment*, J. Graph Theory, 29 (1998), 263–283.
- [12] D. Liu and R. K. Yeh, On distance two labellings of graphs, Ars Comb., 47 (1997), 13–22.
- [13] D. Liu and X. Zhu, Circular distance two labeling and the  $\lambda$ -number for outerplanar graphs, manuscript, 2002.
- [14] D. Sakai, Labeling chordal graphs with a condition at distance two, SIAM J. Disc. Math., 7 (1994), 133–140.
- [15] M. Whittlesey, J. Georges, and D. Mauro, On the  $\lambda$ -number of  $Q_n$  and related graphs, SIAM J. Disc. Math., 8 (1995), 499–506.