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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with normal state space $\mathfrak{S}(M)$. For any $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, let $M_{\phi}:=\{x \in M: x \phi=\phi x\}$ be the centralizer of $\phi$ with center $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\phi}\right)$. We show that for $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, the following are equivalent. - $\phi=\psi$. - $z\left(M_{\psi}\right) \subseteq z\left(M_{\phi}\right)$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{z\left(M_{\phi}\right)}=\left.\psi\right|_{z\left(M_{\phi}\right)}$. - $\phi, \psi$ have the same distances to all the closed faces of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$.

As an application, we give an alternative proof of the fact that metric preserving surjections between normal state spaces of semi-finite von Neumann algebras are induced by Jordan *-isomorphisms between the underlying algebras. We then use it to verify some facts concerning $F$-algebras and Fourier algebras of locally compact quantum groups.


## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that any point in an $n$-dimensional simplex $\Delta_{n}$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is characterized by its vertex distances; namely, two points inside $\Delta_{n}$ have the same distances to all the vertices of $\Delta_{n}$ forces them to coincide. It is proved in an interesting paper of Geher ( [5]) that, for an $n$-dimensional real Banach space $X$ with $n \geq 3$, if for every $n$-simplex in $X$, the vertex distances do determine points in the $n$-simplex, then $X$ is a Hilbert space. In other words, points in a compact convex set $\Delta$ of a non-Hilbert Banach space may not be determined by their distances from the extreme points of $\Delta$.

It is natural to ask whether distances from closed faces will determine an element in a closed convex set. This paper concerns with such a question in the case of the normal state space $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ of a von Neumann algebra $M$. More precisely, we ask:

Question 1. Do the "facial distances" determine normal states in $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ ? More precisely, if $\phi, \psi$ are normal states of $M$, does the following hold:

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\phi, F)=\operatorname{dist}(\psi, F) \text { for every norm closed face } F \text { of } \mathfrak{S}(M) \text { implies } \phi=\psi ?
$$

We will give a positive answer to Question 1 when $M$ is semi-finite. A first step to this answer is the following result in Section 2, which seems to be an interesting fact of its own. In fact, let $\phi$ be a normal state of a semi-finite von Neumann algebra $M$. If $M_{\phi}$ is the centralizer of $\phi$ with center $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\phi}\right)$, then as shown in Proposition 4 (see also Remark 7):
$\phi$ is completely determined by $Z\left(M_{\phi}\right)$ as well as the restriction of $\phi$ to $Z\left(M_{\phi}\right)$.
With this tool, we establish our main result in Section 3, which partially answers Question 1.
Theorem 2. Suppose that $M$ is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra and $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$. If $\operatorname{dist}(\phi, F)=$ $\operatorname{dist}(\psi, F)$ for every norm-closed face $F$ of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$, then $\phi=\psi$.

[^0]As an application of Theorem 2, one can derive the following fact (see the Appendix).
Proposition 3. Any metric preserving surjection $\Phi: \mathfrak{S}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(N)$ between normal state spaces of semi-finite von Neumann algebras $M$ and $N$ is induced by a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: N \rightarrow M$, in the sense that its predual map $\Theta_{*}$ extends $\Phi$.

Using this fact, one can generalize [17, Theorem 1.2] such that the type I assumptions on the dual von Neumann algebra of the $F$-algebra $A_{1}$ and the locally compact group $G_{1}$ can be relaxed to the semi-finiteness and the unimodularity, respectively.

After we obtained the proof of Proposition 3 (but before this paper was written down completely), we found that a better form of Proposition 3 was stated in Theorem 5.11(a) of the paper [21] by Mori (see Proposition 10). Note, however, that it does not seem possible to obtain our main result (i.e., Theorem 2) from results in [21].

Using Proposition 10, one can further generalize [17, Theorem 1.2] to any $F$-algebra and locally compact quantum group without any semi-finiteness restriction. We will present in Section 4 these further generalizations (see Proposition 12 and Corollary 13).

## 2. The restriction of a normal state to the center of its centralizer

Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra with normal state space $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ and center $\mathcal{Z}(M)$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}(M)$ and $\mathcal{P}(M)$ the set of unitaries and the set of projections, respectively, in $M$. For every $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, we denote by $\mathbf{s}_{\phi} \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ the support projection of $\phi$, and we also set

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\phi}:=\{x \in M: x \phi=\phi x\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here, $(x \phi)(y):=\phi(y x)$ and $(\phi x)(y):=\phi(x y)(y \in M)$. Following [7], we call $M_{\phi}$ the centralizer of $\phi$. In the case when $\mathbf{s}_{\phi}=1$, if $\left\{\sigma_{t}^{\phi}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the modular automorphism group of $\phi$, then $M_{\phi}$ is precisely the fixed point algebra of the action $\sigma^{\phi}$ (see e.g., Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.6 in Chapter VIII of [22]).

Suppose now that $M$ is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful semi-finite trace $\tau$. We recall in the following the construction of the non-commutative $L_{1}$-space, $L_{1}(M, \tau)$, from [23]. Let $\left(\pi_{\tau}, \mathfrak{H}_{\tau}\right)$ be the GNS construction of $\tau$. We identify $M$ with $\pi_{\tau}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{L}\left(\mathfrak{H}_{\tau}\right)$. Consider $L_{0}(M, \tau)$ to be the collection of closed and densely defined operators $T$ on $\mathfrak{H}_{\tau}$ affiliated with $M$ satisfying $\tau\left(1-E_{|T|}([0, \lambda])\right)<$ $+\infty$ for large enough $\lambda$, where $E_{|T|}$ is the spectral projection measure of the absolute value $|T|$ of $T$. It is well-known that $L_{0}(M, \tau)$ is closed under adjoints. Moreover, $L_{0}(M, \tau)$ is closed under closures of the additions and the multiplications (for densely defined closed operators). Hence, $L_{0}(M, \tau)$ is a *-algebra, and the von Neumann algebra $M$ is a *-subalgebra of $L_{0}(M, \tau)$.

The trace $\tau$ extends to the cone $L_{0}^{+}(M, \tau)$ of positive self-adjoint elements in $L_{0}(M, \tau)$ as follows: $\tau(S):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau\left(S(1+\epsilon S)^{-1}\right)$ for every $S \in L_{0}^{+}(M, \tau)$ (see, e.g., [22, p.174]), and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(u S u^{*}\right)=\tau(S) \quad\left(S \in L_{0}^{+}(M, \tau), u \in \mathcal{U}(M)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subspace

$$
L_{1}(M, \tau):=\left\{T \in L_{0}(M, \tau): \tau(|T|)<\infty\right\}
$$

is a Banach space under the norm given by $\|T\|_{1}:=\tau(|T|)$, and $\tau$ induces a linear functional, again denoted by $\tau$, on $L_{1}(M, \tau)$. We denote by $L_{1}^{+}(M, \tau)$ the set of positive self-adjoint operators in $L_{1}(M, \tau)$. This set linearly spans $L_{1}(M, \tau)$. For any $S \in L_{1}(M, \tau)$ and $y \in M$, one has $S y \in L_{1}(M, \tau)$ and $|\tau(S y)| \leq \tau(|S|)\|y\|$. From this, one obtains an isometric order isomorphism from $L_{1}(M, \tau)$ onto $M_{*}$ sending $S \in L_{1}(M, \tau)$ to the element $\tau_{S} \in M_{*}$ defined by

$$
\tau_{S}(y):=\tau(S y) \quad(y \in M)
$$

Proposition 4. Suppose that $M$ is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra. If $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$ satisfying $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\psi}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\phi}\right)$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{Z\left(M_{\phi}\right)}=\left.\psi\right|_{z\left(M_{\phi}\right)}$, then $\phi=\psi$.

Proof: Let $\tau$ be a normal faithful semi-finite trace on $M$. Fix any $S \in L_{1}^{+}(M, \tau)$. If $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)$ and $x \in$ $M$, it follows from $\tau_{S}\left(u x u^{*}\right)=\tau_{S} u\left(x u^{*}\right)=u \tau_{S}\left(x u^{*}\right)=\tau_{S}(x)$ and Relation (2.2) that $\tau_{u^{*} S u}(x)=\tau_{S}(x)$. The bijectivity of the assignment $S \mapsto \tau_{S}$ from $L_{1}(M, \tau)$ onto $M_{*}$ tells us that $u^{*} S u=S$. Conversely, if $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ satisfying $u^{*} S u=S$, then Relation (2.2) implies that

$$
\tau_{S}\left(u x u^{*}\right)=\tau\left(S u x u^{*}\right)=\tau\left(u^{*} S u x\right)=\tau(S x)=\tau_{S}(x) \quad(x \in M)
$$

In other words, $u \tau_{S}=\tau_{S} u$. Therefore, the following relation is established:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}(M): u^{*} S u=S\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W^{*}(S)$ be the unital abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $M$ generated by the spectral projections of $S$. Then Relation (2.3) tells us that $M_{\tau_{S}}=W^{*}(S)^{\prime} \cap M$ which contains $W^{*}(S)$ and hence

$$
W^{*}(S)=W^{*}(S)^{\prime \prime} \cap M_{\tau_{S}} \subseteq z\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)
$$

As $S$ is affiliated with $W^{*}(S)$, it is affiliated with $Z\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)$.
Consider now $S, T \in L_{1}^{+}(M, \tau)$ such that $\phi=\tau_{S}$ and $\psi=\tau_{T}$. Then $\phi-\psi=\tau_{S-T}$. The hypothesis $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\tau_{T}}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)$ implies that both $S$ and $T$ are affiliated with $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)$, and so is the operator $R:=$ $S-T$. Moreover, it also follows from the hypothesis that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(R x)=0 \quad\left(x \in z\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $E_{R}$ the spectral projection measure of $R$ and set $e_{n}:=E_{R}([0, n]) \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $R e_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)_{+}$and the condition $\tau\left(R e_{n}\right)=0$ (see (2.4)) implies that $R e_{n}=0$ (since $\tau$ is faithful). Similarly, if $f_{n}:=E_{R}([-n, 0])(n \in \mathbb{N})$, then $-R f_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\tau_{S}}\right)_{+}$and the condition $\tau\left(-R f_{n}\right)=0$ will give $R f_{n}=0$. This means that $R\left(e_{n}+f_{n}\right)=0$. In other words,

$$
R \xi=\left(\int_{-n}^{n} \lambda d E_{R}(\lambda)\right) \xi=R\left(e_{n}+f_{n}\right) \xi=0 \quad\left(\xi \in E_{R}([-n, n]) \mathfrak{H}_{\tau} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right)
$$

Since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{R}([-n, n]) \mathfrak{H}_{\tau}$ is a core for $R$, we conclude that $R=0$, which means that $\phi=\psi$.

The following example tells us that one cannot replace the condition $\left.\phi\right|_{z\left(M_{\phi}\right)}=\left.\psi\right|_{z\left(M_{\phi}\right)}$ with $\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\psi}\right)}=\left.\psi\right|_{z\left(M_{\psi}\right)}$ in Proposition 4.
Example 5. Consider $M_{4}$ to be the von Neumann algebra of $4 \times 4$ complex matrices. Let $R$ and $S$ be diagonal elements in $M_{4}$ with their diagonals being $\left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{8}{5}\right)$, and $\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{7}{5}, \frac{9}{5}\right)$, respectively. Then

$$
\{S\}^{\prime}=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \subseteq M_{2} \oplus M_{2}=\{R\}^{\prime}
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{Z}\left(\{R\}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{C} I_{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} I_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(\{S\}^{\prime}\right)$, where $I_{2}$ is the identity of $M_{2}$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{tr}_{4}$ is the tracial state on $M_{4}$, then $\operatorname{tr}_{4}(R T)=\operatorname{tr}_{4}(S T)$, for every $T \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\{R\}^{\prime}\right)$, but certainly, $R \neq S$.

In the case of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ for a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$, we can have a slightly better version of Proposition 4 as follows.
Example 6. Let $R$ and $S$ be two compact normal operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}\left(\{S\}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(\{R\}^{\prime}\right)$.
(a) Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots$ be the set of all distinct eigenvalues of $R$ (one of the $\lambda_{k}$ could be zero) with eigenspaces $\mathfrak{K}_{1}, \mathfrak{K}_{2}, \cdots$ respectively. Consider $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots$ to be the projections (in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ ) onto the subspaces $\mathfrak{K}_{1}, \mathfrak{K}_{2}, \cdots$, respectively. Then $R=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} p_{i}$, where $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ is the cardinality of the set of eigenvalues of $R$. Moreover,

$$
\{R\}^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) p_{i} \quad \text { as well as } \quad z\left(\{R\}^{\prime}\right)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{C} p_{i}
$$

For each $T \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\{S\}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}\left(\{R\}^{\prime}\right)$, we know that $T=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nu_{i} p_{i}$ for some complex numbers $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \cdots$.
(b) Suppose, in addition, that $R$ and $S$ are trace-class operators and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(R T)=\operatorname{tr}(S T) \quad \text { for any } \quad T \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\{R\}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}$ stands for the trace on trace-class operators. Then as $S \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\{S\}^{\prime}\right)$, part (a) tells us that $S=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i} p_{i}$ for some complex numbers $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \cdots$. Moreover, (2.5) implies that $\lambda_{i}=\mu_{i}$ for all $i$. Hence, $R=S$.

Because of the above example, we may consider $\left(\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\phi}\right),\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{\phi}\right)}\right)$ as the "abstract spectral decomposition" of the normal state $\phi$. Proposition 4 tells us that the abstract spectral decomposition of $\phi$ completely determines the normal state $\phi$.

Remark 7. We would like to thank the referee for informing us that the assertion in Proposition 4 is no longer valid when $M$ is not semi-finite. In fact, Herman and Takesaki gave in the Corollary on page 156 of [8] a normal faithful state $\phi$ in a type III-factor $M$ such that $M_{\phi}=\mathbb{C} 1$. Now, if $u \in M \backslash \mathbb{C} 1$ is a non-trivial unitary, then $u \phi u^{*} \neq \phi$, but one has $M_{u \phi u^{*}}=u M_{\phi} u^{*}=\mathbb{C} 1$ as well as $\left.u \phi u^{*}\right|_{\mathbb{C}}=\left.\phi\right|_{\mathbb{C}}$.

## 3. The facial distances of a normal state

For any projection $p \in M$, we put

$$
F_{0}(p):=\{\psi \in \mathfrak{S}(M): \psi(p)=0\} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{F}_{0}(p):=\left\{f \in M_{+}^{*}:\|f\|=1 ; f(p)=0\right\}
$$

We note that $F_{0}(p)$ is a norm-closed face of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$, and any norm-closed face of $\mathfrak{S}(M)$ is of the form $F_{0}(p)$ for a unique projection $p$ (see e.g. [1, Theorem 3.35]). Moreover, $\tilde{F}_{0}(p)$ is the $\sigma\left(M^{*}, M\right)$-closure of $F_{0}(p)$. Notice that a normal state $\phi$ belongs to $F_{0}(p)$ if and only if $\mathbf{s}_{\phi} \leq 1-p$. On the other hand, $p$ belongs to $M_{\phi}$, i.e., $p \phi=\phi p$, if and only if $p \phi$ is positive, or equivalently, $p \phi=(p \phi)^{*}$.

For any nonempty subset $S \subseteq M_{+}^{*}$ and $g \in M_{+}^{*}$, we set

$$
\operatorname{dist}(g, S):=\inf \{\|g-f\|: f \in S\}
$$

Lemma 8. Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra. For any $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(M) \backslash\{0,1\}$, the following statements are equivalent.
(C1) $p \phi=\phi p$.
(C2) $\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(1-p)\right)=2 \phi(1-p)$.
(C3) There exists $\psi_{0} \in F_{0}(1-p)$ with $\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|=2 \phi(1-p)$.
(C4) There exist $\psi_{0} \in F_{0}(1-p)$ and $\chi_{0} \in F_{0}(p)$ such that $\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|+\left\|\phi-\chi_{0}\right\|=2$.
Proof. Assume that $\phi(p)=0$, i.e., $\phi \in F_{0}(p)$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives $p \phi=\phi p=0$, and Statement (C1) holds. Moreover, if we set $\chi_{0}=\phi$ and take an arbitrary element $\psi_{0} \in F_{0}(1-p)$, then $\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|=2$ (since $\mathbf{s}_{\phi} \mathbf{s}_{\psi_{0}}=0$ ) and $\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|+\left\|\phi-\chi_{0}\right\|=2$. This means that Statements (C2), (C3) and (C4) hold. Similarly, Statements (C1) - (C4) hold when $\phi(p)=1$. In the following, we consider the case when $\phi(p) \in(0,1)$.
$(\mathrm{C} 1) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{C} 4)$. If we set $\psi_{0}:=\frac{p \phi}{\phi(p)}$ and $\chi_{0}:=\frac{(1-p) \phi}{\phi(1-p)}$, then

$$
\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|=\left\|p \phi-\psi_{0}\right\|+\|(1-p) \phi\|=(1 / \phi(p)-1) \phi(p)+\phi(1-p)=2-2 \phi(p)
$$

and, similarly, $\left\|\phi-\chi_{0}\right\|=2 \phi(p)$.
$(\mathrm{C} 4) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{C} 3)$. Note that, in general,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi-\rho\| \geq|\phi(1-2 p)-\rho(1-2 p)|=|\phi(1-2 p)-\rho(2-2 p)+\rho(1)|=2-2 \phi(p) \quad\left(\rho \in F_{0}(1-p)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(because $1-2 p$ has norm one). Similarly, for any $\psi \in F_{0}(p)$, one has $\|\phi-\psi\| \geq 2 \phi(p)$. Hence, the condition $\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|+\left\|\phi-\chi_{0}\right\|=2$ implies that

$$
\left\|\phi-\psi_{0}\right\|=2-2 \phi(p) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\phi-\chi_{0}\right\|=2 \phi(p)
$$

$(\mathrm{C} 3) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{C} 2)$. This part follows from (3.1).
$(\mathrm{C} 2) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{C} 1)$. For any $f \in \tilde{F}_{0}(1-p)$, the same argument of (3.1) tells us that $\|\phi-f\| \geq 2 \phi(1-p)$. Hence, Statement (C2) implies that dist $\left(\phi, \tilde{F}_{0}(1-p)\right)=2 \phi(1-p)$. As $\tilde{F}_{0}(1-p)$ is $\sigma\left(M^{*}, M\right)$-compact and the norm on $M^{*}$ is $\sigma\left(M^{*}, M\right)$-lower semi-continuous (since it is the supremum of positive functions defined by norm one elements in $M$ ), we know that there exists $f_{1} \in \tilde{F}_{0}(1-p)$ with $\left\|\phi-f_{1}\right\|=2 \phi(1-p)$.

It follows from $f_{1}=p f_{1} p \in p M^{*} p$ that there exists $x \in p M^{* *} p$ with

$$
\|x\|=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|f_{1}-p \phi p\right\|=\left(f_{1}-p \phi p\right)(x)
$$

Since $\|x-(1-p)\|=\max \{\|x\|,\|1-p\|\}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2-2 \phi(p)=\left\|f_{1}-\phi\right\| & \geq\left|\left(f_{1}-\phi\right)(x-(1-p))\right| \\
& =\left|\left(f_{1}-p \phi p\right)(x)+\phi(1-p)\right|=\left\|f_{1}-p \phi p\right\|+1-\phi(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
1-\phi(p)=\left(f_{1}-p \phi p\right)(p) \leq\left\|f_{1}-p \phi p\right\| \leq 1-\phi(p)
$$

which means that

$$
\left\|f_{1}-p \phi p\right\|=1-\phi(p)=\left(f_{1}-p \phi p\right)(p)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-2 \phi(p)=\left\|\phi-f_{1}\right\| \geq\left(\phi-f_{1}\right)(1-2 p)=\phi(1-p)-(p \phi p)(p)+f_{1}(p)=2-2 \phi(p) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\phi-f_{1}=g_{+}-g_{-}$is the Jordan decomposition. We learn from (3.2) that

$$
\left\|\phi-f_{1}\right\|=\left(\phi-f_{1}\right)(1-p)-\left(\phi-f_{1}\right)(p) \leq g_{+}(1-p)+g_{-}(p) \leq\left\|g_{+}\right\|+\left\|g_{-}\right\|=\left\|\phi-f_{1}\right\|
$$

This forces $\left\|g_{+}\right\|=g_{+}(1-p)$ and $\left\|g_{-}\right\|=g_{-}(p)$. Consequently,

$$
\phi-f_{1}=(1-p) g_{+}(1-p)-p g_{-} p
$$

which gives $p\left(\phi-f_{1}\right)=\left(\phi-f_{1}\right) p$ and hence $p \phi=\phi p\left(\right.$ as $\left.p f_{1}=f_{1} p\right)$.

Note that we also have $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(M_{\phi}\right) \backslash\{0,1\}$ if and only if
$(\mathrm{C} 5) \operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(p)\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(1-p)\right)=2$.
In fact, it follows from Relation (3.1) that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(p)\right) \geq 2 \phi(p) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(1-p)\right) \geq 2 \phi(1-p)
$$

Thus, the Relation (C5) is equivalent to $\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(p)\right)=2 \phi(p)$ as well as $\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(1-p)\right)=2 \phi(1-p)$.
We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 2. Pick any $p \in \mathcal{P}(M) \backslash\{0,1\}$. As said in the paragraph preceding this theorem, $p \in M_{\phi}$ if and only if $\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(1-p)\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(p)\right)=2$. Thus, it follows from the hypothesis that $M_{\phi}=M_{\psi}$, and hence

$$
z\left(M_{\phi}\right)=z\left(M_{\psi}\right)
$$

On the other hand, for any $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(M_{\phi}\right) \backslash\{0,1\}$, we know from Relation (C2) that

$$
\phi(p)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\phi, F_{0}(p)\right) / 2
$$

From this, and the hypothesis, we know that $\phi(p)=\psi(p)$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(M_{\phi}\right)$. Now, the conclusion follows from Proposition 4.

## 4. Applications and related results

Theorem 2 produces the following result.
Corollary 9. If $M$ is a semi-finite von Neumann algebra and $\Lambda: \mathfrak{S}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(M)$ is a metric preserving bijection such that $\mathbf{s}_{\Lambda(\phi)}=\mathbf{s}_{\phi}$ for all $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, then $\Lambda$ is the identity map.

Proof. For any closed face $F \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(M)$, there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $F=F_{0}(p)$, and the support preserving assumption implies that $\Lambda(F)=F$. Thus, for each $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, one has

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\phi, F)=\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda(\phi), \Lambda(F))=\operatorname{dist}(\Lambda(\phi), F)
$$

Now, Theorem 2 tells us that $\phi=\Lambda(\phi)$.
Corollary 9 is an improvement of [17, Lemma 2.6] (which is itself a generalization of [16, Proposition 2.1]) in the sense that the type I assumption on $M$ is relaxed to the semi-finiteness assumption. Using this, as well as the same proof as that of [17, Theorem 1.4], one can obtain the following result in the semi-finite case, namely, Proposition 3.
Proposition 10 (Mori [21, Theorem 5.11(a)]). Suppose that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are two von Neumann algebras. If $\Phi: \mathfrak{S}\left(M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(M_{2}\right)$ is a metric preserving bijection, there exists a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: M_{2} \rightarrow$ $M_{1}$ whose predual map extends $\Phi$.

Note that the proof presented in [21, Theorem 5.11(a)] essentially referred to the discussion in Section 4 in that paper, and this makes the proof not easy to trace. We nevertheless got an alternative proof of this result in the case when $M_{1}$ is semi-finite using our Theorem 2, or more precisely Corollary 9 (see the Appendix).

Conversely, one can use Proposition 10 to obtain the following generalization of Corollary 9.
Corollary 11. If $M$ is a von Neumann algebra and $\Lambda: \mathfrak{S}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(M)$ is a metric preserving bijection such that $\mathbf{s}_{\Lambda(\phi)}=\mathbf{s}_{\phi}$ for all $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$, then $\Lambda$ is the identity map.

Proof. By Proposition 10, there is a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\Lambda=\left.\Theta_{*}\right|_{\mathfrak{S}(M)}$. The support preserving assumption of $\Lambda$ implies that $\Theta\left(\mathbf{s}_{\phi}\right)=\mathbf{s}_{\phi}$ for any $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)$. Since $\Theta$ is weak-*continuous and any element in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is the supremum of an increasing net in $\left\{\mathbf{s}_{\phi}: \phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M)\right\}$, we see that $\Theta$ restricts to the identity map on $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and hence $\Theta$ is the identity.

On the other hand, we can use Theorem 2 (in fact, Proposition 3) to give some applications to $F$ algebras as well as to Fourier algebras of locally compact quantum groups. More precisely, the type $I$ assumption in [17, Theorem 1.2] can be relaxed to semi-finiteness and unimodularity, respectively. However, the same arguments also work when Proposition 3 is replaced by its more general form, namely, Proposition 10.

Let us recall that a Banach algebra $A$ is an $F$-algebra if there is a von Neumann algebra structure on the dual space $A^{*}$ such that the identity of the von Neumann algebra $A^{*}$ is a homomorphism on $A$ (see $[6,9,14]$ ). In this case, one has

$$
\mathfrak{S}\left(A^{*}\right)=\left\{f \in A: f\left(A_{+}^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+} \text {and } f(1)=1\right\}
$$

which is closed under the multiplication of $A$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{S}\left(A^{*}\right)$ is a metric semigroup in the sense that

$$
d\left(x_{1} y, x_{2} y\right) \leq d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(y x_{1}, y x_{1}\right) \leq d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y \in \mathfrak{S}\left(A^{*}\right)\right)
$$

under the metric $d$ induced by the norm on $A^{*}$.
The measure algebra $M(S)$ of a locally compact semigroup $S$ is an $F$-algebra (see e.g., [2]). Other important examples of $F$-algebras include the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra
$B(G)$, when $G$ is a locally compact group (see $[4,12]$ ). We note that $B(G)$ is again an $F$-algebra when $G$ is only a topological group (see [15, Corollary 4.7]). More generally, for a locally compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$, the algebra $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and the algebra $C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^{*}$ are $F$-algebras (see e.g., [10]), where $C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is the universal group $C^{*}$-algebra of $\mathbb{G}$ and $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is the predual of the group von Neumann algebra, $L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, of $\mathbb{G}$. We denote by $\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ and $\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^{u}$ the canonical comultiplications on $L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $C_{0}^{u}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, respectively. We refer the readers to standard literature (e.g., [24]) for the notion of locally compact quantum groups.

Proposition 12. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be $F$-algebras. Let $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ be locally compact quantum groups.
(a) Any metric semi-group isomorphism $\Phi: \mathfrak{S}\left(A_{1}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(A_{2}^{*}\right)$ extends to an isometric algebra isomorphism from $A_{1}$ onto $A_{2}$.
(b) If $\Phi: \mathfrak{S}\left(L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})\right)$ is a metric semi-group isomorphism, then there is a map $\Theta$ : $L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, which is either $a^{*}$-isomorphism or an ${ }^{*}$-anti-isomorphism satisfying $\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \circ \Theta=$ $(\Theta \otimes \Theta) \circ \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}}$ and $\Phi(\omega)(b)=\omega(\Theta(b)) \quad\left(b \in L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}), \omega \in \mathfrak{S}\left(L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})\right)\right)$.
(c) If $\Phi: \mathfrak{S}\left(C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^{* *}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})^{* *}\right)$ is a metric semi-group isomorphism, then there is a map $\Theta$ : $C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}) \rightarrow C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, which is either $a^{*}$-isomorphism or an ${ }^{*}$-anti-isomorphism satisfying $\Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}^{u} \circ \Theta=(\Theta \otimes$ $\Theta) \circ \Delta_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}}^{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\Phi(f)(y)=f(\Theta(y)) \quad\left(y \in C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}), f \in \mathfrak{S}\left(C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^{* *}\right)\right)$.

Proof. (a) By Proposition 10, there is a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: A_{2}^{*} \rightarrow A_{1}^{*}$ (which is automatically weak-*-continuous) such that $\Phi=\left.\Theta_{*}\right|_{\mathfrak{S}\left(A_{1}^{*}\right)}$. Thus, $\Phi$ extends to an isometric linear bijection $\bar{\Phi}$ from $A_{1}$ onto $A_{2}$. If $\phi, \psi \in A_{1}^{+} \backslash\{0\}$, then $\phi / \phi(1), \psi / \psi(1) \in \mathfrak{S}\left(A_{1}^{*}\right)$, and we have

$$
\Phi((\phi / \phi(1))(\psi / \psi(1)))=\Phi(\phi / \phi(1)) \Phi(\psi / \psi(1))
$$

(because $\Phi$ is a semi-group homomorphism), which gives $\bar{\Phi}(\phi \psi)=\bar{\Phi}(\phi) \bar{\Phi}(\psi)$. Now, as $A_{1}$ is a linear span of $A_{1}^{+}$, we know that $\bar{\Phi}$ is an algebra isomorphism.
(b) By part (a), the map $\Phi$ can be extended to a Banach algebra isomorphism from $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ to $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})$. The conclusions then follow from [3, Theorem 3.16]. Notice that the element $u \in L^{\infty}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ as in the statement of [3, Theorem 3.16] is not needed here because the extension of $\Phi$ will send the positive part $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_{+}$ of $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ to $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}})_{+}$.
(c) With the same argument for part (b), but utilizing [3, Theorem 4.5] instead of [3, Theorem 3.16], one obtains the desired assertion.

Recall that if $\mathbb{G}$ is an ordinary locally compact group, denoted by $G$, then $L^{1}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $C_{0}^{\mathrm{u}}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})^{*}$ coincide, respectively, with the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ of $G$. The following is a direct consequence of parts (b) and (c) of Proposition 12.

Corollary 13. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two locally compact groups. If there is a metric semi-group isomorphism $\Psi: \mathfrak{S}\left(A\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(A\left(G_{2}\right)^{*}\right) \quad\left(\right.$ or $\Psi: \mathfrak{S}\left(B\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(B\left(G_{2}\right)^{*}\right)$ ), then there exists either a homeomorphic group isomorphism or a homeomorphic group anti-isomorphism $\Theta: G_{2} \rightarrow G_{1}$ such that $\Psi(f)=f \circ \Theta$.

Notice that the corresponding statements of the above for $L^{1}(G)$ and $M(G)$ also hold. In this case, one obtains a better conclusion that there is a homeomorphic group isomorphism inducing the given metric semi-group isomorphism (i.e. the group anti-isomorphism case is not there; see [16, Theorem 2.4]).

On the other hand, we also have a result for the case of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras of general topological groups. Let $G$ be a topological group and let $B(G)$ be the associated Fourier-Stieltjes algebra. Let $\sigma_{u}(B(G))$ be the unitary spectrum of $B(G)$ consisting of nonzero multiplicative linear functionals of $B(G)$, which are also the unitary elements in the von Neumann algebra $B(G)^{*}$. In the weak*-topology,
$\sigma_{u}(B(G))$ is a topological group (see [13, Proposition 5.4]). Clearly, the set $\Delta(G)=\left\{\delta_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ of point masses is a subgroup of $\sigma_{u}(B(G))$. One has $\sigma_{u}(B(G))=\Delta(G) \cong G$ as topological groups whenever $G$ is locally compact. See [13] for more details.

Corollary 14. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two topological groups. Suppose there is a metric semi-group isomorphism $\Psi: \mathfrak{S}\left(B\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(B\left(G_{2}\right)^{*}\right)$. Then there is a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: B\left(G_{2}\right)^{*} \rightarrow B\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}$ such that its predual map $\Theta_{*}$ extending $\Psi$. Moreover, $\Theta$ restricts to either a homeomorphic group isomorphism or a homeomorphic group anti-isomorphism from $\sigma_{u}\left(B\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ onto $\sigma_{u}\left(B\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$.

Proof. By Proposition 10, $\Psi$ can be extended to a positive isometric isomorphism $\bar{\Psi}: B\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow B\left(G_{2}\right)$. By [11, Theorem 4.5], there exists a unital Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: B\left(G_{2}\right)^{*} \rightarrow B\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}$ between the von Neumann algebras, whose predual map is precisely $\bar{\Psi}$. The last assertion then follows from [13, Theorem $5.8(\mathrm{~d})]$ and its proof (notice that the unitary $v \in B\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}$ as in the proof of [13, Theorem $\left.5.8(\mathrm{~d})\right]$ is the identity element, because $\bar{\Psi}^{*}=\Theta$ will send the identity of $B\left(G_{2}\right)^{*}$ to the identity of $\left.B\left(G_{1}\right)^{*}\right)$.

Let us end this paper with one more question. Recall that the predual of a von Neumann algebra $M$ can be regarded as the non-commutative $L_{1}(M)$-space. On top of Question 1 (which is still open in the non-semi-finite case), we also ask the following question concerning non-commutative $L_{p}$-spaces.

Question 15. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two von Neumann algebras and $p \in(1, \infty)$. Assume there is a metric preserving map $\Phi: L_{p}\left(M_{1}\right)_{+}^{\mathrm{sp}} \rightarrow L_{p}\left(M_{2}\right)_{+}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ between the positive parts of the unit spheres of the associated non-commutative $L_{p}$-spaces. Does there exist a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $\Theta: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ that induces $\Phi$ ?

Some progress on related questions can be found in [18-20].

## Appendix A. The proof of Proposition 3 using Theorem 2

The aim of this appendix is to give an idea on how to obtain Proposition 3 from Corollary 9 (which itself is a consequence of Thereom 2). For this, let us recall the following results from [17, Lemma 2.4] and [17, Theorem 1.4].

Proposition 16 ([17]). Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be von Neumann algebras.
(a) Suppose that $M_{1}$ does not have a type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ summand. Suppose also that the only metric preserving bijection $\Lambda: \mathfrak{S}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(M)$ satisfying $\mathbf{s}_{\Lambda(\phi)}=\mathbf{s}_{\phi}(\phi \in \mathfrak{S}(M))$ is the identity map. Then any metric preserving bijection from $\mathfrak{S}\left(M_{1}\right)$ onto $\mathfrak{S}\left(M_{2}\right)$ is an affine map.
(b) If $M_{1}$ is of type I , then any metric preserving bijection from $\mathfrak{S}\left(M_{1}\right)$ onto $\mathfrak{S}\left(M_{2}\right)$ is an affine map.

The idea of the proof of Proposition 3 goes as follow. For $k=1,2$, let $\mathrm{e}_{k} \in M_{k}$ be the central projection such that $\mathrm{e}_{k} M_{k}$ is the type $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ part of $M_{k}$. As in the proof of [17, Theorem 1.4], $\Phi$ can be decomposed into the direct sum of the following two metric preserving bijections:

$$
\Phi^{\prime}: \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathrm{e}_{1} M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(\mathrm{e}_{2} M_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi^{\prime \prime}: \mathfrak{S}\left(\left(1-\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\left(\left(1-\mathrm{e}_{2}\right) M_{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 16(b) tells us that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is affine. Moreover, as $\left(1-\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) M_{1}$ is semi-finite, we know from Corollary 9 and Proposition 16 (a) that $\Phi^{\prime \prime}$ is also affine. By [11, Theorem 4.5], there exist Jordan *-isomorphisms $\Theta^{\prime}: \mathrm{e}_{2} M_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{1} M_{1}$ and $\Theta^{\prime \prime}:\left(1-\mathrm{e}_{2}\right) M_{2} \rightarrow\left(1-\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) M_{1}$ such that the restrictions of their predual maps on $\mathfrak{S}\left(\mathrm{e}_{1} M_{1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{S}\left(\left(1-\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) M_{1}\right)$ are precisely $\Phi^{\prime}$ and $\Phi^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. Let $\Theta:=\Theta^{\prime} \oplus \Theta^{\prime \prime}$ and $\Psi:=\left.\Theta_{*}\right|_{\mathfrak{S}\left(M_{1}\right)}$. As in the proof of [17, Theorem 1.4], one can show that $\Lambda:=\Psi^{-1} \circ \Phi$ satisfies the requirement of Corollary 9, and hence we have $\Phi=\Psi$ as claimed.
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