

# On a notion of closeness of groups

Chi-Wai Leung 梁子威 (CUHK), Chi-Keung Ng 吳志強  
(Nankai) and Ngai-Ching Wong 黃毅青\*

Department of Applied Mathematics  
National Sun Yat-sen University  
Kaohsiung, 80424, Taiwan.

台灣 · 中山大學 · 應用數學系  
wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw

<http://www.math.nsysu.edu.tw/~wong>

# To be or not to be, ...

the question is how to say two groups  $G, H$  are **close**, or not close.

**Question.** Is an apple close to a mango?

We need to put  $G, H$  into the same context to compare!

# To be or not to be, ...

the question is how to say two groups  $G, H$  are **close**, or not close.

**Question.** Is an apple close to a mango?

We need to put  $G, H$  into the same context to compare!

# To be or not to be, ...

the question is how to say two groups  $G, H$  are **close**, or not close.

**Question.** Is an apple close to a mango?

We need to put  $G, H$  into the same context to compare!

# To be or not to be, ...

the question is how to say two groups  $G, H$  are **close**, or not close.

**Question.** Is an apple close to a mango?

We need to put  $G, H$  into the same context to compare!

# Unitary representations of groups

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  the group of unitary operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

$\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{C}^n \implies U(\mathfrak{H}) = SU(n)$ , the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices.

Call  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  a (unitary) representation of a group  $G$  if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$  is an injective group homomorphism.

Note that there is a metric structure on  $U(\mathfrak{H})$ , and thus so is  $\varphi(G)$ .

# Unitary representations of groups

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  the group of unitary operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

$\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{C}^n \implies U(\mathfrak{H}) = SU(n)$ , the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices.

Call  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  a (unitary) representation of a group  $G$  if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$  is an injective group homomorphism.

Note that there is a metric structure on  $U(\mathfrak{H})$ , and thus so is  $\varphi(G)$ .

# Unitary representations of groups

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  the group of unitary operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

$\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{C}^n \implies U(\mathfrak{H}) = SU(n)$ , the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices.

Call  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  a (unitary) representation of a group  $G$  if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$  is an injective group homomorphism.

Note that there is a metric structure on  $U(\mathfrak{H})$ , and thus so is  $\varphi(G)$ .



# Unitary representations of groups

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  the group of unitary operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

$\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{C}^n \implies U(\mathfrak{H}) = SU(n)$ , the group of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices.

Call  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  a (unitary) representation of a group  $G$  if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$  is an injective group homomorphism.

Note that there is a metric structure on  $U(\mathfrak{H})$ , and thus so is  $\varphi(G)$ .

# Distance between groups

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  be a representation of  $G$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  be a representation of  $H$ .

The distance between  $\varphi(G)$  and  $\psi(H)$  in  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  is

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) := \max \left\{ \sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\|, \sup_{h \in H} \inf_{g \in G} \|\psi(h) - \varphi(g)\| \right\}.$$

The distance between two groups  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$d(G, H) := \inf_{\varphi, \psi} d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H).$$

## Distance between groups

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  be a representation of  $G$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  be a representation of  $H$ .

The distance between  $\varphi(G)$  and  $\psi(H)$  in  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  is

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) := \max \left\{ \sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\|, \sup_{h \in H} \inf_{g \in G} \|\psi(h) - \varphi(g)\| \right\}.$$

The **distance** between two groups  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$d(G, H) := \inf_{\varphi, \psi} d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H).$$

## Distance between groups

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  be a representation of  $G$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  be a representation of  $H$ .

The distance between  $\varphi(G)$  and  $\psi(H)$  in  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  is

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) := \max \left\{ \sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\|, \sup_{h \in H} \inf_{g \in G} \|\psi(h) - \varphi(g)\| \right\}.$$

The **distance** between two groups  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$d(G, H) := \inf_{\varphi, \psi} d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H).$$

# Distance between groups

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi)$  be a representation of  $G$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  be a representation of  $H$ .

The distance between  $\varphi(G)$  and  $\psi(H)$  in  $U(\mathfrak{H})$  is

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) := \max \left\{ \sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\|, \sup_{h \in H} \inf_{g \in G} \|\psi(h) - \varphi(g)\| \right\}.$$

The **distance** between two groups  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$d(G, H) := \inf_{\varphi, \psi} d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H).$$

1.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\| \leq \delta.$$

2.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) \leq \delta.$$

In other words,  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  if

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}$$

(resp.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(H) \subseteq \phi(G) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})})$$

for some representations  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  of  $G, H$ .

1.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\| \leq \delta.$$

2.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) \leq \delta.$$

In other words,  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  if

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}$$

(resp.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(H) \subseteq \phi(G) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})})$$

for some representations  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  of  $G, H$ .

1.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\| \leq \delta.$$

2.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) \leq \delta.$$

In other words,  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  if

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}$$

(resp.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(H) \subseteq \phi(G) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})})$$

for some representations  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  of  $G, H$ .



1.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{g \in G} \inf_{h \in H} \|\varphi(g) - \psi(h)\| \leq \delta.$$

2.  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$  if  $\exists (\mathfrak{H}, \phi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  s.t.

$$d_{(\varphi, \psi)}(G, H) \leq \delta.$$

In other words,  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  if

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}$$

(resp.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(H) \subseteq \phi(G) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})})$$

for some representations  $(\mathfrak{H}, \varphi), (\mathfrak{H}, \psi)$  of  $G, H$ .

$G$  is **asymptotically contained in** (resp., **asymptotically close to**)  $H$  if it is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.,  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  for each  $\delta > 0$ .

Thus,  $G$  is asymptotically close to  $H \Leftrightarrow d(G, H) = 0$ .

**Question.** So what?

$G$  is **asymptotically contained in** (resp., **asymptotically close to**)  $H$  if it is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.,  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  for each  $\delta > 0$ .

Thus,  $G$  is asymptotically close to  $H \Leftrightarrow d(G, H) = 0$ .

**Question.** So what?

$G$  is **asymptotically contained in** (resp., **asymptotically close to**)  $H$  if it is  $\delta$ -contained in (resp.,  $\delta$ -close to)  $H$  for each  $\delta > 0$ .

Thus,  $G$  is asymptotically close to  $H \Leftrightarrow d(G, H) = 0$ .

**Question.** So what?

## A good example

(a)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not  $\delta$ -contained in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  if  $0 < \delta < 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .

In fact, if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $u, v \in U(\mathfrak{H}) \setminus \{1\}$  with  $u^2 = 1$  and  $v^3 = 1$ , then

$$\|1 - u\| = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|1 - v\| = \sqrt{3}.$$

Thus,

$$\|u - v\| \geq \|1 - u\| - \|1 - v\| \geq 2 - \sqrt{3}.$$

## A good example

(a)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not  $\delta$ -contained in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  if  $0 < \delta < 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .

In fact, if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $u, v \in U(\mathfrak{H}) \setminus \{1\}$  with  $u^2 = 1$  and  $v^3 = 1$ , then

$$\|1 - u\| = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|1 - v\| = \sqrt{3}.$$

Thus,

$$\|u - v\| \geq \|1 - u\| - \|1 - v\| \geq 2 - \sqrt{3}.$$

## A bad example

(b) Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  be an irrational number.

Then

$$\theta : n \mapsto e^{2nt\pi i}$$

is an injective group homomorphism

from  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{T} := U(\mathbb{C})$  ( $= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ )

with dense range.

Therefore,  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are asymptotically close to each other.

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable but  $\mathbb{T}$  is not.

## A bad example

(b) Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  be an irrational number.

Then

$$\theta : n \mapsto e^{2nt\pi i}$$

is an injective group homomorphism

from  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{T} := U(\mathbb{C})$  ( $= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ )

with dense range.

Therefore,  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are asymptotically close to each other.

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable but  $\mathbb{T}$  is not.



## A bad example

(b) Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  be an irrational number.

Then

$$\theta : n \mapsto e^{2nt\pi i}$$

is an injective group homomorphism

from  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{T} := U(\mathbb{C})$  ( $= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ )

with dense range.

Therefore,  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are asymptotically close to each other.

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable but  $\mathbb{T}$  is not.

## An ugly example

(c) Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of relatively prime numbers.

Consider the canonical injective representation from  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$(\bar{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{2k_j \pi i / n_j}.$$

we see that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  is asymptotically contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the case when  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  list all prime numbers, the image of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This means that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is asymptotically close to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  have a single generator but the minimal number of generators of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is infinite.

## An ugly example

(c) Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of relatively prime numbers. Consider the canonical injective representation from  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$(\bar{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{2k_j \pi i / n_j}.$$

we see that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  is asymptotically contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the case when  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  list all prime numbers, the image of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This means that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is asymptotically close to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  have a single generator but the minimal number of generators of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is infinite.

## An ugly example

(c) Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of relatively prime numbers. Consider the canonical injective representation from  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$(\bar{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{2k_j \pi i / n_j}.$$

we see that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  is asymptotically contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the case when  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  list all prime numbers, the image of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This means that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is asymptotically close to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  have a single generator but the minimal number of generators of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is infinite.

## An ugly example

(c) Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of relatively prime numbers. Consider the canonical injective representation from  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$(\bar{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{2k_j \pi i / n_j}.$$

we see that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  is asymptotically contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the case when  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  list all prime numbers, the image of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This means that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is asymptotically close to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  have a single generator but the minimal number of generators of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is infinite.

## An ugly example

(c) Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of relatively prime numbers. Consider the canonical injective representation from  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$(\bar{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{2k_j \pi i / n_j}.$$

we see that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  is asymptotically contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the case when  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  list all prime numbers, the image of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This means that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is asymptotically close to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  have a single generator but the minimal number of generators of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is infinite.

## An ugly example

(c) Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of relatively prime numbers. Consider the canonical injective representation from  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$(\bar{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{2k_j \pi i / n_j}.$$

we see that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$  is asymptotically contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the case when  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  list all prime numbers, the image of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

This means that  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is asymptotically close to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  have a single generator but the minimal number of generators of  $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$  is infinite.

## Another bad example

(d) Let  $D := \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$  and  $T := \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{3^k}$ .

Then both of them can be considered as dense subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$  and hence they are asymptotically close to each other.

Note that all elements in both  $D$  and  $T$  are of finite order, but the order of any element in  $D$  is a power of 2 while the order of any element in  $T$  is a power of 3.



## Another bad example

(d) Let  $D := \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$  and  $T := \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{3^k}$ .

Then both of them can be considered as dense subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$  and hence they are asymptotically close to each other.

Note that all elements in both  $D$  and  $T$  are of finite order, but the order of any element in  $D$  is a power of 2 while the order of any element in  $T$  is a power of 3.

## Another bad example

(d) Let  $D := \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$  and  $T := \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_{3^k}$ .

Then both of them can be considered as dense subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$  and hence they are asymptotically close to each other.

Note that all elements in both  $D$  and  $T$  are of finite order, but the order of any element in  $D$  is a power of 2 while the order of any element in  $T$  is a power of 3.

## Some positive results

**Theorem 1.** Any close enough finite abelian groups  $G, H$  are isomorphic.

In fact, if  $G, H$  are  $k$ -bounded abelian group, then

$$G, H \text{ are group isomorphic} \iff d(G, H) = 0.$$

Recall that a group  $H$  is called  $k$ -bounded for some integer  $k \geq 2$  if the order  $o(t) \leq k$ , for all  $t \in H$ .

## Some positive results

**Theorem 1.** Any close enough finite abelian groups  $G, H$  are isomorphic.

In fact, if  $G, H$  are  $k$ -bounded abelian group, then

$$G, H \text{ are group isomorphic} \iff d(G, H) = 0.$$

Recall that a group  $H$  is called  $k$ -bounded for some integer  $k \geq 2$  if the order  $o(t) \leq k$ , for all  $t \in H$ .

## Some positive results

**Theorem 1.** Any close enough finite abelian groups  $G, H$  are isomorphic.

In fact, if  $G, H$  are  $k$ -bounded abelian group, then

$$G, H \text{ are group isomorphic} \iff d(G, H) = 0.$$

Recall that a group  $H$  is called  **$k$ -bounded** for some integer  $k \geq 2$  if the order  $o(t) \leq k$ , for all  $t \in H$ .

## Proof.

First, we note that for any representation  $(\psi, \mathfrak{H})$  of an  $k$ -bounded group  $H$  and any distinct  $r, s \in H$ ,

$$\|\psi(r) - \psi(s)\| \geq 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{k}.$$

The assertion follows from the following inequalities.

$$\|\psi(r) - \psi(s)\| = r_\sigma(1 - \psi(r^{-1}s)) \geq |1 - e^{2\pi i / o(r^{-1}s)}| \geq 2 \sin(\pi/k),$$

where  $r_\sigma(\cdot)$  is the spectral radius.

## Proof.

First, we note that for any representation  $(\psi, \mathfrak{H})$  of an  $k$ -bounded group  $H$  and any distinct  $r, s \in H$ ,

$$\|\psi(r) - \psi(s)\| \geq 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{k}.$$

The assertion follows from the following inequalities.

$$\|\psi(r) - \psi(s)\| = r_\sigma(1 - \psi(r^{-1}s)) \geq |1 - e^{2\pi i / o(r^{-1}s)}| \geq 2 \sin(\pi/k),$$

where  $r_\sigma(\cdot)$  is the spectral radius.

Now, assume  $d(G, H) = 0$ , and  $0 < \delta < \sin \frac{\pi}{k}$ .

$G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$

$\implies$

$\exists$  representations  $(\phi, \mathfrak{H}), (\psi, \mathfrak{H})$  of  $G, H$  s.t.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}.$$

$\exists$  bijection  $\theta : G \rightarrow H$  with

$$\|\phi(r) - \psi(\theta(r))\| < \delta, \quad \forall r \in G.$$

Furthermore,  $\theta$  preserves orders of elements, i.e.,

$$o(\theta(r)) = o(r), \quad \forall r \in G.$$



Now, assume  $d(G, H) = 0$ , and  $0 < \delta < \sin \frac{\pi}{k}$ .

$G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$

$\implies$

$\exists$  representations  $(\phi, \mathfrak{H}), (\psi, \mathfrak{H})$  of  $G, H$  s.t.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}.$$

$\exists$  **bijection**  $\theta : G \rightarrow H$  with

$$\|\phi(r) - \psi(\theta(r))\| < \delta, \quad \forall r \in G.$$

Furthermore,  $\theta$  preserves orders of elements, i.e.,

$$o(\theta(r)) = o(r), \quad \forall r \in G.$$

Now, assume  $d(G, H) = 0$ , and  $0 < \delta < \sin \frac{\pi}{k}$ .

$G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$

$\implies$

$\exists$  representations  $(\phi, \mathfrak{H}), (\psi, \mathfrak{H})$  of  $G, H$  s.t.

$$\phi(G) \subseteq \psi(H) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})}.$$

$\exists$  **bijection**  $\theta : G \rightarrow H$  with

$$\|\phi(r) - \psi(\theta(r))\| < \delta, \quad \forall r \in G.$$

Furthermore,  $\theta$  preserves orders of elements, i.e.,

$$o(\theta(r)) = o(r), \quad \forall r \in G.$$

Now suppose that the common order of  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}.$$

Then we can write  $G$  and  $H$  as direct sums of their Sylow subgroups

$$G = G(p_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(p_k) \quad \text{and} \quad H = H(p_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H(p_k).$$

Since  $\theta$  preserves order,  $\theta$  maps bijectively from the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $G(p_i)$  onto the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $H(p_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Thus, one can assume that  $n = p^r$ .

Now suppose that the common order of  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}.$$

Then we can write  $G$  and  $H$  as direct sums of their Sylow subgroups

$$G = G(p_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(p_k) \quad \text{and} \quad H = H(p_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H(p_k).$$

Since  $\theta$  preserves order,  $\theta$  maps bijectively from the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $G(p_i)$  onto the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $H(p_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Thus, one can assume that  $n = p^r$ .

Now suppose that the common order of  $G$  and  $H$  is

$$n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}.$$

Then we can write  $G$  and  $H$  as direct sums of their Sylow subgroups

$$G = G(p_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(p_k) \quad \text{and} \quad H = H(p_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H(p_k).$$

Since  $\theta$  preserves order,  $\theta$  maps bijectively from the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $G(p_i)$  onto the Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $H(p_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Thus, one can assume that  $n = p^r$ .

In this case,  $G = \bigoplus_{k=1}^i \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_k}}$  and  $H = \bigoplus_{l=1}^j \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_l}}$ , where

$$m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_i \text{ and } n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_j.$$

It is clear that  $m_i = n_j$ .

By using some counting arguments, we will see  $m_{i-1} = n_{j-1}$ .

Inductively, one has  $i = j$  and  $m_k = n_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, i$ ).

In this case,  $G = \bigoplus_{k=1}^i \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_k}}$  and  $H = \bigoplus_{l=1}^j \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_l}}$ , where

$$m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_i \text{ and } n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_j.$$

It is clear that  $m_i = n_j$ .

By using some counting arguments, we will see  $m_{i-1} = n_{j-1}$ .

Inductively, one has  $i = j$  and  $m_k = n_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, i$ ).

In this case,  $G = \bigoplus_{k=1}^i \mathbb{Z}_{p^{m_k}}$  and  $H = \bigoplus_{l=1}^j \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n_l}}$ , where

$$m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_i \text{ and } n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_j.$$

It is clear that  $m_i = n_j$ .

By using some counting arguments, we will see  $m_{i-1} = n_{j-1}$ .

Inductively, one has  $i = j$  and  $m_k = n_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, i$ ).



## Theorem 2.

Suppose that  $k \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , and  $G$  and  $H$  are two groups such that  $H$  is  $k$ -bounded. Take any  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{k})$ .

1. If  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $H$ .
2. If  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$ , then they are isomorphic.

## Theorem 2.

Suppose that  $k \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , and  $G$  and  $H$  are two groups such that  $H$  is  $k$ -bounded. Take any  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{k})$ .

1. If  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $H$ .
2. If  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$ , then they are isomorphic.

## Theorem 2.

Suppose that  $k \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , and  $G$  and  $H$  are two groups such that  $H$  is  $k$ -bounded. Take any  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{k})$ .

1. If  $G$  is  $\delta$ -contained in  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $H$ .
2. If  $G$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $H$ , then they are isomorphic.

(a) A subset  $E \subseteq G$  is **asymptotically included** in another  $F \subseteq G$  if  $\forall \delta > 0, \exists(\mathfrak{N}, \phi)$  with

$$\phi(E) \subseteq \phi(F) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N})}.$$

(b)  $G$  is **asymptotically abelian** if  $C_G := \{s^{-1}r^{-1}sr : r, s \in G\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{e\}$ .

(c)  $G$  is **pairwise asymptotically abelian** if  $\{s^{-1}r^{-1}sr\}$  asymptotically included in  $\{e\}, \forall r, s \in G$ .

(a) A subset  $E \subseteq G$  is **asymptotically included** in another  $F \subseteq G$  if  $\forall \delta > 0, \exists(\mathfrak{N}, \phi)$  with

$$\phi(E) \subseteq \phi(F) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N})}.$$

(b)  $G$  is **asymptotically abelian** if  $C_G := \{s^{-1}r^{-1}sr : r, s \in G\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{e\}$ .

(c)  $G$  is **pairwise asymptotically abelian** if  $\{s^{-1}r^{-1}sr\}$  asymptotically included in  $\{e\}, \forall r, s \in G$ .

(a) A subset  $E \subseteq G$  is **asymptotically included** in another  $F \subseteq G$  if  $\forall \delta > 0, \exists(\mathfrak{N}, \phi)$  with

$$\phi(E) \subseteq \phi(F) + \delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{N})}.$$

(b)  $G$  is **asymptotically abelian** if  $C_G := \{s^{-1}r^{-1}sr : r, s \in G\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{e\}$ .

(c)  $G$  is **pairwise asymptotically abelian** if  $\{s^{-1}r^{-1}sr\}$  asymptotically included in  $\{e\}, \forall r, s \in G$ .

### Theorem 3.

1. If  $r, s \in G$  have finite orders and  $\{r\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{s\}$ , then  $o(r) = o(s)$ .
2. If every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is pairwise asymptotically abelian, then  $G$  is abelian.
3. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  is asymptotically abelian.
4. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  does not contain any finite non-abelian subgroup.

### Theorem 3.

1. If  $r, s \in G$  have finite orders and  $\{r\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{s\}$ , then  $o(r) = o(s)$ .
2. If every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is pairwise asymptotically abelian, then  $G$  is abelian.
3. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  is asymptotically abelian.
4. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  does not contain any finite non-abelian subgroup.



### Theorem 3.

1. If  $r, s \in G$  have finite orders and  $\{r\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{s\}$ , then  $o(r) = o(s)$ .
2. If every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is pairwise asymptotically abelian, then  $G$  is abelian.
3. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  is asymptotically abelian.
4. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  does not contain any finite non-abelian subgroup.

### Theorem 3.

1. If  $r, s \in G$  have finite orders and  $\{r\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{s\}$ , then  $o(r) = o(s)$ .
2. If every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is pairwise asymptotically abelian, then  $G$  is abelian.
3. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  is asymptotically abelian.
4. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  does not contain any finite non-abelian subgroup.

### Theorem 3.

1. If  $r, s \in G$  have finite orders and  $\{r\}$  is asymptotically included in  $\{s\}$ , then  $o(r) = o(s)$ .
2. If every element in  $G$  has a finite order and  $G$  is pairwise asymptotically abelian, then  $G$  is abelian.
3. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  is asymptotically abelian.
4. If  $G$  is asymptotically contained in an abelian group, then  $G$  does not contain any finite non-abelian subgroup.

If  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are groups in a class  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $G_i$  is asymptotically close to  $G_{i+1}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , then we say that  $G_1$  and  $G_n$  are **asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{G}$** .

When  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of all groups, we simply say that  $G_1$  and  $G_n$  are *asymptotically equivalent*.

If  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are groups in a class  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $G_i$  is asymptotically close to  $G_{i+1}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , then we say that  $G_1$  and  $G_n$  are **asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{G}$** .

When  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of all groups, we simply say that  $G_1$  and  $G_n$  are *asymptotically equivalent*.

## Theorem 4.

1. If  $G$  and  $H$  are asymptotically equivalent inside the class of groups whose elements are all of finite orders, then  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $H$  is abelian.

Note that  $G, H$  need not be isomorphic (see Example (d)).

2. If  $G$  is  $k$ -bounded and is asymptotically equivalent to  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .
3. If two groups are asymptotically equivalent, then either they are both finite and isomorphic or they are both infinite.
4. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of all finitely generated infinite abelian groups. Any two elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  are asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Theorem 4.

1. If  $G$  and  $H$  are asymptotically equivalent inside the class of groups whose elements are all of finite orders, then  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $H$  is abelian.

Note that  $G, H$  need not be isomorphic (see Example (d)).

2. If  $G$  is  $k$ -bounded and is asymptotically equivalent to  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .
3. If two groups are asymptotically equivalent, then either they are both finite and isomorphic or they are both infinite.
4. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of all finitely generated infinite abelian groups. Any two elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  are asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Theorem 4.

1. If  $G$  and  $H$  are asymptotically equivalent inside the class of groups whose elements are all of finite orders, then  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $H$  is abelian.

Note that  $G, H$  need not be isomorphic (see Example (d)).

2. If  $G$  is  $k$ -bounded and is asymptotically equivalent to  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .
3. If two groups are asymptotically equivalent, then either they are both finite and isomorphic or they are both infinite.
4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of all finitely generated infinite abelian groups. Any two elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  are asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{H}$ .



## Theorem 4.

1. If  $G$  and  $H$  are asymptotically equivalent inside the class of groups whose elements are all of finite orders, then  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $H$  is abelian.

Note that  $G, H$  need not be isomorphic (see Example (d)).

2. If  $G$  is  $k$ -bounded and is asymptotically equivalent to  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .
3. If two groups are asymptotically equivalent, then either they are both finite and isomorphic or they are both infinite.
4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of all finitely generated infinite abelian groups. Any two elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  are asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem 4.

1. If  $G$  and  $H$  are asymptotically equivalent inside the class of groups whose elements are all of finite orders, then  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $H$  is abelian.

Note that  $G, H$  need not be isomorphic (see Example (d)).

2. If  $G$  is  $k$ -bounded and is asymptotically equivalent to  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .
3. If two groups are asymptotically equivalent, then either they are both finite and isomorphic or they are both infinite.
4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of all finitely generated infinite abelian groups. Any two elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  are asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem 4.

1. If  $G$  and  $H$  are asymptotically equivalent inside the class of groups whose elements are all of finite orders, then  $G$  is abelian if and only if  $H$  is abelian.

Note that  $G, H$  need not be isomorphic (see Example (d)).

2. If  $G$  is  $k$ -bounded and is asymptotically equivalent to  $H$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .
3. If two groups are asymptotically equivalent, then either they are both finite and isomorphic or they are both infinite.
4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of all finitely generated infinite abelian groups. Any two elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  are asymptotically equivalent inside  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Results presented here can be found from

*Chi-Wai Leung, Chi-Keung Ng and Ngai-Ching Wong, On a notion of closeness of groups, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7(1) (2016), 24–32.*

Please visit

<http://www.math.nsysu.edu.tw/~wong>

to explore more of Wong.

Thank you!

Results presented here can be found from

*Chi-Wai Leung, Chi-Keung Ng and Ngai-Ching Wong, On a notion of closeness of groups, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7(1) (2016), 24–32.*

Please visit

<http://www.math.nsysu.edu.tw/~wong>

to explore more of Wong.

# Thank you!