

Free Hilbert Transforms

Tao Mei

Baylor University

AHA 2018 Kaohsiung

Based mainly on a joint work with Eric Ricard

June 25, 2018

Commutative case

Consider

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}) = L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

Commutative case

Consider

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}) = L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

Given a symbol $m \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, the Fourier multiplier

$$M_m : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k c_k e^{ik\theta}$$

has a norm $\|m\|_{\ell^\infty}$ on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Commutative case

Consider

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}) = L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

Given a symbol $m \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, the Fourier multiplier

$$M_m : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k c_k e^{ik\theta}$$

has a norm $\|m\|_{\ell^\infty}$ on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

The L^p -boundedness of M_m usually involves real-analysis for $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$.

Commutative case

Consider

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}) = L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

Given a symbol $m \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, the Fourier multiplier

$$M_m : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k c_k e^{ik\theta}$$

has a norm $\|m\|_{\ell^\infty}$ on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

The L^p -boundedness of M_m usually involves real-analysis for $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$.

The Hilbert transform H is the linear map sending

$$x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto H(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} -i \operatorname{sign}(k) c_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

Commutative case

Consider

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}) = L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

Given a symbol $m \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, the Fourier multiplier

$$M_m : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k c_k e^{ik\theta}$$

has a norm $\|m\|_{\ell^\infty}$ on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

The L^p -boundedness of M_m usually involves real-analysis for $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$.

The Hilbert transform H is the linear map sending

$$x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto H(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} -i \operatorname{sign}(k) c_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

$$\|Hx\|_p \lesssim \frac{p^2}{p-1} \|x\|_p,$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

Commutative case

Consider

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}) = L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

Given a symbol $m \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, the Fourier multiplier

$$M_m : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k c_k e^{ik\theta}$$

has a norm $\|m\|_{\ell^\infty}$ on $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

The L^p -boundedness of M_m usually involves real-analysis for $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$.

The Hilbert transform H is the linear map sending

$$x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ik\theta} \mapsto H(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} -i \operatorname{sign}(k) c_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

$$\|Hx\|_p \lesssim \frac{p^2}{p-1} \|x\|_p,$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark $\int_{\mathbb{T}} x = \hat{x}(0) = c_0$ and $\|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |x|^p = \widehat{|x|^p}(0)$.

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

\mathbb{F}_n : group of n free generators, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.

$\lambda_s : \delta_t \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n) \mapsto \delta_{st} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n)$

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

\mathbb{F}_n : group of n free generators, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.

$\lambda_s : \delta_t \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n) \mapsto \delta_{st} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n)$

Given $x = \sum_s c_s \lambda_s$, set

$\tau x = c_e$;

$$L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_n) = \{x; \|x\|_{L^p} = (\tau|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$$

$$\|x\|_{B(\ell_2)} = \sup_{1 < p < \infty} \|x\|_{L^p}$$

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

\mathbb{F}_n : group of n free generators, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.

$\lambda_s : \delta_t \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n) \mapsto \delta_{st} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n)$

Given $x = \sum_s c_s \lambda_s$, set

$\tau x = c_e$;

$$L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n) = \{x; \|x\|_{L^p} = (\tau|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$$

$$\|x\|_{B(\ell_2)} = \sup_{1 < p < \infty} \|x\|_{L^p}$$

Given $m \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{C})$, when does

$$\|T_m : \sum_s c_s \lambda_s \mapsto \sum_s m(s) c_s \lambda_s\|_{L^p \mapsto L^p} < \infty?$$

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

\mathbb{F}_n : group of n free generators, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.

$\lambda_s : \delta_t \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n) \mapsto \delta_{st} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{F}_n)$

Given $x = \sum_s c_s \lambda_s$, set

$\tau x = c_e$;

$$L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n) = \{x; \|x\|_{L^p} = (\tau|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$$
$$\|x\|_{B(\ell_2)} = \sup_{1 < p < \infty} \|x\|_{L^p}$$

Given $m \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{C})$, when does

$$\|T_m : \sum_s c_s \lambda_s \mapsto \sum_s m(s) c_s \lambda_s\|_{L^p \mapsto L^p} < \infty?$$

- $p = 2$; $\|T_m\| = \|m\|_{\ell_\infty}$.
- $p = 1, \infty$; iff $m(s^{-1}t) = \langle Q(s), R(t) \rangle_H$ with

$$\sup_{s,t} \|Q(s)\|_H \|R(t)\|_H < C.$$

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

- $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$; radial multipliers, e.g.

$$m(s) = |s|^i$$

Bożejko, Haagerup, Pytlik-Szwarc,..... Junge-Le Merdy-Xu,
Junge-Mei.....

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

- $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$; radial multipliers, e.g.

$$m(s) = |s|^i$$

Bożejko, Haagerup, Pytlik-Szwarc,..... Junge-Le Merdy-Xu,
Junge-Mei.....

- Non-radial multipliers ?

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

- $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$; radial multipliers, e.g.

$$m(s) = |s|^i$$

Bożejko, Haagerup, Pytlik-Szwarc,..... Junge-Le Merdy-Xu,
Junge-Mei.....

- Non-radial multipliers ?

An Example

Let $m = \chi_{\mathbb{F}_2^+}$, with $\mathbb{F}_2^+ = \{s = g_1^{k_1} g_2^{k_2} g_1^{k_3} \dots \in \mathbb{F}_2; k_1 > 0\}$

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{F}_2^+} \lambda_s.$$

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

- $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$; radial multipliers, e.g.

$$m(s) = |s|^i$$

Bożejko, Haagerup, Pytlik-Szwarc,..... Junge-Le Merdy-Xu,
Junge-Mei.....

- Non-radial multipliers ?

An Example

Let $m = \chi_{\mathbb{F}_2^+}$, with $\mathbb{F}_2^+ = \{s = g_1^{k_1} g_2^{k_2} g_1^{k_3} \dots \in \mathbb{F}_2; k_1 > 0\}$

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{F}_2^+} \lambda_s.$$

Pimsner/Voiculescu (1982),

Biane/Pisier (2000); Boundedness of T_m on $L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2)$?

Fourier Multipliers on Free groups

- $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$; radial multipliers, e.g.

$$m(s) = |s|^i$$

Bożejko, Haagerup, Pytlik-Szwarc,..... Junge-Le Merdy-Xu,
Junge-Mei.....

- Non-radial multipliers ?

An Example

Let $m = \chi_{\mathbb{F}_2^+}$, with $\mathbb{F}_2^+ = \{s = g_1^{k_1} g_2^{k_2} g_1^{k_3} \dots \in \mathbb{F}_2; k_i > 0\}$

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{F}_2^+} \lambda_s.$$

Pimsner/Voiculescu (1982),

Biane/Pisier (2000); Boundedness of T_m on $L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_2)$?

Ozawa (2010), asked again

Free Hilbert transforms–Main Result

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Free Hilbert transforms–Main Result

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x = \sum_g c_g \lambda_g \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

Free Hilbert transforms–Main Result

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x = \sum_g c_g \lambda_g \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

Corollary

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |L_j x|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |(L_j x)^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)},$$

for $p \geq 2$, applying **Lust-Piquard/Pisier's** non commutative Khintchine's inequality.

Convergence of the Tail of Fourier Sums on \mathbb{F}_n .

For $h = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots$, $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$, $k_j \neq 0$,

$$|h| = \sum_j |k_j|.$$

Let $P_r^\perp(x) = \sum_{|g| \geq r} c_g \lambda_g$,

Convergence of the Tail of Fourier Sums on \mathbb{F}_n .

For $h = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots$, $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$, $k_j \neq 0$,

$$|h| = \sum_j |k_j|.$$

Let $P_r^\perp(x) = \sum_{|g| \geq r} c_g \lambda_g$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|P_r^\perp x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} = 0?$$

Convergence of the Tail of Fourier Sums on \mathbb{F}_n .

For $h = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots$, $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$, $k_j \neq 0$,

$$|h| = \sum_j |k_j|.$$

Let $P_r^\perp(x) = \sum_{|g| \geq r} c_g \lambda_g$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|P_r^\perp x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} = 0?$$

No! for $p \geq 3$ (Bożejko/Fendler).

Convergence of the Tail of Fourier Sums on \mathbb{F}_n .

For $h = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots$, $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$, $k_j \neq 0$,

$$|h| = \sum_j |k_j|.$$

Let $P_r^\perp(x) = \sum_{|g| \geq r} c_g \lambda_g$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|P_r^\perp x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} = 0?$$

No! for $p \geq 3$ (Bożejko/Fendler).

Let

$$L_h(x) = \sum_{g \geq h} c_g \lambda_g,$$

Convergence of the Tail of Fourier Sums on \mathbb{F}_n .

For $h = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots$, $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$, $k_j \neq 0$,

$$|h| = \sum_j |k_j|.$$

Let $P_r^\perp(x) = \sum_{|g| \geq r} c_g \lambda_g$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|P_r^\perp x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} = 0?$$

No! for $p \geq 3$ (Bożejko/Fendler).

Let

$$L_h(x) = \sum_{g \geq h} c_g \lambda_g,$$

Question

$$\|L_h x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} \leq c_p \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)}?$$

Convergence of the Tail of Fourier Sums on \mathbb{F}_n .

For $h = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots$, $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$, $k_j \neq 0$,

$$|h| = \sum_j |k_j|.$$

Let $P_r^\perp(x) = \sum_{|g| \geq r} c_g \lambda_g$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|P_r^\perp x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} = 0?$$

No! for $p \geq 3$ (Bożejko/Fendler).

Let

$$L_h(x) = \sum_{g \geq h} c_g \lambda_g,$$

Question

$$\|L_h x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} \leq c_p \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)}?$$

Yes! (M-Ricard '17) and $\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \|L_h x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} = 0$.

Answer to a Question of Ozawa

Note for $h \in \mathbb{F}_n, x \in C_\rho^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$,

Answer to a Question of Ozawa

Note for $h \in \mathbb{F}_n, x \in C_\rho^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$,

$$[L_h, x] \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)).$$

Because $[L_h, \rho_g]$ is finite rank for each h, g .

Answer to a Question of Ozawa

Note for $h \in \mathbb{F}_n, x \in C_\rho^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$,

$$[L_h, x] \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)).$$

Because $[L_h, \rho_g]$ is finite rank for each h, g .

Let

$$B(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n)) = \{x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n); \|x\| \leq 1\} \subset L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n).$$

Question: Is

$$[L_h, x](B(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n)))$$

norm (pre)-compact in $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{F}_n, x \in \mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbb{F}_n)$?

Answer to a Question of Ozawa

Note for $h \in \mathbb{F}_n, x \in C_\rho^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$,

$$[L_h, x] \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)).$$

Because $[L_h, \rho_g]$ is finite rank for each h, g .

Let

$$B(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n)) = \{x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n); \|x\| \leq 1\} \subset L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n).$$

Question: Is

$$[L_h, x](B(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n)))$$

norm (pre)-compact in $L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{F}_n, x \in \mathcal{L}_\rho(\mathbb{F}_n)$?

Yes, M-Ricard '17 because

$\|[L_h, x](y)\|_{L^2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} \leq \|L_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}_n) \rightarrow L^4(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)} \|x\|_{L^4(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)}$ by Hölder's inequality.

Free Hilbert transforms—summary of M-Ricard'17

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

Free Hilbert transforms—summary of M-Ricard'17

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

True for

almagamated free products;

Free Hilbert transforms—summary of M-Ricard'17

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

True for

almagamated free products;

replacing L_j by L_j^d , the projection to reduced words having g_j as their d -th letter.

Free Hilbert transforms—summary of M-Ricard'17

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

True for

amalgamated free products;

replacing L_j by L_j^d , the projection to reduced words having g_j as their d -th letter.

replacing L_j by L_h^d , the projection to reduced words starting with h , $|h| = d$.

Free Hilbert transforms—summary of M-Ricard'17

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

True for

almagamated free products;

replacing L_j by L_j^d , the projection to reduced words having g_j as their d -th letter.

replacing L_j by L_h^d , the projection to reduced words starting with h , $|h| = d$. But the c.b version for \mathbb{F}_∞ is **not true** for L_h^d if $d \geq 2$.

Free Hilbert transforms—summary of M-Ricard'17

Set $\mathbb{L}_0 = \{e\} \subset \mathbb{F}_\infty$;

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j\}; \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_j = \{s; s \geq g_j^{-1}\}; \quad j \in -\mathbb{N}$$

$$L_j : \lambda_s \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{L}_j} \lambda_s; \quad H_\varepsilon = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j L_j, \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1.$$

Theorem (M-Ricard, 17') For any $x \in C_c(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|H_\varepsilon x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)} \simeq \|x\|_{L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)}.$$

True for

almagamated free products;

replacing L_j by L_j^d , the projection to reduced words having g_j as their d -th letter.

replacing L_j by L_h^d , the projection to reduced words starting with h , $|h| = d$. But the c.b version for \mathbb{F}_∞ is **not true** for L_h^d if $d \geq 2$.

Question Is this a lucky case, or there is a general result for all Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment?

Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment

Consider

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s; \quad m \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$$

with $k_1(s)$ the first power index in the reduced word,

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots, \quad i_v \neq i_{v+1}, \quad k_v \in \pm\mathbb{N}$$

Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment

Consider

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s; \quad m \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$$

with $k_1(s)$ the first power index in the reduced word,

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots, \quad i_v \neq i_{v+1}, \quad k_v \in \pm\mathbb{N}$$

Question: Characterize the c. boundedness of T_m on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)$?

Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment

Consider

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s; \quad m \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$$

with $k_1(s)$ the first power index in the reduced word,

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots, \quad i_\nu \neq i_{\nu+1}, \quad k_\nu \in \pm\mathbb{N}$$

Question: Characterize the c. boundedness of T_m on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)$?

Remark By taking the group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{F}_\infty \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2$ sending generators g_k to $a^k b a^k$, we see that $k_1(\pi(s)) = k$ iff $\pi(s) \geq g_k$. So T_m catches the information on the starting letter through π .

Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment

Consider

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s; \quad m \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$$

with $k_1(s)$ the first power index in the reduced word,

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots g_{i_j}^{k_j} \dots, \quad i_\nu \neq i_{\nu+1}, \quad k_\nu \in \pm\mathbb{N}$$

Question: Characterize the c. boundedness of T_m on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)$?

Remark By taking the group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{F}_\infty \mapsto \mathbb{F}_2$ sending generators g_k to $a^k b a^k$, we see that $k_1(\pi(s)) = k$ iff $\pi(s) \geq g_k$. So T_m catches the information on the starting letter through π .

Necessary Condition: $T_m|_{\mathbb{F}_1} : e^{ik\theta} \mapsto m(k)e^{ik\theta}$ is completely bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment

Theorem 1 (M-Xu, 18') Given $1 < p < \infty$, then

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s$$

extends to a completely bounded operator on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$ if its restriction on \mathbb{F}_1

$$T_m|_{\mathbb{F}_1} : \lambda_{g_1^k} \mapsto m(k)\lambda_{g_1^k}$$

is completely bounded.

Fourier multipliers associated with the first segment

Theorem 1 (M-Xu, 18') Given $1 < p < \infty$, then

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s$$

extends to a completely bounded operator on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$ if its restriction on \mathbb{F}_1

$$T_m|_{\mathbb{F}_1} : \lambda_{g_1^k} \mapsto m(k)\lambda_{g_1^k}$$

is completely bounded.

Remark No chance for the case of $p = \infty$. Take $m(k) = \chi_{[-2,2]}(k)$, which is the symbol of a c.b multiplier on $L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_1)$. Then the multiplier T_m is the projection onto the set $\{s; |k_1(s)| \leq 2\}$ of $L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. To see this, let

$$x = \sum_{-N < k < N} c_k (g_1 g_2^3)^k g_1$$

and note

$$T_m(x) = \sum_{0 \leq k < N} c_k (g_1 g_2^3)^k g_1.$$

A Littlewood-Paley Theory on Free groups

Example Let $A_0 = \{0\}$

$$A_j = [2^{j-1}, 2^j), j \in \mathbb{N}; A_j = -A_{-j}, j \in -\mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\chi_j = \chi_{A_j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$m = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j \chi_j$$

for any $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$.

A Littlewood-Paley Theory on Free groups

Example Let $A_0 = \{0\}$

$$A_j = [2^{j-1}, 2^j), j \in \mathbb{N}; A_j = -A_{-j}, j \in -\mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\chi_j = \chi_{A_j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$m = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_j \chi_j$$

for any $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$.

Corollary (M-Xu, 18') For $2 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|x\|_{L^p} \simeq \left\| \left(\sum_j |T_{\chi_j}(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} + \left\| \left(\sum_j |T_{\chi_j}(x)^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

The Mihlin condition

Corollary (M-Xu, 18') If m satisfies the Mihlin condition e.g.

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{|m(k)|, k|m(k) - m(k-1)|\} < C.$$

Then

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(k_1(s))\lambda_s$$

extends to a completely bounded linear operator on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$.

Example $m(k) = k^{ti}$.

Ingredient: A Dirac operator on the free group

Let $D_\varepsilon(\lambda_e) = 0$ and

$$D_\varepsilon(\lambda_s) = \varepsilon_{i_1} k_1 \lambda_s$$

for

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdots g_{i_m}^{k_m},$$

and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$ a sequence of $|\varepsilon_k| = 1$.

Ingredient: A Dirac operator on the free group

Let $D_\varepsilon(\lambda_e) = 0$ and

$$D_\varepsilon(\lambda_s) = \varepsilon_{i_1} k_1 \lambda_s$$

for

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdots g_{i_m}^{k_m},$$

and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$ a sequence of $|\varepsilon_k| = 1$.

Theorem 2 (M-Xu, 18') For $1 < p < \infty$,

$$S_t = e^{itD_\varepsilon}, -\infty < t < \infty$$

extends to a uniformly c.b group of operators on $L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$.

Ingredient: A Dirac operator on the free group

Let $D_\varepsilon(\lambda_e) = 0$ and

$$D_\varepsilon(\lambda_s) = \varepsilon_{i_1} k_1 \lambda_s$$

for

$$s = g_{i_1}^{k_1} g_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdots g_{i_m}^{k_m},$$

and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$ a sequence of $|\varepsilon_k| = 1$.

Theorem 2 (M-Xu, 18') For $1 < p < \infty$,

$$S_t = e^{itD_\varepsilon}, -\infty < t < \infty$$

extends to a uniformly c.b group of operators on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$.

Transference \Rightarrow Theorem 1 that $m(D_\varepsilon)$ is c. bounded on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_n)$ for m being the symbol of a c.b. Fourier multiplier on $L^p(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, e.g.

$$\text{sign}(D) = H_\varepsilon.$$

Fourier multipliers along a geodesic path?

Proposition (Chua, 18')

Fix a geodesic path \mathbb{P} on the Cayley graph of \mathbb{F}_∞ , then

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(|E_{\mathbb{P}s}|)\lambda_s$$

extends to a completely bounded linear operator on $L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ if m has a bounded variation, i.e.

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |m(k-1) - m(k)| < C.$$

Fourier multipliers along a geodesic path?

Proposition (Chua, 18')

Fix a geodesic path \mathbb{P} on the Cayley graph of \mathbb{F}_∞ , then

$$T_m : \lambda_s \mapsto m(|E_{\mathbb{P}s}|)\lambda_s$$

extends to a completely bounded linear operator on $L^p(\widehat{\mathbb{F}}_\infty)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ if m has a bounded variation, i.e.

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |m(k-1) - m(k)| < C.$$

Remark $|E_{\mathbb{P}s}| = |k_1(s)|$ for $s \geq g_j$ if $\mathbb{P} = \{g_j^k, k > 0\}$. The Proposition is a consequence of the boundedness of the free Hilbert transforms H_ε .

Thank you.

Happy Birthday! Tony