

A Study Of Boundary Value Problem For An Elliptic Equation In Hölder Spaces*

Tarik Berroug[†]

Received 10 January 2010

Abstract

We give in this work some new results about the existence, uniqueness and optimal regularity for the strict solution of an abstract second-order differential equation set in an unbounded interval. We use similar techniques with those of Labbas [9], when the right-hand term is Hölder continuous function.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the following second order abstract differential equation

$$u''(t) + Au(t) = f(t), \quad t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (1)$$

under the non-homogeneous boundary conditions

$$u(0) = \varphi, \quad u(+\infty) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where A is a closed linear operator with dense domain $D(A)$ in a complex Banach space E and φ is a given element of $D(A)$. The vector-valued function f is continuous on $[0, +\infty[$ into E and verifies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|f(t)\|_E = 0. \quad (3)$$

Throughout this work we assume that there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|(A - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{L(E)} \leq \frac{K}{1 + \lambda}. \quad (4)$$

We recall that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $BUC^m([0, +\infty[; E)$ denotes the space of vector-valued functions with uniformly continuous and bounded derivatives up to the order m in $[0, +\infty[$.

For $\sigma \in]0, 1[$, the Banach space $C^\sigma([0, +\infty[; E)$ denotes the space of the bounded and σ -Hölder continuous functions $f : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow E$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty[} \|f(t)\|_E < \infty, \\ \exists C > 0 : \forall t, \tau \in [0, +\infty[, \quad \|f(t) - f(\tau)\|_E \leq C|t - \tau|^\sigma, \end{cases}$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 12H20, 35J25, 47D06.

[†]Laboratory of Mathematics and Applications, Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, B.P. 523, Béné-Mellal, Morocco

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C^\sigma([0,+\infty[;E)} = \sup_{t \in [0,+\infty[} \|f(t)\|_E + \sup_{t \neq \tau} \frac{\|f(t) - f(\tau)\|_E}{|t - \tau|^\sigma} = \|f\|_\infty + [f]_{C^\sigma([0,+\infty[;E)}.$$

For simplicity, we shall write $C^\sigma(E)$ instead of $C^\sigma([0,+\infty[; E)$.

We say that $u \in BUC([0,+\infty[; E)$ is a strict solution of (1)-(2) if

$$u \in BUC^2([0,+\infty[; E) \cap BUC([0,+\infty[; D(A)),$$

and u satisfies (1) and (2).

Observe that equation (1) has been studied by many authors, in different situations, but on a bounded domain. See, for example Krein [7], Sobolevskii [13], Kuyazyuk [8], Da Prato-Grisvard [3], Labbas [9], Favini-Labbas-Lemrabet-Sadallah [4], Favini-Labbas-Tanabe-Yagi [5].

In the present study, the principal goal is to give an alternative approach to that used in Berroug-Labbas-Sadallah [2]. The techniques we use are essentially based on the theory of fractional powers of linear operators in Banach spaces and on the semigroups estimates generated by them as in Krein [7] and in Sinestrari [12]. We make use of the real Banach interpolation space $D_A(\theta, +\infty)$, between $D(A)$ and E . It is characterized in [6], by

$$D_A(\theta, +\infty) = \{\xi \in E : \sup_{t>0} \|t^\theta A(A - tI)^{-1}\xi\|_E < \infty\}.$$

We will prove the following main results.

THEOREM 1 (Existence and uniqueness). Let $0 < \theta < 1/2$, $\varphi \in D(A)$ and $f \in C^{2\theta}(E)$ with assumption (3). Then problem (1)-(2) admits a unique strict solution.

THEOREM 2 (Regularity). Let $0 < \theta < 1/2$, $\varphi \in D(A)$ and $f \in C^{2\theta}(E)$ with assumption (3). If $f(0) - A\varphi \in D_A(\theta, +\infty)$, then the unique strict solution of (1)-(2) satisfies the property of maximal regularity $Au(\cdot)$, $u''(\cdot) \in C^{2\theta}(E)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We start by some recall of the theory of fractional powers of linear operators as developed in Balakrishnan [1], Krein [7] and Pazy [11]. It is well known that assumption (4) implies that $(-(-A)^{1/2})$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $\{V(t)\}$, $t \geq 0$ (for details, see [1]). Moreover we have the practical well known results

PROPOSITION 1.

- (1) $\exists M, \delta > 0$ such that $\|V(t)\|_{L(E)} \leq Me^{-\delta t}$,
- (2) there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$, $\|(-A)^{1/2}V(t)\|_{L(E)} \leq Ct^{-1}e^{-\delta t}$.

PROPOSITION 2. For all $x \in E$ we have

- (1) $\int_0^t V(s)x ds = (-A)^{-1/2}(x - V(t)x)$,
- (2) $\int_t^{+\infty} V(s)x ds = (-A)^{-1/2}V(t)x$.

Let $0 < \theta < 1/2$ and $f \in X = C^{2\theta}(E)$ with assumption (3). First, we seek for a particular solution $v(\cdot)$ to equation (1). Let us set for $t \in [0, +\infty[$

$$v(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t V(t-s)(-A)^{-1/2} f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{+\infty} V(s-t)(-A)^{-1/2} f(s) ds.$$

Notice that the second integral is convergent. Indeed, Proposition 1 implies

$$\left\| \int_t^{+\infty} V(s-t)(-A)^{-1/2} f(s) ds \right\|_E \leq M' \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(s-t)} ds \|f\|_X.$$

We can see that the derivative $v'(t)$ exists and

$$v'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t V(t-s) f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{+\infty} V(s-t) f(s) ds.$$

To show that $v(t) \in D(A)$ and $Av(\cdot)$ is continuous we write (thanks to Proposition 2)

$$\begin{aligned} Av(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} A(-A)^{-1/2} \int_0^t V(t-s)(f(s) - f(t)) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(A(-A)^{-1/2} \int_0^t V(t-s) ds \right) f(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} A(-A)^{-1/2} \int_t^{+\infty} V(s-t)(f(t) - f(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(A(-A)^{-1/2} \int_t^{+\infty} V(s-t) ds \right) f(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (U(t) + S(t)) + f(t) - \frac{1}{2} V(t) f(t). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(t-s)(f(s) - f(t)) ds = \int_0^t (-A)^{1/2} V(t-s)(f(s) - f(t)) ds,$$

$$S(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s-t)(f(t) - f(s)) ds = \int_t^{+\infty} -(-A)^{1/2} V(s-t)(f(t) - f(s)) ds.$$

Furthermore, as f is Hölder-continuous, $v'(\cdot)$ is differentiable with

$$\begin{aligned} v''(t) &= f(t) - \frac{1}{2} (-A)^{1/2} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-A)^{1/2}} f(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (-A)^{1/2} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{(t-s)(-A)^{1/2}} f(s) ds \\ &= f(t) - \frac{1}{2} (-A)^{1/2} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-A)^{1/2}} (f(s) - f(t)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \left((-A)^{1/2} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-A)^{1/2}} ds \right) f(t) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} (-A)^{1/2} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{(t-s)(-A)^{1/2}} (f(s) - f(t)) ds \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \left((-A)^{1/2} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{(t-s)(-A)^{1/2}} ds \right) f(t) \\
= & f(t) - \frac{1}{2} U(t) - \frac{1}{2} (I - V(t)) f(t) - \frac{1}{2} S(t) - \frac{1}{2} f(t) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} U(t) - \frac{1}{2} S(t) + \frac{1}{2} V(t) f(t),
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$v''(t) + Av(t) = f(t).$$

Hence v is a strict solution to (1) satisfying the boundary conditions

$$v(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} V(s)(-A)^{-1/2} f(s) ds, \quad v(+\infty) = 0,$$

for the last condition we use the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_{t/2}^t V(t-s)(-A)^{-1/2} f(s) ds \right\|_E & \leq M \max_{r \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} \|f(r)\|_E \left(\int_{t/2}^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} ds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{M}{\delta} \max_{r \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]} \|f(r)\|_E \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}t} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(-A)v(0) & = -\frac{1}{2} (-A)^{1/2} \int_0^{+\infty} V(s)(f(s) - f(0)) ds - \frac{1}{2} (-A)^{1/2} \int_0^{+\infty} V(s)f(0) ds \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s)(f(s) - f(0)) ds - \frac{1}{2} f(0),
\end{aligned}$$

from Proposition 1, we conclude since f is Hölder-continuous, that $v(0) \in D(A)$.

We will also use the following lemma

LEMMA 1. Assume (4) and let $\xi \in D(A)$. Then the homogeneous Problem

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + Au(t) = 0, & t \in [0, +\infty[, \\ u(0) = \xi, & u(+\infty) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

admits a unique strict solution $u(\cdot)$.

PROOF. Let us set $u(t) = V(t)\xi$. Since $\xi \in D(A)$ we can easily see that

$$u'(t) = -V(t)(-A)^{1/2}\xi,$$

and

$$u''(t) = V(t)(-A)\xi,$$

then

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) = (-A)u(t), \\ u(0) = \xi, \quad u(+\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us return to the proof of Theorem 1. The Problem

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + Au(t) = 0, & t \in [0, +\infty[, \\ u(0) = x_0, \\ u(+\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with

$$x_0 = \varphi - v(0),$$

admits a unique strict solution \bar{u} . Indeed, we know that $v(0) \in D(A)$ and $\varphi \in D(A)$, thus Lemma 1 applies. Therefore,

$$u(\cdot) = v(\cdot) + \bar{u}(\cdot),$$

is the unique strict solution to problem (1)-(2).

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $0 < \theta < 1/2$, $\varphi \in D(A)$ and $f \in X = C^{2\theta}(E)$ with assumption (3). Let us suppose that $f(0) - A\varphi \in D_A(\theta, +\infty)$. It is enough to do it for $Au(\cdot)$, for this purpose we write

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &= Av(t) + V(t)(A\varphi - Av(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(U(t) + S(t)) + f(t) - \frac{1}{2}V(t)f(t) \\ &\quad + V(t) \left(A\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s)(f(s) - f(0))ds - \frac{1}{2}f(0) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(U(t) + S(t)) + f(t) + K(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} U(t) = \int_0^t (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-s)} (f(s) - f(t)) ds \\ S(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} -(-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-t)} (f(t) - f(s)) ds \\ K(t) = V(t) \left(A\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s)(f(s) - f(0))ds - \frac{1}{2}f(0) \right) - \frac{1}{2}V(t)f(t). \end{cases}$$

Let us show the holderianity of $U(\cdot)$, $S(\cdot)$ and $K(\cdot)$. For $0 \leq r < t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) - U(r) &= \int_r^t (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-s)} (f(s) - f(t)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^r (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-s)} (f(s) - f(t)) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^r (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(r-s)} (f(s) - f(r)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$= a + b - c.$$

We have

$$\|a\|_E \leq C \int_r^t \frac{(t-s)^{2\theta}}{(t-s)} ds \|f\|_X \leq C(t-r)^{2\theta} \|f\|_X,$$

on the other hand we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} b - c &= \int_0^r (-A)^{1/2} \left(e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-s)} - e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(r-s)} \right) (f(s) - f(r)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^r (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-s)} (f(r) - f(t)) ds \\ &= \int_0^r \int_{r-s}^{t-s} -((-A)^{1/2})^2 e^{-(-A)^{1/2}\sigma} (f(s) - f(r)) d\sigma ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^r (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-s)} (f(r) - f(t)) ds \\ &= \int_0^r \int_{r-s}^{t-s} -((-A)^{1/2})^2 e^{-(-A)^{1/2}\sigma} (f(s) - f(r)) d\sigma ds \\ &\quad + \left[e^{-(-A)^{1/2}t} - e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(t-r)} \right] (f(t) - f(r)) \\ &= b_1 + c_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_1\|_E &\leq \int_0^r \int_{r-s}^{t-s} \left\| -((-A)^{1/2})^2 e^{-(-A)^{1/2}\sigma} (f(s) - f(r)) \right\|_E d\sigma ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^r (r-s)^{2\theta} \int_{r-s}^{t-s} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} d\sigma ds \|f\|_X \\ &\leq C \int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{2\theta-1}(t-r)}{(t-r+r-s)} ds \|f\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by making the change of variable $(r-s) = (t-r)\xi$, it follows

$$\int_0^r \frac{(r-s)^{2\theta-1}(t-r)}{(t-r+r-s)} ds \leq (t-r)^{2\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\xi^{2\theta-1}}{1+\xi} d\xi \leq C(t-r)^{2\theta}.$$

Holderianity of c_1 is obvious.

For $S(\cdot)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} S(r) - S(t) &= \int_t^{+\infty} -(-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-t)} (f(s) - f(t)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_r^t (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-r)} (f(s) - f(r)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^{+\infty} (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-r)} (f(s) - f(r)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_r^t (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-r)} (f(s) - f(r)) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_t^{+\infty} (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-r)} (f(t) - f(r)) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_t^{+\infty} (-A)^{1/2} \left(e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-r)} - e^{-(-A)^{1/2}(s-t)} \right) (f(s) - f(t)) ds \\
 &= \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} + \tilde{c},
 \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\|\tilde{a}\|_E \leq C \int_r^t \frac{(s-r)^{2\theta}}{(s-r)} ds \|f\|_X \leq C(t-r)^{2\theta} \|f\|_X.$$

It is easy to check the result for \tilde{b} . Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{c}\|_E &= \left\| \int_t^{+\infty} \int_{s-t}^{s-r} - \left[(-A)^{1/2} \right]^2 e^{-(-A)^{1/2}\sigma} (f(s) - f(t)) d\sigma ds \right\|_E \\
 &\leq C \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)^{2\theta} \int_{s-t}^{s-r} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma^2} ds \|f\|_X \\
 &\leq C \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)^{2\theta-1} \frac{(t-r)}{(s-t+t-r)} ds \|f\|_X,
 \end{aligned}$$

setting $(s-t) = \xi(t-r)$ in this last inequality we obtain

$$\|\tilde{c}\|_E \leq C \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\xi^{2\theta-1} (t-r)^{2\theta}}{(1+\xi)} d\xi \|f\|_X \leq C(t-r)^{2\theta} \|f\|_X.$$

For $K(\cdot)$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(t) - K(r) &= (V(t) - V(r)) \left(A\varphi - f(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s) (f(s) - f(0)) ds \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (V(t) - V(r)) (f(r) - f(0)) - \frac{1}{2} V(t) (f(t) - f(r)) \\
 &= k_1 + k_2 + k_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

we then have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|k_2\|_E &\leq C \int_r^t \left\| (-A)^{1/2} e^{-(-A)^{1/2}s} (f(r) - f(0)) \right\|_E ds \\
 &\leq C \int_r^t s^{-1} r^{2\theta} ds \|f\|_X \\
 &\leq C \int_r^t s^{2\theta-1} ds \|f\|_X \\
 &\leq C(t-r)^{2\theta} \|f\|_X,
 \end{aligned}$$

moreover

$$\|k_3\|_E \leq C(t-r)^{2\theta} \|f\|_X.$$

Now, for k_1 we use the following result proved in Sinestrari [12]

LEMMA 2. Setting for $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$

$$v(t) = V(t)x = e^{-(-A)^{1/2}t}x,$$

if $x \in D_{(-A)^{1/2}}(2\theta, +\infty)$ then $v \in C^{2\theta}(E)$.

Thanks to the reiteration theorem in interpolation theory (see [10]) we have the equality

$$D_{(-A)^{1/2}}(2\theta, +\infty) = D_A(\theta, +\infty). \tag{6}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that (see Sinestrari [12, p.24])

$$\sup_{r>0} \left\| r^{1-2\theta} \left(-(-A)^{1/2}\right) V(r) \int_0^{+\infty} \left(-(-A)^{1/2}\right) V(s)(f(s) - f(0))ds \right\|_E \leq K.$$

Let $r > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| r^{1-2\theta} \left(-(-A)^{1/2}\right) V(r) \int_0^{+\infty} \left(-(-A)^{1/2}\right) V(s)(f(s) - f(0))ds \right\|_E \\ &= \left\| r^{1-2\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(-(-A)^{1/2}\right)^2 V(s+r)(f(s) - f(0))ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq r^{1-2\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{2\theta}}{(s+r)^2} ds \|f\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

by making the change of variable $s = r\xi$, we obtain

$$r^{1-2\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{2\theta}}{(s+r)^2} ds = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\xi^{2\theta}}{(1+\xi)^2} d\xi.$$

Consequently

$$V(\cdot) \left(A\varphi - f(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}(s)(f(s) - f(0))ds \right) \in C^{2\theta}(E).$$

Hence $Au(\cdot) \in C^{2\theta}(E)$. This ends the proof of Theorem 2.

EXAMPLE. We present now a simple example to illustrate equations (1)-(2). Consider, for instance, in $E = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the operator A defined by

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = H^4(\mathbb{R}), & Au = au^{(4)} - bu, \\ \text{with } a < 0, & b > 0, \end{cases}$$

for more details concerning A , see [5]. All previous abstract results can be applied to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + a \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} - bu = f(t, x), & (t, x) \in \Sigma, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & u(+\infty, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where $\Sigma = (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 \in H^4(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in C^{2\theta}([0, +\infty[; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professors Rabah Labbas (Université du Havre, France), Stéphane Maingot (Université du Havre, France) and Boubaker-Khaled Sadallah (Ecole Normale Supérieure d'Alger, Algeria) for their precious help concerning the realization of this work.

References

- [1] A. V. Balakrishnan, Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroups generated by them, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10(1960), 419–437.
- [2] T. Berroug, R. Labbas and B. K. Sadallah, Resolution in Hölder spaces of an elliptic problem in an unbounded domain, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 81(2006), 387–404.
- [3] G. Da Prato and P. Grisvard, Sommes d'opérateurs linéaires et équations différentielles opérationnelles, *J. Math. Pures Appl. IX Ser.*, 54(1975), 305–387.
- [4] A. Favini, R. Labbas, K. Lemrabet and B. K. Sadallah, Study of a complete abstract differential equation of elliptic type with variable operator coefficients, I, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 21(1)(2008), 89–133.
- [5] A. Favini, R. Labbas, H. Tanabe and A. Yagi, On the solvability of complete abstract differential equations of elliptic type, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj.*, 47(2004), 205–224.
- [6] P. Grisvard, Spazi di tracce ed applicazioni, *Rendiconti di Matematica* (4), 5(VI)(1972), 657–729.
- [7] S. G. Krein, *Linear Differential Equations in Banach Space*, Moscou, 1967. English Transl. Ams, 1971.
- [8] A. V. Kuyazyuk, The Dirichlet problem for second order differential equations with operator coefficient, (Russian) *Ukrain Math. Zh.*, 37(2)(1985), 256–273.
- [9] R. Labbas, *Problèmes aux Limites pour une Equation Différentielle Abstraite du Second Ordre*, Thèse d'état, Université de Nice, 1987.
- [10] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 19(1964), 5–86.
- [11] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [12] E. Sinestrari, On the abstract Cauchy problem of parabolic type in spaces of continuous functions, *J. Math. Anal. App.*, 66(1985), 16–66.
- [13] P. E. Sobolevskii, On equations of parabolic type in Banach space, *Trudy Moscow Mat. Obsc.*, 10(1961), 297–350. (In Russian), English transl. : *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (1965), 1–62.