ISSN 1607-2510

# Existence Of Solution For Third-Order m-Point Boundary Value Problem<sup>\*</sup>

Jian-Ping Sun and Qiu-Yan Ren<sup>†</sup>

Received 30 december 2009

#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear third-order m-point boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u^{\prime\prime\prime}(t)+f(t,u(t),u^{\prime}(t),u^{\prime\prime}(t))=0, \ t\in[0,1],\\ u\left(0\right)=\Sigma_{i=1}^{m-2}a_{i}u(\eta_{i}), \ u^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime\prime}(0)=0, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$ ,  $a_i \ge 0$   $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-2)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i < 1$ . By imposing some conditions on the nonlinear term f, we construct a lower solution and an upper solution and prove the existence of solution to the above boundary value problem. Our main tools are upper and lower solution method and Schauder fixed point theorem.

### 1 Introduction

Third-order differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics, e.g., in the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, a three-layer beam, electromagnetic waves or gravity driven flows and so on [5]. Recently, third-order two-point or three-point boundary value problems (BVPs for short) have received much attention from many authors, see [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11] and the references therein. Although there are many excellent results on third-order two-point or three-point BVPs, few works have been done for more general third-order m-point BVPs [3, 10].

Motivated greatly by [2, 8], in this paper, we investigate the following nonlinear third-order *m*-point BVP

$$\begin{cases} u'''(t) + f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)) = 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\eta_i), \ u'(1) = u''(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Throughout this paper, we always assume that  $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} < 1$ ,  $a_i \ge 0$  (i = 1, 2, ..., m-2),  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i < 1$  and  $f : [0, 1] \times R^3 \to R$  is continuous. By imposing some conditions on the nonlinear term f, we construct a lower solution and an upper solution and prove the existence of solution to the BVP (1). Our main tools are upper and lower solution method and Schauder fixed point theorem.

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Subject Classifications: 34B10, 34B15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu 730050, P. R. China

# 2 Preliminary

In this section, we will present some fundamental definitions and lemmas.

DEFINITION 1. If  $x \in C^3[0, 1]$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} x'''(t) + f(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(t)) \ge 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \\ x(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i x(\eta_i), \ x'(1) = 0, \ x''(0) \ge 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

then x is called a lower solution of the BVP (1).

DEFINITION 2. If  $y \in C^3[0,1]$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y'''(t) + f(t, y(t), y'(t), y''(t)) \le 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \\ y(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i y(\eta_i), \ y'(1) = 0, \ y''(0) \le 0, \end{cases}$$
(3)

then y is called an upper solution of the BVP (1).

LEMMA 1. Let  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \neq 1$ . Then for any  $h \in C[0, 1]$ , the second-order *m*-point BVP

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) = h(t), \ t \in [0,1], \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\eta_i), \ u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

has a unique solution

$$u\left(t\right)=\int_{0}^{1}G\left(t,s\right)h\left(s\right)ds,\ t\in\left[0,1\right],$$

where

$$G(t,s) = K(t,s) + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i K(\eta_i, s), \ (t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1],$$

here

$$K(t,s) = \begin{cases} s, & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \\ t, & 0 \le t \le s \le 1 \end{cases}$$

is the Green's function of the second-order two-point BVP

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) = 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. If u is a solution of the BVP (4), then we may suppose that

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 K(t,s)h(s)ds + c_1t + c_2, \ t \in [0,1].$$

By the boundary conditions in (4), we know that

$$c_1 = 0$$
 and  $c_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^1 K(\eta_i, s) h(s) \, ds.$ 

Therefore, the unique solution of the BVP (4)

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 K(t,s)h(s)ds + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^1 K(\eta_i,s)h(s) ds$$
  
=  $\int_0^1 G(t,s)h(s) ds, t \in [0,1].$ 

For G(t, s), we have the following obvious result.

LEMMA 2. Let  $a_i \ge 0$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i < 1$ . Then  $0 \le G(t, s) \le C(t, s)$ G(s, s) for  $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

#### Main Result 3

For convenience, we let  $\gamma = \int_{0}^{1} G(s,s) s ds$ . Obviously,  $\gamma > 0$ . Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. If there exist two constants M and N with  $M \leq 0 \leq N$  and  $N \geq -M$  such that

$$M \le f(t, s, r, l) \le 0 \text{ for } (t, s, r, l) \in [0, 1] \times [\gamma M, 0] \times [\frac{M}{2}, 0] \times [0, -M]$$
(5)

and

$$0 \le f(t, s, r, l) \le N \text{ for } (t, s, r, l) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \gamma N] \times [0, \frac{N}{2}] \times [-N, 0], \tag{6}$$

then the BVP (1) has a solution  $u_0$ , which satisfies

$$x(t) \le u_0(t) \le y(t)$$
 and  $y''(t) \le u_0''(t) \le x''(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

where  $x(t) = M \int_0^1 G(t, s) s ds$  and  $y(t) = N \int_0^1 G(t, s) s ds$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . PROOF. Let E = C[0, 1] be equipped with the norm  $||v||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |v(t)|$  and

$$K = \{ v \in E : v(t) \ge 0 \text{ for } t \in [0,1] \}.$$

Then K is a cone in E and (E, K) is an ordered Banach space.

Define operators A and  $B: E \to E$  as follows:

$$(Av)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s) v(s) ds, \ t \in [0,1]$$

and

$$(Bv)(t) = \int_{t}^{1} v(s) ds, \ t \in [0,1].$$

Obviously, A and B are increasing on E.

If we let  $v(t) = -u''(t), t \in [0, 1]$ , then the BVP (1) is equivalent to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} v'(t) = f(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -v(t)), \ t \in [0, 1], \\ v(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(7)

270

#### J. P. Sun and Q. Y. Ren

Now, we divide our proof into four steps.

Step 1. We assert that x and y are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution of the BVP (1).

In fact, if we let  $\alpha(t) = -x''(t) = Mt$  and  $\beta(t) = -y''(t) = Nt$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(t) - f(t, (A\alpha)(t), (B\alpha)(t), -\alpha(t)) \le 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \\ \alpha(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \beta'(t) - f(t, (A\beta)(t), (B\beta)(t), -\beta(t)) \ge 0, \ t \in [0, 1], \\ \beta(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies that x and y are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution of the BVP (1).

Step 2. We consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} v'(t) = F(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -v(t)), \ t \in [0, 1], \\ v(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(8)

where

$$F(t, s, r, l) = \begin{cases} f_1(t, s, r, -\alpha(t)), \ l > -\alpha(t), \\ f_1(t, s, r, l), \ -\beta(t) \le l \le -\alpha(t), \\ f_1(t, s, r, -\beta(t)), \ l < -\beta(t), \end{cases}$$
$$f_1(t, s, r, l) = \begin{cases} f_2(t, s, (B\beta)(t), l), \ r > (B\beta)(t), \\ f_2(t, s, r, l), \ (B\alpha)(t) \le r \le (B\beta)(t), \\ f_2(t, s, (B\alpha)(t), l), \ r < (B\alpha)(t) \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_{2}(t, s, r, l) = \begin{cases} f(t, (A\beta)(t), r, l), \ s > (A\beta)(t), \\ f(t, s, r, l), \ (A\alpha)(t) \le s \le (A\beta)(t), \\ f(t, (A\alpha)(t), r, l), \ s < (A\alpha)(t). \end{cases}$$

If we define an operator  $T: E \to E$  by

$$(Tv)(t) = \int_0^t F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), -v(s))ds, \ t \in [0, 1],$$

then it is obvious that fixed points of T are solutions of the auxiliary problem (8). Now, we will apply Schauder fixed point theorem to prove that the operator T has a fixed point.

Let  $B_N = \{v \in E : ||v||_{\infty} \leq N\}$ . Then  $B_N$  is a bounded, closed and convex set. First, we prove that  $T : B_N \to B_N$ . For any  $v \in B_N$ , we consider the following four cases:

Case 1.  $\beta(t) < v(t) \le N, t \in [0, 1];$ Case 2.  $0 \le v(t) \le \beta(t), t \in [0, 1];$ Case 3.  $\alpha(t) \le v(t) \le 0, t \in [0, 1];$  Case 4.  $-N \leq v(t) < \alpha(t), t \in [0, 1].$ We can verify that

$$0 \le F(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -v(t)) \le N \text{ in Case 1 and Case 2}$$
(9)

and

$$M \le F(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -v(t)) \le 0 \text{ in Case 3 and Case 4.}$$
(10)

Since the proof is similar, we only consider Case 1. In this case, by the definition of F, we obtain

$$F(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -v(t)) = f_1(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -\beta(t))$$
  
=  $f_2(t, (Av)(t), (B\beta)(t), -\beta(t))$   
=  $f(t, (A\beta)(t), (B\beta)(t), -\beta(t)),$ 

which together with (6) indicates that (9) is fulfilled. Since  $N \ge -M$ , it follows from (9) and (10) that for any  $v \in B_N$ ,

$$|F(t, (Av)(t), (Bv)(t), -v(t))| \le N, \ t \in [0, 1],$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tv)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), -v(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), -v(s))| \, ds \\ &\leq N, \ t \in [0, 1] \,. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $T: B_N \to B_N$ .

Next, we prove that  $T: B_N \to B_N$  is completely continuous. Since the continuity of T is obvious, we only need to prove that T is compact. Let X be a bounded subset in  $B_N$ . Then  $T(X) \subseteq B_N$ , which implies that T(X) is uniformly bounded. Now, we shall prove that T(X) is equicontinuous. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{N+1}$ . Then for any  $\omega \in T(X)$  (there exists a  $v \in X$  such that  $\omega = Tv$ ) and  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(t_1) - \omega(t_2)| &= |(Tv)(t_1) - (Tv)(t_2)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{t_1} F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), -v(s)) ds \right| \\ &- \int_0^{t_2} F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), -v(s)) ds \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} |F(s, (Av)(s), (Bv)(s), -v(s))| \right| ds \\ &\leq N |t_1 - t_2| \\ &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

272

which shows that T(X) is equicontinuous. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we know that  $T: B_N \to B_N$  is a compact mapping.

It is now immediate from the Schauder fixed point theorem that the operator T has a fixed point  $v_0$ , which solves the auxiliary problem (8).

Step 3. We prove that  $v_0$  is a solution of the problem (7). To this end, we only need to verify that  $\alpha(t) \leq v_0(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Since the proof of  $v_0(t) \leq \beta(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  is similar, we only prove  $\alpha(t) \leq v_0(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Suppose on the contrary that there exists  $\overline{t} \in [0, 1]$  such that  $v_0(\overline{t}) < \alpha(\overline{t})$ . Obviously,  $\overline{t} \in (0, 1]$ . By the continuity of  $v_0$  and  $\alpha$  and  $v_0(0) = 0 = \alpha(0)$ , we know that there exists  $t^* \in [0, \overline{t})$  such that  $v_0(t^*) = \alpha(t^*)$  and  $v_0(t) < \alpha(t)$  for  $t \in (t^*, \overline{t}]$ . Therefore,

for  $t \in (t^*, \overline{t}]$ . Let  $m(t) = v_0(t) - \alpha(t), t \in [t^*, \overline{t}]$ . Since x is a lower solution of the BVP (1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(t) &= -x'''(t) \le f(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(t)) \\ &= f(t, (A\alpha)(t), (B\alpha)(t), -\alpha(t)), \ t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

In view of (11) and (12), we have  $m'(t) = v'_0(t) - \alpha'(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \in (t^*, \overline{t}]$ , which together with  $m(t^*) = 0$  implies that  $m(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \in [t^*, \overline{t}]$ , that is,  $v_0(t) \ge \alpha(t)$  for  $t \in [t^*, \overline{t}]$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $\alpha(t) \le v_0(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Step 4. We claim that the BVP (1) has a solution.

In fact, if we let  $u_0(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) v_0(s) ds$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $u_0$  is a desired solution of the BVP (1) satisfying  $x(t) \le u_0(t) \le y(t)$  and  $y''(t) \le u''(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Acknowledgment. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10801068).

## References

- D. R. Anderson, Green's function for a third-order generalized right focal problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 288(2003), 1–14.
- [2] Z. B. Bai, Existence of solution for some third-order boundary-value problems, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 25(2008), 1–6.
- [3] Z. J. Du, X. J. Lin and W. G. Ge, On a third-order multi-point boundary value problem at resonance, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 302(2005), 217–229.
- [4] Y. Feng and S. Liu, Solvability of a third-order two-point boundary value problem, Appl. Math. Lett., 18(2005), 1034–1040.

- [5] M. Gregus, Third Order Linear Differential Equations, in: Math. Appl., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [6] L. J. Guo, J. P. Sun and Y. H. Zhao, Existence of positive solution for nonlinear third-order three-point boundary value problem, Nonlinear Anal., 68(2008), 3151– 3158.
- [7] B. Hopkins and N. Kosmatov, Third-order boundary value problems with signchanging solutions, Nonlinear Anal., 67(2007), 126–137.
- [8] R. Ma, A survey on nonlocal boundary value problems, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 7(2007), 257–279.
- R. Ma, Multiplicity results for a third order boundary value problem at resonance, Nonlinear Anal., 32(1998), 493–499.
- [10] J. P. Sun and H. E. Zhang, Existence of solutions to third-order *m*-point boundaryvalue problems, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 125(2008), 1–9.
- [11] Y. Sun, Positive solutions for third-order three-point nonhomogeneous boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Lett., 22(2009), 45–51.