

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR GENERAL CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS WITH W -DISTANCES IN METRIC SPACES

WATARU TAKAHASHI, NGAI-CHING WONG, AND JEN-CHIH YAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the concept of w -distances on a metric space, we first prove a generalized fixed point theorem for mappings without continuity in a complete metric space. Using this result, we obtain new and well-known fixed point theorems in a complete metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a metric space with metric d . A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a w -distance [8] on X if the following are satisfied:

- (i) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
- (ii) for any $x \in X$, $p(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lower semicontinuous;
- (iii) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $p(z, x) \leq \delta$ and $p(z, y) \leq \delta$ imply $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$.

Using the concept of w -distances, Kada, Suzuki and Takahashi [8] improved Caristi's fixed point theorem [1], Ekeland's variational principle [4] and the nonconvex minimization theorem according to Takahashi [15].

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractive* if there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq r d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Such a mapping is also called *r -contractive*. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *Kannan* [9] if there exists $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractively nonspreading* [2, 19, 7] if there exists $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta\{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called *contractively hybrid* [6] if there exists $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r\{d(Tx, y) + d(Ty, x) + d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Recently, motivated by generalized hybrid mappings [10] in a Hilbert space, Hasegawa, Komiya and Takahashi [6] introduced the concept of contractively generalized hybrid mappings on metric spaces, and studied fixed point theorems for such mappings on complete metric spaces. Let (X, d) be a metric

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H50.

Key words and phrases. Complete metric space, contractive mapping, fixed point theorem, generalized hybrid mapping, w -distance.

space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called *contractively generalized hybrid* [6] if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha d(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(x, Ty) \\ \leq r\{\beta d(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)d(x, y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Such a mapping T is also called *contractively (α, β, r) -generalized hybrid*; see also [3, 13]. For example, a contractively (α, β, r) -generalized hybrid mapping is r -contractive for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. It is contractively nonspreading for $\alpha = 1 + r$ and $\beta = 1$. Furthermore, it is contractively hybrid for $\alpha = 1 + \frac{r}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$; see Hasegawa, Komiya and Takahashi [6].

In this paper, motivated by w -distances and Hasegawa, Komiya and Takahashi [6], we first prove a generalized fixed point theorem for mappings without continuity in a complete metric space. Using this result, we obtain new and well-known fixed point theorems in a complete metric space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively. Let X be a metric space with metric d . Then we denote by $W(X)$ the set of all w -distances on X . A w -distance p on X is called *symmetric* if $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. We denote by $W_0(X)$ the set of all symmetric w -distances on X . Note that the metric d is an element of $W_0(X)$. We also know that there are many important examples of w -distances on X ; see [8, 16]. We denote by $WC_1(X)$ the set of all mappings T of X into itself such that there exist $p \in W(X)$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq rp(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Such a mapping T is called *p -contractive*. Shioji, Suzuki and Takahashi [14] also introduced the sets $WC_2(X)$, $WC_0(X)$, $WK_1(X)$, $WK_2(X)$ and $WK_0(X)$ of mappings of X into itself as follows:

$T \in WC_2(X)$ if and only if there exist $p \in W(X)$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq rp(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$T \in WC_0(X)$ if and only if there exist $p \in W_0(X)$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq rp(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$T \in WK_1(X)$ if and only if there exist $p \in W(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\{p(Tx, x) + p(Ty, y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$T \in WK_2(X)$ if and only if there exist $p \in W(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\{p(Tx, x) + p(y, Ty)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$T \in WK_0(X)$ if and only if there exist $p \in W_0(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\{p(Tx, x) + p(Ty, y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

In particular, a mapping $T \in WK_1(X)$ is called *p -Kannan*. The following lemma was proved in [14].

Lemma 2.1 ([14]). *Let X be a metric space with metric d , let p be a w -distance on X , let T be a mapping of X into itself and let u be a point in X such that*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} p(T^m u, T^n u) = 0.$$

Then for every $x \in X$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(T^k u, x)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x, T^k u)$ exist. Moreover, let β and γ be functions from X to $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\beta(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(T^k u, x) \text{ and } \gamma(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x, T^k u).$$

Then the following hold:

- (i) β is lower semicontinuous on X ;
- (ii) for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(x) \leq \delta$ and $\beta(y) \leq \delta$ imply $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$. In particular, the set $\{x \in X : \beta(x) = 0\}$ consists of at most one point;
- (iii) the functions q_0 and q_1 from $X \times X$ to $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$q_0(x, y) = \beta(x) + \beta(y) \text{ and } q_1(x, y) = \gamma(x) + \beta(y)$$

are w -distances on X .

Shioji, Suzuki and Takahashi [14] proved the following theorem from Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.2 ([14]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then*

$$WC_1(X) = WC_0(X) = WK_1(X) = WK_0(X) \subset WC_2(X) = WK_2(X).$$

Iemoto, Takahashi and Yingtaweessittikul [7] also introduced the following class of mappings of X into itself. Let p be a w -distance on X . A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called *p -contractively nonspreading* if there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \{p(Tx, y) + p(x, Ty)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

In [7], they proved the following result from Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.3 ([7]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let p be a w -distance on X such that $p(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Let T be a p -contractively nonspreading mapping of X into itself. Then T is in $WC_0(X)$.*

Let ℓ^∞ be the Banach space of bounded sequences with the supremum norm. A linear functional μ on ℓ^∞ is called a *mean* if $\mu(e) = \|\mu\| = 1$, where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$. For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$, the value $\mu(x)$ is also denoted by $\mu_n(x_n)$. A mean μ on ℓ^∞ is called a *Banach limit* if it satisfies $\mu_n(x_n) = \mu_n(x_{n+1})$. If μ is a Banach limit on ℓ^∞ , then for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \mu_n(x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

In particular, if $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$ and $x_n \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have $\mu(x) = \mu_n(x_n) = a$. For details, we can refer [16].

3. GENERALIZED FIXED POINT THEOREM

In this section, we prove a fixed point theorem for mappings with w -distances in complete metric spaces. Before proving it, we need the following lemma proved by Kada, Suzuki and Takahashi [8]; see also [16].

Lemma 3.1 ([8]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a w -distance on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X . Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converging to 0, and let $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If $p(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$ and $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y = z$. In particular, if $p(x, y) = 0$ and $p(x, z) = 0$, then $y = z$;*
- (ii) *if $p(x_n, y_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to z ;*
- (iii) *if $p(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence;*
- (iv) *if $p(y, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, let $p \in W_0(X)$ and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\{p(x_n, x)\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$. Let T be a mapping of X into itself. Suppose that there exist a real number $r \in [0, 1)$ and a mean μ on ℓ^∞ such that*

$$\mu_n p(x_n, Ty) \leq r \mu_n p(x_n, y), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Then, the following hold:

- (i) *T has a unique fixed point u in X ;*
- (ii) *for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .*

Proof. Since $\{p(x_n, x)\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$, we have that, for any $y \in X$, $\{p(x_n, y)\}$ is bounded. In fact, we have that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p(x_n, y) \leq p(x_n, x) + p(x, y) \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} p(x_m, x) + p(x, y) < \infty.$$

Using a mean μ on ℓ^∞ , we can define a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g(y) = \mu_n p(x_n, y), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

For any $z \in X$, consider a sequence $\{T^n z\}$ in X . We have that, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p(T^m z, T^{m+1} z) \leq p(T^m z, x_n) + p(x_n, T^{m+1} z).$$

Since μ is a mean on ℓ^∞ and p is symmetric, we have that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(T^m z, T^{m+1} z) &\leq \mu_n p(T^m z, x_n) + \mu_n p(x_n, T^{m+1} z) \\ &= \mu_n p(x_n, T^m z) + \mu_n p(x_n, T^{m+1} z) \\ &\leq r \mu_n p(x_n, T^{m-1} z) + r \mu_n p(x_n, T^m z) \\ (3.1) \quad &\leq \dots \\ &\leq r^m \mu_n p(x_n, z) + r^m \mu_n p(x_n, Tz) \\ &\leq r^m \mu_n p(x_n, z) + r^{m+1} \mu_n p(x_n, z) \\ &= r^m (1 + r) \mu_n p(x_n, z) \\ &= r^m (1 + r) g(z). \end{aligned}$$

We have from (3.1) that, for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > l$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad p(T^l z, T^m z) &\leq p(T^l z, T^{l+1} z) + p(T^{l+1} z, T^{l+2} z) + \cdots + p(T^{m-1} z, T^m z) \\
&\leq r^l(1+r)g(z) + r^{l+1}(1+r)g(z) + \cdots + r^{m-1}(1+r)g(z) \\
&\leq r^l(1+r)g(z) + r^{l+1}(1+r)g(z) + \cdots + r^{m-1}(1+r)g(z) + \cdots \\
&= r^l(1+r)g(z)(1+r+r^2+r^3+\cdots) \\
&= r^l(1+r)g(z)\frac{1}{1-r}
\end{aligned}$$

and $r^l(1+r)g(z)\frac{1}{1-r} \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. We have from Lemma 3.1 that $\{T^m z\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, we have that $\{T^m z\}$ converges. Let $T^m z \rightarrow u$. We know from the definition of p that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \mapsto p(x_n, y)$ is lower semicontinuous. Using this, we have that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$p(x_n, u) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z)$$

and hence

$$(3.3) \quad g(u) = \mu_n p(x_n, u) \leq \mu_n \left(\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z) \right).$$

On the other hand, we have from (3.2) that, for any $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > l$,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x_n, T^m z) &\leq p(x_n, T^l z) + p(T^l z, T^m z) \\
&\leq p(x_n, T^l z) + r^l(1+r)g(z)\frac{1}{1-r}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z) \leq p(x_n, T^l z) + r^l(1+r)g(z)\frac{1}{1-r}.$$

Applying μ to both sides of the inequality, we have that

$$\mu_n \left(\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z) \right) \leq \mu_n p(x_n, T^l z) + r^l(1+r)g(z)\frac{1}{1-r}.$$

Letting $l \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_n \left(\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z) \right) \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n p(x_n, T^l z).$$

We have from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad g(u) = \mu_n p(x_n, u) &\leq \mu_n \left(\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z) \right) \\
&\leq \mu_n \left(\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, T^m z) \right) \\
&\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n p(x_n, T^m z) \\
&= \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(T^m z) \\
&\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(T^m z).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from

$$g(T^m z) = \mu_n p(x_n, T^m z) \leq r \mu_n p(x_n, T^{m-1} z) \leq \cdots \leq r^m \mu_n p(x_n, z) = r^m g(z),$$

we have that

$$(3.6) \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} g(T^m z) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain from (3.5) and (3.6) that $g(u) \leq 0$. This implies that

$$g(u) = \mu_n p(x_n, u) = 0.$$

We show that u is a fixed point of T . Since

$$p(Tu, u) \leq p(Tu, x_n) + p(x_n, u)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tu, u) &\leq \mu_n p(x_n, Tu) + \mu_n p(x_n, u) \\ &\leq r\mu_n p(x_n, u) + \mu_n p(x_n, u) \\ &= r0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p(Tu, u) = 0$. We also have that

$$p(Tu, Tu) \leq p(Tu, x_n) + p(x_n, Tu)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From this, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tu, Tu) &\leq \mu_n p(x_n, Tu) + \mu_n p(x_n, Tu) \\ &\leq r\mu_n p(x_n, u) + r\mu_n p(x_n, u) \\ &= r0 + r0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p(Tu, Tu) = 0$. We have from Lemma 3.1 that $Tu = u$. We show that such a fixed point u is unique. Let $Tu = u$ and $Tv = v$. Since $0 \leq r < 1$ and

$$\mu_n p(x_n, u) = \mu_n p(x_n, Tu) \leq r\mu_n p(x_n, u),$$

we obtain $\mu_n p(x_n, u) = 0$. Similarly, we have $\mu_n p(x_n, v) = 0$. Since

$$p(u, v) \leq p(u, x_n) + p(x_n, v)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, v) &\leq \mu_n p(x_n, u) + \mu_n p(x_n, v) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p(u, v) = 0$. Furthermore, since

$$p(u, u) \leq p(u, x_n) + p(x_n, u)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, u) &\leq \mu_n p(x_n, u) + \mu_n p(x_n, u) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $p(u, u) = 0$. We have from Lemma 3.1 that $u = v$. This completes the proof. \square

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following theorem proved by Hasegawa, Komiya and Takahashi [6].

Theorem 3.3 ([6]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping of X into itself. Suppose that there exist a real number r with $0 \leq r < 1$ and an element $x \in X$ such that $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded and*

$$\mu_n d(T^n x, Ty) \leq r \mu_n d(T^n x, y), \quad \forall y \in X$$

for some mean μ on l^∞ . Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .

Proof. We know that the metric d is one of symmetric w -distances on X ; see [8, 16]. We also have that $\{d(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded because $\{T^n x\}$ is bounded. Thus we have the desired result from Theorem 3.2. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, using Theorem 3.2, we prove new and well-known fixed point theorems in a complete metric space. We first prove a fixed point theorem for generalized hybrid mappings with w -distances in a metric space. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let p be a w -distance on X . A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called p -contractively generalized hybrid if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha p(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)p(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta p(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)p(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. We call such a mapping T a p -contractively (α, β, r) -generalized hybrid mapping. We know that the class of the mappings above covers well-known mappings in a metric space. For example, a p -contractively (α, β, r) -generalized hybrid mapping T is p -contractive for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, i.e., there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq rp(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a symmetric w -distance on X . Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a p -contractively generalized hybrid mapping. Then T has a fixed point in X if and only if $\{p(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$. In this case, the following hold:*

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .

Proof. Since $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a p -contractively generalized hybrid mapping, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha p(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)p(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta p(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)p(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $\{T^n u\} = \{u\}$ for $u \in F(T)$. So, $\{p(T^n u, u)\} = \{p(u, u)\}$ is bounded. We show the reverse. Take $x \in X$ such that $\{p(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded. Then we have that, for any $y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{p(T^n x, y)\}$ is bounded. In fact, we have that

$$(4.3) \quad p(T^n x, y) \leq p(T^n x, x) + p(x, y) \leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} p(T^m x, x) + p(x, y) < \infty.$$

We also have from (4.2) that, for any $y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha p(T^{n+1} x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)p(T^n x, Ty) \\ & \leq r\{\beta p(T^{n+1} x, y) + (1 - \beta)p(T^n x, y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying a Banach limit μ to both sides of the inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(\alpha p(T^{n+1}x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)p(T^n x, Ty)) \\ \leq \mu_n(r\{\beta p(T^{n+1}x, y) + (1 - \beta)p(T^n x, y)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \mu_n p(T^{n+1}x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha) \mu_n p(T^n x, Ty) \\ \leq r \beta \mu_n p(T^{n+1}x, y) + r(1 - \beta) \mu_n p(T^n x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \mu_n p(T^n x, Ty) + (1 - \alpha) \mu_n p(T^n x, Ty) \\ \leq r \beta \mu_n p(T^n x, y) + r(1 - \beta) \mu_n p(T^n x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mu_n p(T^n x, Ty) \leq r \mu_n p(T^n x, y)$$

for all $y \in X$. By Theorem 3.2, T has a unique fixed point u in X . Furthermore, for any $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u . \square

Using Theorem 4.1, we prove a fixed point theorem for p -contractive mappings in a complete metric space.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a w -distance on X . Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a p -contractive mapping, i.e., there exists a real number r with $0 \leq r < 1$ such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq rp(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .

Proof. Putting $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ in (4.2), we have that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq rp(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. From Theorem 2.2, we have $WC_1(X) = WC_0(X)$. Then there exist a symmetric $q \in W_0(X)$ and a real number $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad q(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda q(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Take $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing x by $T^{n-1}x$ and y by $T^n x$ in (4.4), we have that

$$(4.5) \quad q(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq \lambda q(T^{n-1}x, T^n x).$$

Thus we have that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, T^n x) &\leq q(x, Tx) + q(Tx, T^2x) + \cdots + q(T^{n-1}x, T^n x) \\ &\leq q(x, Tx) + \lambda q(x, Tx) + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} q(x, Tx) \\ &\leq q(x, Tx) + \lambda q(x, Tx) + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} q(x, Tx) + \cdots \\ &= q(x, Tx)(1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots) \\ &= q(x, Tx) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\{q(x, T^n x)\} = \{q(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded. We also have that

$$(4.6) \quad \mu_n q(T^n x, Ty) = \mu_n q(T^{n+1}x, Ty) \leq \lambda \mu_n q(T^n x, y), \quad \forall y \in X.$$

Therefore, we have the desired result from Theorem 4.1. \square

The following is a fixed point theorem for p -Kannan mappings in a complete metric space.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a w -distance on X . Let $T \in WK_1(X)$, i.e., there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\{p(Tx, x) + p(Ty, y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, we have $WK_1(X) = WC_0(X)$. From Theorem 4.2, we have the desired result. \square

Using Theorems 4.2 and 2.3, we also have the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a w -distance on X such that $p(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be p -contractively nonspreading, i.e., there exists a real number γ with $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \gamma\{p(Tx, y) + p(x, Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .

Proof. We know from Theorem 2.3 that the mapping T is in $WC_0(X)$. So, we have the desired result from Theorem 4.2. \square

Concerning that $\{p(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded for some $x \in X$ in Theorem 4.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let p be a w -distance on X such that $p(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a p -contractively (α, β, r) -generalized hybrid mapping, i.e., there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$(4.7) \quad \alpha p(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)p(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta p(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)p(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Furthermore, α, β and r satisfy

$$\beta \geq 0, \alpha - r\beta > 0 \text{ and } r < \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta}.$$

Then, $\{p(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Take $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing x by $T^n x$ and y by $T^{n-1} x$ in (4.7), we have

$$(4.8) \quad \alpha p(T^{n+1} x, T^n x) + (1 - \alpha)p(T^n x, T^n x) \\ \leq r\{\beta p(T^{n+1} x, T^{n-1} x) + (1 - \beta)p(T^n x, T^{n-1} x)\}.$$

From $\beta \geq 0$ and (4.8), we have

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha p(T^{n+1} x, T^n x) \leq r\{\beta p(T^{n+1} x, T^{n-1} x) \\ + p(T^n x, T^{n-1} x) + (1 - \beta)p(T^n x, T^{n-1} x)\}$$

and hence

$$(4.10) \quad (\alpha - r\beta)p(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq rp(T^n x, T^{n-1}x).$$

From $\alpha - r\beta > 0$ we have

$$(4.11) \quad p(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq \frac{r}{\alpha - r\beta}p(T^n x, T^{n-1}x).$$

From $r < \frac{\alpha}{1+\beta}$, we have $r < \alpha - r\beta$ and

$$0 \leq \frac{r}{\alpha - r\beta} < 1.$$

Putting $\lambda = \frac{r}{\alpha - r\beta}$, we have that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(T^n x, x) &\leq p(T^n x, T^{n-1}x) + p(T^{n-1}x, T^{n-2}x) + \cdots + p(T^2x, Tx) + p(Tx, x) \\ &\leq \lambda^{n-1}p(Tx, x) + \lambda^{n-2}p(Tx, x) + \cdots + \lambda p(Tx, x) + p(Tx, x) \\ &\leq p(Tx, x)(1 + \lambda + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots) \\ &= p(Tx, x)\frac{1}{1 - \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence $\{p(T^n x, x)\}$ is bounded. \square

Using Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.5, we prove the following fixed point theorem proved by Hasegawa, Komiya and Takahashi [6].

Theorem 4.6 ([6]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an (α, β, r) -contractively generalized hybrid mapping, i.e., there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\alpha d(Tx, Ty) + (1 - \alpha)d(x, Ty) \leq r\{\beta d(Tx, y) + (1 - \beta)d(x, y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Furthermore, α, β and r satisfy

$$\beta \geq 0, \quad \alpha - r\beta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r < \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta}.$$

Then, the following hold:

- (i) T has a unique fixed point u in X ;
- (ii) for every $z \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n z\}$ converges to u .

Proof. Since $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ and $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, we have the desired result from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.5. \square

Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 23540188 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The second and the third authors were partially supported by the grant NSC 99-2115-M-110-007-MY3 and the grant NSC 99-2115-M-037-002-MY3, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Caristi, *Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **215** (1976), 241–251.
- [2] S. K. Chatterjea, *Fixed-point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **25** (1972), 727–730.
- [3] C.-S. Chuang, L.-J. Lin and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for single-valued and set-valued mappings on complete metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **13** (2012), 515–527.
- [4] I. Ekeland, *Nonconvex minimization problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1979), 443–474.
- [5] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] K. Hasegawa, T. Komiya, and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for general contractive mappings in metric spaces and estimating expressions*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **74** (2011), 15–27.
- [7] S. Iemoto, W. Takahashi and H. Yingtaweesittikul, *Nonlinear operators, fixed points and completeness of metric spaces*, in Fixed Point Theory and its Applications (L. J. Lin, A. Petrusel and H. K. Xu Eds.), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2010, pp. 93–101.
- [8] O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, *Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japon. **44** (1996), 381–391.
- [9] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points. II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 405–408.
- [10] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi and J. -C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010), 2497–2511.
- [11] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces*, SIAM. J. Optim. **19** (2008), 824–835.
- [12] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **91** (2008), 166–177.
- [13] L.-J. Lin, W. Takahashi and S.-Y. Wang, *Fixed point theorems for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in complete metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **13** (2012), 195–206.
- [14] N. Shioji, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, *Contractive mappings, Kannan mappings and metric completeness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 3117–3124.
- [15] W. Takahashi, *Existence theorems generalizing fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, in Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Marseille, 1989, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., **252** Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991, pp. 397–406.
- [16] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohoma Publishers, Yokohoma, 2000.
- [17] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohoma Publishers, Yokohoma, 2009.
- [18] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **11** (2010), 79–88.
- [19] T. Zamfirescu, *Fix point theorems in metric spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **23** (1972), 292–298.

(Wataru Takahashi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TOKYO 152-8552, JAPAN AND DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG 80424, TAIWAN.

E-mail address: wataru@is.titech.ac.jp

(Ngai-Ching Wong) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG 80424, TAIWAN

E-mail address: wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw

(Jen-Chih Yao) CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE, KAOHSIUNG MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG 80702, TAIWAN

E-mail address: yaojc@kmu.edu.tw