

# LEFT QUOTIENTS OF A C\*-ALGEBRA, III: OPERATORS ON LEFT QUOTIENTS

LAWRENCE G. BROWN AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $L$  be a norm closed left ideal of a C\*-algebra  $A$ . Then the left quotient  $A/L$  is a left  $A$ -module. In this paper, we shall implement Tomita's idea about representing elements of  $A$  as left multiplications:  $\pi_p(a)(b+L) = ab+L$ . A complete characterization of bounded endomorphisms of the  $A$ -module  $A/L$  is given. The double commutant  $\pi_p(A)''$  of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(A/L)$  is described. Density theorems of von Neumann and Kaplansky type are obtained. Finally, a comprehensive study of relative multipliers of  $A$  is carried out.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra with Banach dual  $A^*$  and double dual  $A^{**}$ . We also consider  $A^{**}$  as the enveloping W\*-algebra of  $A$ , as usual. Let  $L$  be a norm closed left ideal of  $A$ . The quotient  $A/L$  of  $A$  by  $L$  is a Banach space. Let  $B(A/L) = B(A/L, A/L)$  be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators from  $A/L$  into  $A/L$ . In [17, 18], Tomita initiated a program to study the left regular representation  $\pi_p$  of  $A$  on the Banach space  $A/L$ . More precisely, he considered the *Banach algebra* representation of  $A$ ,

$$\pi_p : A \longrightarrow B(A/L),$$

defined by

$$\pi_p(a)(b+L) = ab+L, \quad a, b \in A.$$

The objective of this paper is to answer the following three questions raised by Tomita [18].

- Q1:** How do we describe  $\pi_p(A)$ ? In other words, which properties of an operator  $T$  in  $B(A/L)$  characterize that  $T = \pi_p(t)$  for some  $t$  in  $A$ ?
- Q2:** How do we describe the commutant  $\pi_p(A)'$  and the double commutant  $\pi_p(A)''$  of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(A/L)$ ? Note that  $\pi_p(A)' = \{T \in B(A/L) : T\pi_p(a) = \pi_p(a)T, \forall a \in A\}$  is the Banach algebra of bounded  $A$ -module maps when we consider  $A/L$  as a left  $A$ -module.
- Q3:** Do we have density theorems of von Neumann and Kaplansky type in this context? In other words, is it true that  $\pi_p(A)$  (resp. its unit ball) is dense in  $\pi_p(A)''$  (resp. its unit ball)?

---

*Date:* July 6, 2012 .

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 46L45.

*Key words and phrases.* C\*-algebras, continuous fields of Hilbert spaces, left regular representations, multipliers, density theorems.

Partially supported by Taiwan National Science Council: NSC 99-2115-M-110-007-MY3.

In [17, 18], Tomita tried to represent elements of  $A/L$  as vector sections (he called them “vector fields”) over a compact subset of the state space  $S(A)$  (assuming that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has an identity). In [17], he defined the notion of a “vector field” as “a mapping of a state space into the dual space of the algebra which satisfies a suitable norm condition”. However, due to insufficient tools, “unlike in abelian case, even in a compact space of pure states, the corresponding quotient space of non-commutative algebra  $A$  may not generally be represented as the totality of continuous fields on that space”. Thus, his treatment in [18] of the left regular representation  $\pi_p$  based on his vector section representation does not work in general.

In Part I [20] of this series of papers, the second author offered another approach. It is well-known that closed left ideals  $L$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are in one-to-one correspondence with closed projections  $p$  in  $A^{**}$  such that  $A/L$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $Ap$  as Banach spaces and also as left  $A$ -modules (see Section 3). For an arbitrary closed projection  $p$  in  $A^{**}$  (and thus for an arbitrary closed left ideal  $L$  of  $A$ ), we use the weak\* closed face  $F(p)$  of the quasi-state space  $Q(A)$  of  $A$  supported by  $p$  as the base space. We implement, in addition to the norm conditions of Tomita, an affine structure of vector sections. Then it was established that the quotient space  $A/L (\cong Ap)$  is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space of all continuous admissible vector sections over  $F(p)$  (see Theorem 3.4). Based on these new techniques, we are able to provide in this paper more satisfactory answers to the above three questions.

We begin with the  $W^*$ -algebra version in Section 2 in which we shall completely answer all three questions stated above. For example, if  $p$  is a (necessarily closed) projection in a  $W^*$ -algebra  $M$  then  $\pi_p(M)'$  consists of right multiplications induced by elements of  $pMp$  and  $\pi_p(M)'' = \pi_p(M)$  (Theorem 2.3). In particular, all  $M$ -module maps  $T$  in  $B(Mp)$  are of the form  $T(xp) = xptp$  for some  $t$  in  $M$ .

However, the  $C^*$ -algebra case is much more difficult (due to lack of projections) and we need to develop some new tools. In [20], elements  $bp$  of the Banach space  $Ap$  are interpreted as Hilbert space vector sections over  $F(p)$ . The main idea in this paper is to represent Banach space operators  $\pi_p(a)$  in  $B(Ap)$  as Hilbert space operator sections (Definition 3.7), which is developed in Section 3. In particular, an operator  $T$  in  $B(Ap)$  is said to be *decomposable* if  $T$  can be represented by an operator section (Definition 3.10). A simple way to verify the decomposability of  $T$  is to check if the condition  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$  ensures  $\varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = 0$  whenever  $\varphi$  is a pure state supported by  $p$  and  $a \in A$  (Theorem 3.13). In this case,  $T$  has to be a  $\pi_p(t)$  for some  $t$  in  $LM(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : xAp \subseteq Ap\}$  (Corollary 3.14). This answers our first question **Q1**.

Various relative multipliers of  $A$  associated to  $p$  play important roles in the theory of left regular representations. Beside  $LM(A, p)$ , we shall introduce and study  $RM(A, p)$ ,  $M(A, p)$  and  $QM(A, p)$  in Section 4. They behave in a similar way as the sets  $LM(A)$ ,  $RM(A)$ ,  $M(A)$  and  $QM(A)$  of classical multipliers of  $A$ . For example, they are closures of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$  under

corresponding relative strict topologies (Theorem 4.3). The object studied by Tomita in [18] is essentially the closure of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(Ap)$  with respect to the so-called quotient-(double) strong topology, or  $Q^*$ -topology. In fact, the  $Q^*$ -topology is induced by the relative strict topology of  $A^{**}$ . Thus, the closure of the Banach algebra  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(Ap)$  in the  $Q^*$ -topology is the image of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : xAp \subseteq Ap, pAx \in pA\}$  under  $\pi_p$  (see Remark 4.5). Tomita expected that the double commutant  $\pi_p(A)''$  of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(Ap)$  coincides with  $\pi_p(M(A, p))$ . This is, however, not always true for an arbitrary projection  $p$ . In some important cases, we have  $\pi_p(A)'' = \pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$  (Theorem 4.8). A counter example is Example 4.9. This partially answers our second question **Q2**.

The classical density theorems of von Neumann and Kaplansky have counterparts in this context. Also in Section 4, we show that  $\pi_p(A)$  (resp. its unit ball) is dense in  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$  (resp. its unit ball) in the strong operator topology (SOT) as well as the weak operator topology (WOT) of  $B(Ap)$  (Theorem 4.4). This answers our last question **Q3**.

It is then interesting and useful to find a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}(A, p)$  of  $A^{**}$  such that  $\text{LM}(A, p) = \text{LM}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\text{RM}(A, p) = \text{RM}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $M(A, p) = M(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{QM}(A, p) = \text{QM}(\mathcal{A})$ , and thus all good tools of multipliers apply (see *e.g.* [5]). Several examples and results are provided in Section 5 for the investigation of what  $\mathcal{A}$  should consist of (especially Theorem 5.3).

Finally, we remark that the atomic part of  $Ap$  is studied in Part II [9] of this series of papers. Some interesting and new results in this direction are obtained in Section 6. For example, we show that if  $x$  is in  $A^{**}$  and  $\pi_p(x)$  preserves continuous atomic parts, *i.e.*,  $z_{\text{at}}xAp \subseteq z_{\text{at}}Ap$ , then  $z_{\text{at}}xc(p) \in z_{\text{at}}\text{LM}(A, p)$ , where  $z_{\text{at}}$  is the maximal atomic projection in  $A^{**}$  and  $c(p)$  is the central support of  $p$  in  $A^{**}$  (Theorem 6.2). In particular, when  $p = 1$ , we have  $z_{\text{at}}x = z_{\text{at}}l$  for some left multiplier  $l$  of  $A$  whenever  $z_{\text{at}}xA \subseteq z_{\text{at}}A$  (Corollary 6.3). This supplements results of Shultz [16] and Brown [7]. Similar results are obtained for other relative multipliers as well.

This paper, together with [20, 9], is based on the doctoral dissertation [19] of the second author under the supervision of the first author. We would like to thank Edward Effors for his suggestion to study a paper of Tomita [18], based on his success on working with its predecessor [17].

## 2. THE LEFT REGULAR REPRESENTATION OF A $W^*$ -ALGEBRA

We provide a new elementary proof of the following result of Tomita [18].

**Theorem 2.1** ([18]). *Let  $\pi$  be a bounded homomorphism from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  into a Banach algebra  $B$ . Then  $\pi(A)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $A/\ker \pi$ . If  $\|\pi\| \leq 1$ , then  $\pi(A)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $A/\ker \pi$ .*

PROOF. As the kernel of  $\pi$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $A$ , by passing to the quotient, we can assume  $\pi$  is one-to-one. Assume that  $k$  is a positive number such that

$$\|\pi(a)\| \leq k\|a\|$$

for all  $a$  in  $A$ . It suffices to show that  $\|\pi(a)\| \geq \frac{1}{k}\|a\|$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ . In case  $k = 1$ ,  $\pi$  is an isometry.

First assume that  $a$  is a positive element of  $A$ . We claim that  $\|\pi(a)\| \geq \|a\|$ . Since  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $B$  is a Banach algebra,

$$\|a\| = r_\sigma(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\pi(a)\| \geq r_\sigma(\pi(a)),$$

where  $r_\sigma$  denotes the spectral radius. We shall verify for the spectra that  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \sigma(\pi(a)) \cup \{0\}$ . For any positive  $\lambda$  in  $\sigma(a)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$ , let  $f$  be a continuous real-valued function on the compact set  $\sigma(a)$  such that  $f = 1$  on  $[\lambda - \varepsilon/2, \lambda + \varepsilon/2] \cap \sigma(a)$ ,  $f = 0$  outside  $(\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon)$  and  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ . In a similar manner, we can choose another continuous real-valued function  $g$  on  $\sigma(a)$  such that  $fg = g \neq 0$ . Let  $x = f(a)$  and  $y = g(a)$ . We have  $x, y \in A$  and  $xy = y \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\pi(x)\pi(y) = \pi(y) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\|\pi(x)\| \geq 1$ . Now,  $\|(a - \lambda)x\| < \varepsilon$  implies  $\|(\pi(a) - \lambda)\pi(x)\| = \|\pi((a - \lambda)x)\| < k\varepsilon$ . The fact that  $\varepsilon$  can be arbitrarily small ensures  $\lambda \in \sigma(\pi(a))$ , as asserted. Hence,

$$\|\pi(a)\| \geq r_\sigma(\pi(a)) \geq r_\sigma(a) = \|a\|$$

for all positive  $a$  in  $A$ .

In general, if  $a \in A$  and  $a \neq 0$ ,

$$\|\pi(a)\| \geq \frac{\|\pi(a^*a)\|}{\|\pi(a^*)\|} \geq \frac{\|a^*a\|}{\|\pi(a^*)\|} \geq \frac{\|a\|^2}{k\|a\|} = \frac{1}{k}\|a\|.$$

□

Let  $p$  be a projection in a  $W^*$ -algebra  $M$ . Let  $c(p)$  be the central support of  $p$  in  $M$ . In other words,  $c(p)$  is the minimum central projection in  $M$  such that  $pc(p) = c(p)p = p$ . Recall that  $\pi_p$  is the left regular representation of  $M$  into  $B(Mp)$ , *i.e.*,

$$\pi_p(x)yp = xyp, \quad y \in M.$$

Clearly,  $\pi_p(c(p)) = 1$  in  $B(Mp)$ . Hence,  $\pi_p(t) = \pi_p(tc(p))$  for all  $t$  in  $M$ , and in fact  $\ker \pi_p = M(1 - c(p))$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $T \in B(Mp)$ .  $T$  commutes with all right multiplications  $R_{p_x p}$  for  $x$  in  $M$  if and only if there is a  $t$  in  $M$  such that  $T = \pi_p(t)$ . In this case,  $\|T\| = \|tc(p)\|$ .*

PROOF. We shall just verify the necessity. Assume  $T \in B(Mp)$  such that  $TR_{p_x p} = R_{p_x p}T$ ,  $\forall x \in M$ . For every central projection  $z$  in  $M$ , we have

$$T(zxp) = T(xp(pzp)) = T(R_{p_z p}(xp)) = R_{p_z p}(T(xp)) = (Txp)pzp = z(Txp), \quad x \in M.$$

In particular,  $T(zMp) \subseteq zMp$ . By passing to  $c(p)M$ , we can assume  $c(p) = 1$  and  $\pi_p$  is an isometry by Theorem 2.1.

Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{S \in B(Mp) : SR_{p xp} = R_{p xp}S, \forall x \in M\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{q \in M : q \text{ is a projection and } S\pi_p(q) \in \pi_p(M), \forall S \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

**Claim 1.**  $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

For  $S$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , let  $s = S(p) \in Mp$ . We have

$$\pi_p(s)(xp) = sxp = S(p)(p xp) = R_{p xp}S(p) = S(R_{p xp}(p)) = S(p xp) = S\pi_p(p)(xp),$$

for all  $xp$  in  $Mp$ . Therefore,  $S\pi_p(p) = \pi_p(s) \in \pi_p(M)$ . Hence,  $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Claim 2.**  $\mathcal{Q}$  is hereditary under the quasi-ordering  $\lesssim$  of projections.

Suppose  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $r \lesssim q$ . In other words,  $r = v^*v$  and  $vv^* \leq q$  for some partial isometry  $v$  in  $M$ . Note that  $r = v^*qv$ . Since  $\pi_p(v^*)$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the operator  $S\pi_p(v^*)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  whenever  $S$  does. As  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , for each  $S$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  there is an  $s'$  in  $M$  such that

$$(S\pi_p(v^*))\pi_p(q) = \pi_p(s').$$

Consequently,

$$S(rxp) = S(v^*qvxp) = S\pi_p(v^*)\pi_p(q)(vxp) = s'vxp, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Set  $s'' = s'v$ . We have

$$S\pi_p(r) = \pi_p(s'') \in \pi_p(M).$$

Hence  $r \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is hereditary under  $\lesssim$  and, in particular,  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains all projections  $q$  such that  $q \lesssim p$  by Claim 1.

**Claim 3.**  $\mathcal{S}$  is directed under the ordering  $\leq$  of projections.

We are going to show that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is even a lattice. First, it is clear that if  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  are mutually orthogonal then  $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then, if  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ , we have

$$(q_1 \vee q_2 - q_1) \sim (q_2 - q_1 \wedge q_2) \leq q_2.$$

Hence  $(q_1 \vee q_2 - q_1) \in \mathcal{Q}$  by Claim 2, and consequently  $q_1 \vee q_2 = (q_1 \vee q_2 - q_1) + q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

Associate to each  $q$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  a  $t_q$  in  $M$  such that

$$T\pi_p(q) = \pi_p(t_q).$$

Then  $\|t_q\| = \|\pi_p(t_q)\| \leq \|T\|$  because  $\pi_p$  is an isometry. Since the net  $\{t_q : q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  is bounded in the W\*-algebra  $M$ , some subnet  $(t_{q_\lambda})$  converges to some  $t$  in  $M$  with respect to the  $\sigma(M, M_*)$  topology. For every  $xp$  in  $Mp$ , let  $q_x$  be the range projection of  $xp$ . Then  $q_x \in \mathcal{Q}$  since  $q_x \lesssim p$ . Consequently, for large enough  $\lambda$ , we have  $q_x \leq q_\lambda$  and thus

$$T(xp) = T(q_\lambda xp) = T\pi_p(q_\lambda)(xp) = t_{q_\lambda} xp.$$

It follows that

$$txp = \lim t_{q_\lambda} xp = T(xp), \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Hence  $\pi_p(t) = T$ . Finally,  $\|t\| = \|\pi_p(t)\| = \|T\|$  since  $\pi_p$  is an isometry.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra,  $p$  a projection in  $M$  and  $\pi_p$  the left regular representation of  $M$  on  $Mp$ . Then the commutant of  $\pi_p(M)$  in  $B(Mp)$  is*

$$\pi_p(M)' = \{R_{ptp} : t \in M\},$$

and the double commutant is

$$\pi_p(M)'' = \overline{\pi_p(M)}^{\text{SOT}} = \overline{\pi_p(M)}^{\text{WOT}} = \pi_p(M).$$

PROOF. Suppose  $T \in \pi_p(M)'$ . Let  $Tp = tp \in Mp$ . Now

$$Txp = T\pi_p(x)p = \pi_p(x)Tp = \pi_p(x)(tp) = xtp, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Since  $(1-p)p = 0$ , we must have  $(1-p)tp = 0$ , *i.e.*,  $tp = ptp$ . Hence  $T = R_{ptp}$ . The opposite inclusion is obvious and thus we have  $\pi_p(M)' = \{R_{ptp} : t \in M\}$ . Since the double commutant of any subset of  $B(Mp)$  is closed in both the strong operator topology (SOT) and the weak operator topology (WOT) of  $B(Mp)$ , the second assertion follows from Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

### 3. THE LEFT REGULAR REPRESENTATION OF A $C^*$ -ALGEBRA

Let

$$S(A) = \{\varphi \in A^* : \varphi \geq 0, \|\varphi\| = 1\}$$

be the state space and

$$Q(A) = \{\varphi \in A^* : \varphi \geq 0, \|\varphi\| \leq 1\}$$

be the quasi-state space of  $A$  equipped with the weak\* topology.  $Q(A)$  is a weak\* compact convex set. A convex subset  $F$  of  $Q(A)$  is called a *face* if both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  belong to  $F$  whenever  $\varphi, \psi \in Q(A)$  and  $\lambda\varphi + (1-\lambda)\psi \in F$  for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

Recall that a projection  $p$  in  $A^{**}$  is *closed* if and only if the face

$$F(p) = \{\varphi \in Q(A) : \varphi(1-p) = 0\}$$

of  $Q(A)$  supported by  $p$  is weak\* closed. The relation

$$L = A^{**}(1-p) \cap A$$

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between closed projections in  $A^{**}$  and norm closed left ideals of  $A$ . Also,  $L^{**} = A^{**}(1-p)$ . Moreover, we have isometrical isomorphisms

$$a + L \longmapsto ap \quad \text{and} \quad x + L^{**} \longmapsto xp$$

under which

$$A/L \cong Ap \quad \text{and} \quad (A/L)^{**} \cong A^{**}/L^{**} \cong A^{**}p$$

as Banach spaces and also as left  $A$ -modules, respectively [12, 15, 1, 14].

From now on,  $p$  is always the unique closed projection in  $A^{**}$  associated to the norm closed left ideal  $L = A^{**}(1-p) \cap A$ . For simplicity of notation, we write  $Ap$  for the left quotient  $A/L$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  by  $L$ . Consequently, its Banach double dual  $A^{**}p$  is the quotient  $A^{**}/L^{**}$ . Denote by  $\pi_p$  the left regular representation of  $A$  on  $Ap$  defined by  $\pi_p(a)bp = abp$  (or equivalently,  $\pi_p(a)(b+L) = ab+L$ ). As usual,  $\pi_p$  can be extended to the left regular

representation of  $A^{**}$  into  $B(A^{**}p)$ , denoted again by  $\pi_p$ , such that  $\pi_p(x)yp = xyp$  (or equivalently,  $\pi_p(x)(y + L^{**}) = xy + L^{**}$ ).

We note that

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(px) = \varphi(xp) = \varphi(pxp), \quad \forall x \in A^{**}, \forall \varphi \in F(p).$$

Let  $\varphi \in F(p)$ . The GNS construction yields a cyclic representation  $(\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \omega_\varphi)$  of  $A$  such that  $\overline{\pi_\varphi(A)\omega_\varphi} = H_\varphi$  and  $\varphi(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\omega_\varphi, \omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi$  for all  $x$  in  $A^{**}$ . Here  $\pi_\varphi$  also denotes the canonical extension of  $\pi_\varphi$  to  $A^{**}$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$  is the inner product of the Hilbert space  $H_\varphi$  (see, e.g., [11]). Set  $H_\varphi = \{0\}$  for  $\varphi = 0$ .

**Notation.** Write  $x\omega_\varphi$  for  $\pi_\varphi(x)\omega_\varphi$  in  $H_\varphi$ ,  $\forall x \in A^{**}, \forall \varphi \in F(p)$ .

There is a linear embedding of  $A^{**}p$  into the product space  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$  defined by associating to each  $xp$  in  $A^{**}p$  the vector section  $(x\omega_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$ . Note that the fiber Hilbert spaces  $H_\varphi$ 's are not totally independent. In fact, we have

**Lemma 3.1** ([20, 2.3]). *For  $\varphi, \psi$  in  $F(p)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ , we can define a bounded linear map*

$$T_{\psi\varphi} : H_\varphi \rightarrow H_\psi$$

by sending  $a\omega_\varphi$  to  $a\omega_\psi, \forall a \in A$ . Moreover,  $\|T_{\psi\varphi}\|^2 \leq \lambda$  and

$$T_{\psi\varphi}(x\omega_\varphi) = x\omega_\psi, \quad \forall x \in A^{**}.$$

**Definition 3.2** ([20, 2.4]). A vector section  $(x_\varphi)_\varphi$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$  is said to be *admissible* if

$$T_{\psi\varphi}x_\varphi = x_\psi$$

whenever  $\varphi, \psi \in F(p)$  and  $0 \leq \psi \leq \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

Clearly, each  $xp$  in  $A^{**}p$  induces an admissible vector section  $(x\omega_\varphi)_\varphi$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$ . They are exactly all of them.

**Theorem 3.3** ([20, 3.1]). *The image of the linear embedding  $xp \mapsto (x\omega_\varphi)_\varphi$  of  $A^{**}p$  into  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$  coincides with the set of all admissible vector sections in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\|xp\| = \sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|x\omega_\varphi\|_{H_\varphi}.$$

*In particular, admissible vector sections are automatically bounded.*

It is natural to ask which properties characterize those admissible vector sections arising from elements of  $Ap$ . Recall the notion of a continuous field of Hilbert spaces [13, 10]. Note that  $\{a\omega_\varphi : a \in A\}$  is norm dense in  $H_\varphi, \forall \varphi \in F(p)$ , and the norm functions  $\varphi \mapsto \|a\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi = \varphi(a^*a)^{1/2}$  are continuous on  $F(p)$  for  $a$  in  $A$ . Consequently, the image of  $Ap$  under the embedding  $A^{**}p \hookrightarrow \prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$  defines a continuous structure of the field of Hilbert spaces  $(F(p), \{H_\varphi\}_\varphi)$  with base space  $F(p)$  and fiber Hilbert spaces  $H_\varphi, \forall \varphi \in F(p)$ . In this context,

- A vector section  $(x_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$  is *bounded* if  $\sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|x_\varphi\|_{H_\varphi} < \infty$ .

- A bounded vector section  $(x_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is *weakly continuous* if  $\varphi \mapsto \langle x_\varphi, a\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi$  is continuous on  $F(p)$  for all  $ap$  in  $Ap$ .
- A weakly continuous vector section  $(x_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is *continuous* if  $\varphi \mapsto \langle x_\varphi, x_\varphi \rangle_\varphi$  is also continuous on  $F(p)$ .

Let us denote the continuous field of Hilbert spaces thus obtained by  $(F(p), \{H_\varphi\}_\varphi, Ap)$ . The following result says that there are no more continuous *admissible* vector sections in  $(F(p), \{H_\varphi\}_\varphi, Ap)$  other than those arising from elements of  $Ap$ .

**Theorem 3.4** ([20, 3.2]). *The image of  $Ap$  under the linear embedding  $xp \mapsto (x\omega_\varphi)_\varphi$  of  $A^{**}p$  into  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$  coincides with the set of all continuous admissible vector sections in the continuous field of Hilbert spaces  $(F(p), \{H_\varphi\}_\varphi, Ap)$ . Consequently,*

$$Ap = \{xp \in A^{**}p : \varphi \mapsto \langle x\omega_\varphi, x\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(x^*x) \text{ and} \\ \varphi \mapsto \langle x\omega_\varphi, a\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(a^*x) \text{ are continuous on } F(p) \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{W}_p$  be the set of weakly continuous admissible vector sections in  $(F(p), \{H_\varphi\}_\varphi, Ap)$ . In other words,

$$\mathcal{W}_p = \{xp \in A^{**}p : \varphi \mapsto \langle x\omega_\varphi, a\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(a^*x) \text{ is continuous on } F(p) \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A\}.$$

The following extension of Kadison function representation is useful for our work. The classical one deals with the case  $p = 1$  (see, e.g., [14]). In the following,  $A_{sa}$  (resp.  $A_{sa}^{**}$ ) denotes the set of all self-adjoint elements of  $A$  (resp.  $A^{**}$ ).

**Proposition 3.5** ([5, 3.5]).  *$pA_{sap}$  (resp.  $pA_{sa}^{**}p$ ) is isometrically linear and order isomorphic to the Banach space of all continuous (resp. bounded) real affine functionals of  $F(p)$  vanishing at zero. In particular, for any  $x$  in  $A^{**}$ , we have*

$$pxp \in pAp \text{ if and only if } \varphi \mapsto \varphi(pxp) = \varphi(x) \text{ is continuous on } F(p).$$

**Corollary 3.6** ([20, 4.1]). *Let  $xp \in A^{**}p$ .*

- (1)  $\mathcal{W}_p = \{xp \in A^{**}p : pa^*xp \in pAp, \forall a \in A\}$ .
- (2)  $Ap = \{xp \in A^{**}p : px^*xp \in pAp \text{ and } pa^*xp \in pAp, \forall a \in A\}$ .
- (3)  $Ap = \{xp \in A^{**}p : pw^*xp \in pAp, \forall wp \in \mathcal{W}_p\}$ .

Motivated by the fact that elements of  $A^{**}p$  are exactly the admissible vector sections in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} H_\varphi$ , we make the following definition.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $T_\varphi$  be in  $B(H_\varphi)$  for each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$ . The operator section  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is said to be *admissible* if

$$T_{\psi\varphi}T_\varphi = T_\psi T_{\psi\varphi}$$

whenever  $\psi, \varphi \in F(p)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  be an operator section in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} B(H_\varphi)$ . The following are all equivalent to each other.*

- (1)  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is admissible.
- (2)  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  sends continuous admissible vector sections to admissible vector sections; that is, it induces a linear operator  $T$  from  $Ap$  into  $A^{**}p$ .
- (3)  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  sends admissible vector sections to admissible vector sections; that is, it induces a linear operator  $T$  from  $A^{**}p$  into  $A^{**}p$ .

PROOF. Firstly, we note that the assertions in (2) and (3) follow from Theorems 3.3 and 3.4.

(3)  $\implies$  (2) is clear.

(2)  $\implies$  (1): Suppose that  $(T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi))_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is admissible for each  $a$  in  $A$ . Hence there is an  $xp$  in  $A^{**}p$  such that  $x\omega_\varphi = T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi)$ ,  $\forall \varphi \in F(p)$ , by Theorem 3.3. Let  $\psi, \varphi \in F(p)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$T_{\psi\varphi}T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi) = T_{\psi\varphi}(x\omega_\varphi) = x\omega_\psi = T_\psi(a\omega_\psi) = T_\psi T_{\psi\varphi}(a\omega_\varphi).$$

Since  $\pi_p(A)\omega_\varphi$  is dense in  $H_\varphi$ ,  $T_{\psi\varphi}T_\varphi = T_\psi T_{\psi\varphi}$ . As a result,  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is an admissible operator section.

(1)  $\implies$  (3): We suppose that  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is an admissible operator section. We want to show that  $y_\varphi = T_\varphi(x\omega_\varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in F(p)$ , defines an admissible vector section for each  $x$  in  $A^{**}$ . Let  $\psi, \varphi \in F(p)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Observe that

$$T_{\psi\varphi}(y_\varphi) = T_{\psi\varphi}(T_\varphi(x\omega_\varphi)) = T_\psi(T_{\psi\varphi}(x\omega_\varphi)) = T_\psi(x\omega_\psi) = y_\psi.$$

This proves the admissibility of  $(y_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Every admissible operator section  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  induces a unique bounded linear operator  $T$  in  $B(A^{**}p)$  such that the vector section representing  $T(xp)$  is  $(T_\varphi(x\omega_\varphi))_{\varphi \in F(p)}$ . In this case, we write  $T = (T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$ .*

PROOF. In view of the proof of Lemma 3.8, we can define  $T : A^{**}p \longrightarrow A^{**}p$  by

$$T(xp)\omega_\varphi = T_\varphi(x\omega_\varphi), \quad \varphi \in F(p).$$

We apply the closed graph theorem to establish the boundedness of  $T$ . Assume  $x_n p \longrightarrow xp$  and  $T(x_n p) \longrightarrow yp$  in norm. If  $yp \neq T(xp)$  then there is a  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$  such that  $y\omega_\varphi \neq T(xp)\omega_\varphi = T_\varphi(x\omega_\varphi)$ . But they are both the limit of  $T_\varphi(x_n\omega_\varphi) = T(x_n p)\omega_\varphi$ , a contradiction. So  $\|T\| < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.10.** A bounded linear operator  $T$  in  $B(A^{**}p)$  is said to be *decomposable* if for each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$  there is a  $T_\varphi$  in  $B(H_\varphi)$  such that  $(Txp)\omega_\varphi = T_\varphi(x\omega_\varphi)$  for all  $x$  in  $A^{**}$ . In other words,  $T = (T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  (cf. Lemma 3.9). Note that the operator section  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  must be admissible in this case (Lemma 3.8).

It is clear that all operators  $T$  in  $\pi_p(A^{**})$  are decomposable. In fact,  $T = \pi_p(t)$  for some  $t$  in  $A^{**}$ , and thus we can set  $T_\varphi = \pi_\varphi(t)$ ,  $\forall \varphi \in F(p)$ . We are going to prove that every decomposable operator in  $B(A^{**}p)$  arises in this way.

**Lemma 3.11.** *If  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  is an admissible section of operators in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} B(H_\varphi)$  then  $T_\varphi$  belongs to the double commutant  $\pi_\varphi(A)''$  of  $\pi_\varphi(A)$  in  $B(H_\varphi)$  for each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi \in F(p)$  and  $q$  a projection in  $\pi_\varphi(A)' \subseteq B(H_\varphi)$ . Define a linear functional  $\psi$  on  $A$  by

$$\psi(a) = \langle a\omega_\varphi, q\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi.$$

It is easy to see that  $\psi \in F(p)$  and  $0 \leq \psi \leq \varphi$ . Observe that for  $a, b$  in  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\psi\varphi}^*(a\omega_\psi), b\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi &= \langle a\omega_\psi, T_{\psi\varphi}(b\omega_\varphi) \rangle_\psi = \langle a\omega_\psi, b\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi \\ &= \psi(b^*a) = \langle b^*a\omega_\varphi, q\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \langle a\omega_\varphi, bq\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \langle qa\omega_\varphi, b\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have  $qa\omega_\varphi = T_{\psi\varphi}^*(a\omega_\psi)$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ . In particular,  $qH_\varphi = \overline{T_{\psi\varphi}^*H_\psi}$ . By the admissibility condition, we have  $T_{\psi\varphi}T_\varphi = T_\psi T_{\psi\varphi}$  and thus  $T_\varphi^*T_{\psi\varphi}^* = T_{\psi\varphi}^*T_\psi^*$ . It follows that  $qH_\varphi$  is invariant under  $T_\varphi^*$ . Apply the same argument to  $1 - q$ , we can conclude that  $qH_\varphi$  is a reducing subspace of  $T_\varphi^*$ . Hence  $qT_\varphi^* = T_\varphi^*q$  for every projection  $q$  in the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_\varphi(A)'$ . It follows that  $T_\varphi^* \in \pi_\varphi(A)''$  and thus  $T_\varphi \in \pi_\varphi(A)''$  for each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $p$  a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  with central support  $c(p)$  and  $T \in B(A^{**}p)$ . Then  $T \in \pi_p(A^{**})$  if and only if  $T$  is decomposable. In this case, if  $T = (T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)} = \pi_p(t)$  for some  $t$  in  $A^{**}$  then  $\|T\|_{B(A^{**}p)} = \sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|T_\varphi\| = \|tc(p)\|$ .*

PROOF. We check the sufficiency only. Suppose that  $T$  induces an operator section  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} B(H_\varphi)$ . In view of Lemma 2.2, we need only verify that  $T$  commutes with right multiplications  $R_{pxp}$  for all  $x$  in  $A^{**}$ ; i.e., for every  $y$  in  $A^{**}$ ,  $T(R_{pxp}yp) = R_{pxp}(Typ)$ . In other words,

$$T(ypxp) = (Typ)xp;$$

or equivalently,

$$T(ypxp)\omega_\varphi = (Typ)xp\omega_\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in F(p).$$

By Lemma 3.11, for each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$  we can choose a  $t_\varphi$  in  $A^{**}$  such that

$$\pi_\varphi(t_\varphi) = T_\varphi.$$

The admissibility of  $(T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  says that  $T_\psi T_{\psi\varphi} = T_{\psi\varphi} T_\psi$ . Consequently,

$$\pi_\psi(t_\psi)T_{\psi\varphi} = T_{\psi\varphi}\pi_\psi(t_\psi)$$

whenever  $\varphi, \psi \in F(p)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq \lambda\varphi$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . In this case, we have

$$t_\psi y \omega_\psi = \pi_\psi(t_\psi)T_{\psi\varphi}(y\omega_\varphi) = T_{\psi\varphi}\pi_\psi(t_\psi)(y\omega_\varphi) = T_{\psi\varphi}(t_\psi y \omega_\varphi) = t_\psi y \omega_\psi$$

for every  $y$  in  $A^{**}$ , and thus

$$(1) \quad \pi_\psi(t_\psi) = \pi_\psi(t_\varphi) \text{ in } B(H_\psi).$$

Moreover, we note that

$$(2) \quad p\omega_\varphi = \omega_\varphi \text{ and } T(xp) = (T(xp))p \in A^{**}p, \quad \forall \varphi \in F(p), \forall x \in A^{**}.$$

For each  $x$  in  $A^{**}$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$  we define  $\psi, \rho$  in  $F(p)$  by

$$\psi(\cdot) = \langle \cdot px\omega_\varphi, px\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \frac{\varphi + \psi}{2}.$$

Since  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\rho$  and  $0 \leq \psi \leq 2\rho$ , by (1) we have

$$(3) \quad \pi_\varphi(t_\varphi) = \pi_\varphi(t_\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\psi(t_\psi) = \pi_\psi(t_\rho).$$

It follows that

$$(4) \quad (T(ypxp))\omega_\varphi = T_\varphi(ypx\omega_\varphi) = \pi_\varphi(t_\varphi)(ypx\omega_\varphi) = \pi_\varphi(t_\rho)(ypx\omega_\varphi) = (t_\rho ypx)\omega_\varphi.$$

Observe also that for every  $y$  in  $A^{**}$ , by (2) and (3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (Typ)x\omega_\varphi, ypx\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi &= \langle (Typ)\omega_\psi, y\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi = \langle T_\psi(y\omega_\psi), y\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi \\ &= \langle \pi_\psi(t_\psi)y\omega_\psi, y\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi = \langle \pi_\psi(t_\rho)y\omega_\psi, y\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi = \langle t_\rho ypx\omega_\varphi, ypx\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $((Typ) - t_\rho yp)x\omega_\varphi \in (A^{**}px\omega_\varphi)^\perp$ . It follows

$$(Typ)x\omega_\varphi = t_\rho ypx\omega_\varphi.$$

Consequently, by (4)

$$(T(ypxp))\omega_\varphi = t_\rho ypx\omega_\varphi = ((Typ)xp)\omega_\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in F(p),$$

and thus  $T(ypxp) = (Typ)xp$ , as asserted.

For the norm equalities, we choose a  $t$  in  $A^{**}$  by Lemma 2.2 such that  $T = \pi_p(t)$  and

$$\|T\|_{B(A^{**}p)} = \|tc(p)\| = \sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|\pi_\varphi(t)\| = \sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|T_\varphi\|.$$

□

Let

$$\text{QM}(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : pAxAp \subseteq pAp\}$$

the Banach space of *relative quasi-multipliers* of  $A$  associated to  $p$ . By Corollary 3.6, for any  $x$  in  $A^{**}$ , we have  $x \in \text{QM}(A, p)$  if and only if  $\pi_p(x) \in B(Ap, \mathcal{W}_p)$ ; *i.e.*,  $\pi_p(x)$  sends continuous admissible vector sections to weakly continuous admissible vector sections in  $(F(p), \{H_\varphi\}_\varphi, Ap)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra and  $p$  a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  with central support  $c(p)$ . Assume  $T$  in  $B(Ap, \mathcal{W}_p)$  satisfies the condition that*

$$\varphi(a^*a) = 0 \implies \varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = 0$$

*whenever  $\varphi$  is a pure state in  $F(p)$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $T$  can be extended to a decomposable operator in  $B(A^{**}p)$ , denoted again by  $T$ , such that  $T = \pi_p(t)$  for some  $t$  in  $\text{QM}(A, p)$  and  $\|T\|_{B(Ap, \mathcal{W}_p)} = \|T\|_{B(A^{**}p)} = \|tc(p)\|$ .*

PROOF. We first recall that

$$\|a\omega_\varphi\|^2 = \langle a\omega_\varphi, a\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(a^*a), \quad \forall a \in A, \forall \varphi \in F(p).$$

Let  $X = F(p) \cap P(A)$ , where  $P(A)$  is the pure state space of  $A$ . By hypothesis and the Kadison transitivity theorem, for each  $\varphi$  in  $X$  we can define a linear map  $T_\varphi$  on  $H_\varphi = A\omega_\varphi$  by

$$T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi) = (T(ap))\omega_\varphi.$$

Let  $\varphi \in X$  and  $a\omega_\varphi \in H_\varphi$  such that  $\|a\omega_\varphi\| = 1$ . Again by the Kadison transitivity theorem, there is a  $b$  in  $A$  such that  $b\omega_\varphi = a\omega_\varphi$  and  $\|b\| = 1$ . Hence

$$\|T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi)\| = \|T_\varphi(b\omega_\varphi)\| = \|(T(bp))\omega_\varphi\| \leq \|T(bp)\| \leq \|T\|\|bp\| \leq \|T\|.$$

Therefore,  $\|T_\varphi\| \leq \|T\|$  for every  $\varphi$  in  $X$ . Consequently, we have  $\sup_{\varphi \in X} \|T_\varphi\| \leq \|T\|$ .

Now assume  $\varphi$  belongs to  $\overline{X}$ , the weak\* closure of  $X$ , and  $a, b \in A$ . Since  $T(ap) \in \mathcal{W}_p$ , the scalar functions  $\psi \mapsto \|a\omega_\psi\|_\psi$ ,  $\psi \mapsto \|b\omega_\psi\|_\psi$  and  $\psi \mapsto \langle (T(ap))\omega_\psi, b\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi$  are all continuous on  $F(p)$ . It follows that

$$|\langle (T(ap))\omega_\varphi, b\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi| \leq \left( \sup_{\psi \in X} \|T_\psi\| \right) \|a\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi \|b\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi \leq \|T\| \|a\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi \|b\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi.$$

Hence  $T_\varphi$  in  $B(H_\varphi)$  exists such that

$$(5) \quad T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi) = (T(ap))\omega_\varphi, \quad \forall a \in A, \forall \varphi \in \overline{X}.$$

Moreover,  $\|T_\varphi\| \leq \|T\|$  for every  $\varphi$  in  $\overline{X} = \overline{(F(p) \cap P(A))}$ .

Note that  $X \cup \{0\}$  is the extreme boundary of the compact convex set  $F(p)$ . Consequently, continuous affine functionals of  $F(p)$  assume extrema at points in  $X$ . From Proposition 3.5, we know that there is an order-preserving linear isometry from  $pA_{sa}p$  into  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{X})$ , the Banach space of continuous real-valued functions defined on the compact Hausdorff space  $\overline{X}$ . Hence each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$  has a (non-unique) Hahn-Banach positive extension  $m_\varphi$  in the space  $M(\overline{X})$  ( $\cong C_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{X})^*$ ) of regular finite Borel measures on  $\overline{X}$ . By handling real and imaginary parts separately, we can write for each  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$

$$(6) \quad \varphi(a) = \varphi(pap) = \int_{\overline{X}} \psi(pap) dm_\varphi(\psi) = \int_{\overline{X}} \psi(a) dm_\varphi(\psi), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

For any  $a, b$  in  $A$ , since  $T(ap) \in \mathcal{W}_\varphi$ , we have  $pb^*(T(ap)) \in pAp$  by Corollary 3.6. Therefore, the continuous affine function  $\psi \mapsto \psi(pb^*(T(ap))) = \langle (T(ap))\omega_\psi, b\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi$  satisfies the barycenter

formula (6). Consequently, by (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle T(ap)\omega_\varphi, b\omega_\varphi \rangle_\varphi | \\
&= \left| \int_{\overline{X}} \langle T(ap)\omega_\psi, b\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi dm_\varphi(\psi) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\overline{X}} \langle T_\psi(a\omega_\psi), b\omega_\psi \rangle_\psi dm_\varphi(\psi) \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\overline{X}} \|T_\psi\| \|a\omega_\psi\| \|b\omega_\psi\| dm_\varphi(\psi) \\
&\leq \left( \sup_{\psi \in \overline{X}} \|T_\psi\| \right) \left( \int_{\overline{X}} \|a\omega_\psi\|^2 dm_\varphi(\psi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\overline{X}} \|b\omega_\psi\|^2 dm_\varphi(\psi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left( \sup_{\psi \in \overline{X}} \|T_\psi\| \right) \left( \int_{\overline{X}} \psi(a^*a) dm_\varphi(\psi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\overline{X}} \psi(b^*b) dm_\varphi(\psi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left( \sup_{\psi \in \overline{X}} \|T_\psi\| \right) \varphi(a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(b^*b)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \|T\| \|a\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi \|b\omega_\varphi\|_\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, a bounded linear operator  $T_\varphi$  in  $B(H_\varphi)$  exists such that  $T_\varphi(a\omega_\varphi) = (T(ap))\omega_\varphi$  for every  $a$  in  $A$ . Moreover,

$$\|T_\varphi\| \leq \|T\|, \quad \forall \varphi \in F(p).$$

At this point, we have shown that  $T$  can be written as an admissible section of operators  $T = (T_\varphi)_{\varphi \in F(p)}$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} B(H_\varphi)$  (cf. Lemma 3.8). Extend  $T$  to a bounded linear operator on  $A^{**}p$  as in Lemma 3.9. Consequently by Theorem 3.12, there is a  $t$  in  $A^{**}$  such that  $T = \pi_p(t)$  and  $\|T\|_{B(A^{**}p)} = \sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|T_\varphi\|_{B(H_\varphi)} = \|tc(p)\|$ . Since  $T(Ap) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p$ , we have  $pb^*(Tap) \in pAp$  by Corollary 3.6. Hence  $pAtAp \subseteq pAp$ . As a result,  $t \in \text{QM}(A, p)$ . Finally, we note that

$$\|T\|_{B(Ap, \mathcal{W}_p)} \leq \|T\|_{B(A^{**}p)} = \sup_{\varphi \in F(p)} \|T_\varphi\|_{B(H_\varphi)} \leq \|T\|_{B(Ap, \mathcal{W}_p)}.$$

□

Let

$$\text{LM}(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : xAp \subseteq Ap\},$$

the Banach algebra of *relative left multipliers* of  $A$  associated to  $p$ .

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra,  $p$  a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  with central support  $c(p)$  and  $T \in B(Ap)$ . The following are all equivalent.*

- (1)  $T \in \pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$ .
- (2)  $T$  is decomposable.
- (3)  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$  implies  $\varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = 0$  whenever  $\varphi$  is a pure state supported by  $p$  and  $a$  in  $A$ .

In this case, if  $t \in \text{LM}(A, p)$  such that  $T = \pi_p(t)$  then  $\|T\|_{B(Ap)} = \|tc(p)\|$ .

## 4. COMMUTANTS AND DENSITY THEOREMS

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $p$  a closed projection in  $A^{**}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LM}(A, p) &= \{x \in A^{**} : xAp \subseteq Ap\}, \\ \text{RM}(A, p) &= \{x \in A^{**} : pAx \subseteq pA\}, \\ \text{M}(A, p) &= \{x \in A^{**} : xAp \subseteq Ap, pAx \subseteq pA\}, \text{ and} \\ \text{QM}(A, p) &= \{x \in A^{**} : pAxAp \subseteq pAp\} \end{aligned}$$

the sets of *relative left multipliers*, *relative right multipliers*, *relative multipliers* and *relative quasi-multipliers associated to  $p$* , respectively. We define the *relative left strict topology*, *relative right strict topology*, *relative strict topology* and *relative quasi-strict topology* of  $A^{**}$  associated to  $p$  by the seminorms  $x \mapsto \|xap\|$ ,  $x \mapsto \|pax\|$ ,  $x \mapsto \|xap\| + \|pbx\|$  and  $x \mapsto \|paxbp\|$  for  $a, b$  in  $A$ .

- Remarks 4.2.**
- (1) It is easy to see that  $\text{LM}(A) \subseteq \text{LM}(A, p)$ ,  $\text{RM}(A) \subseteq \text{RM}(A, p)$ ,  $\dots$ , and all of them are norm closed subspaces of  $A^{**}$ .
  - (2)  $\text{QM}(A, p)$  is  $*$ -invariant whereas  $\text{LM}(A, p)^* = \text{RM}(A, p)$ . Moreover, both  $\text{LM}(A, p)$  and  $\text{RM}(A, p)$  are Banach algebras, and  $\text{M}(A, p) = \text{LM}(A, p) \cap \text{RM}(A, p)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.
  - (3) The relative strict topologies associated to  $p$  are Hausdorff if and only if the central support  $c(p)$  of  $p$  equals 1.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $p$  a closed projection in  $A^{**}$ . Then  $\text{LM}(A, p)$  (resp.  $\text{RM}(A, p)$ ,  $\text{M}(A, p)$  and  $\text{QM}(A, p)$ ) coincides with the closure of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$  with respect to the relative left strict (resp. right strict, strict and quasi-strict) topology associated to  $p$ .*

*Moreover, the unit ball (resp. its self-adjoint part, positive part) of  $A$  is dense in the unit ball (resp. its self-adjoint part, positive part) of  $\text{LM}(A, p)$ ,  $\text{RM}(A, p)$ ,  $\text{M}(A, p)$  and  $\text{QM}(A, p)$  in the corresponding relative strict topologies associated to  $p$ , respectively.*

**PROOF.** We prove only the assertion about relative left multipliers since all others follow in a similar manner. In the following, we denote by  $B_{sa}$  (resp.  $B_+$ ,  $B_1$ ) the set of all self-adjoint elements (resp. positive elements, elements of norm not greater than 1) in  $B$  whenever  $B$  is a subset of  $A$  or  $A^{**}$ .

Assume  $x \in \text{LM}(A, p)$ . We want to show that  $x$  belongs to the relative left strict closure of  $A$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ . Consider the convex set  $V$  in the direct sum  $(Ap)^n = Ap \oplus \dots \oplus Ap$  given by

$$V = \{(ba_1p, \dots, ba_np) : b \in A\}.$$

(In case  $x \in A_1^{**}$ ,  $x \in A_{sa}^{**} \cap A_1^{**}$  or  $x \in A_+^{**} \cap A_1^{**}$ , we replace  $A$  by  $A_1$ ,  $A_{sa} \cap A_1$  or  $A_+ \cap A_1$  in the definition of  $V$ , respectively.) Since  $x \in \text{LM}(A, p)$ , we have  $\tilde{x} = (xa_1p, xa_2p, \dots, xa_np) \in (Ap)^n$ . If  $\tilde{x} \notin \overline{V}^{\|\cdot\|}$  then there is an  $f$  in  $((Ap)^n)^*$  such that

$$(7) \quad \text{Re } \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) < -1 \leq \text{Re } \tilde{f}(\tilde{b}), \quad \forall \tilde{b} \in V,$$

where  $\tilde{b} = (ba_1p, ba_2p, \dots, ba_np)$ . Since  $(Ap)^* \cong A^{**}F(p)$  (see, *e.g.*, [12]), we can write  $\tilde{f} = f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus \dots \oplus f_n$  such that  $f_k = y_k^* \varphi_k$  for some  $y_k$  in  $A^{**}$  and  $\varphi_k$  in  $F(p)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(xa_kp) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(y_k^* xa_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle xa_k \omega_{\varphi_k}, y_k \omega_{\varphi_k} \rangle_{\varphi_k}$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{b}) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(ba_kp) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(y_k^* ba_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle ba_k \omega_{\varphi_k}, y_k \omega_{\varphi_k} \rangle_{\varphi_k}.$$

Let  $\{b_\lambda\}_\lambda$  be a net in  $A$  such that  $b_\lambda$  converges to  $x$   $\sigma$ -weakly. (In case  $x \in A_1^{**}$ ,  $x \in A_{sa}^{**} \cap A_1^{**}$  or  $x \in A_+^{**} \cap A_1^{**}$ , the Kaplansky density theorem (see, *e.g.*, [11]) enables us to choose  $b_\lambda$ 's from  $A_1$ ,  $A_{sa} \cap A_1$  or  $A_+ \cap A_1$ , respectively.) In particular,

$$\langle b_\lambda a_k \omega_{\varphi_k}, y_k \omega_{\varphi_k} \rangle_{\varphi_k} \longrightarrow \langle x a_k \omega_{\varphi_k}, y_k \omega_{\varphi_k} \rangle_{\varphi_k} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{b}_\lambda) \longrightarrow \tilde{f}(\tilde{x})$  where  $\tilde{b}_\lambda = (b_\lambda a_1 p, b_\lambda a_2 p, \dots, b_\lambda a_n p) \in V$ . This contradicts (7) and thus  $\tilde{x} \in \overline{V}^{\|\cdot\|}$ . This shows that for any positive  $\varepsilon$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  in  $A$  there is a  $b$  in  $A$  such that

$$\|(x - b)a_k p\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In other words,  $x$  belongs to the relative left strict closure of  $A$ . (In case  $x$  comes from  $A_1^{**}$ ,  $A_{sa}^{**} \cap A_1^{**}$  or  $A_+^{**} \cap A_1^{**}$ , we can choose  $b$  from  $A_1$ ,  $A_{sa} \cap A_1$  or  $A_+ \cap A_1$ , respectively.) Our assertion follows since the opposite inclusion is obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *The closure of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(Ap)$  with respect to the strong operator topology (SOT) as well as the weak operator topology (WOT) coincides with  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$ . Moreover, the unit ball of  $\pi_p(A)$  is SOT as well as WOT dense in the unit ball of  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$ .*

PROOF. It is well-known that a linear functional on  $B(E)$ ,  $E$  a Banach space, is continuous with respect to SOT if and only if it is continuous with respect to WOT. Since  $\pi_p(A)$  is convex, its closures in  $B(Ap)$  with respect to these topologies coincide. We are going to show that they are identical to  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$ .

Let  $\{a_\lambda\}_\lambda$  be a net in  $A$  such that  $\pi_p(a_\lambda)$  converges to some bounded linear operator  $T$  in SOT. By Corollary 3.14, to see  $T \in \pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$  we just need to check whether the condition  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$  implies  $\varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = 0$  whenever  $\varphi$  is a pure state in  $F(p)$  and  $a \in A$ . In this case,  $ap_\varphi = 0$  where  $p_\varphi$  is the support projection of the pure state  $\varphi$ . Now

$$(Tap)p_\varphi = (\lim \pi_p(a_\lambda)ap)p_\varphi = \lim a_\lambda ap_\varphi = 0.$$

Hence  $\varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = 0$ , as asserted. Thus

$$\overline{\pi_p(A)}^{\text{SOT}} \subseteq \pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p)).$$

The opposite inclusion and other assertions follow from Theorem 4.3 since the strong operator topology of  $B(Ap)$  restricted to  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$  coincides with the one induced by the relative left strict topology of  $A^{**}$  associated to  $p$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** In [18], Tomita defined the notion of  $Q^*$ -topology. In fact, it is the double strong operator topology (DSOT) of  $\pi_p(\mathcal{M}(A, p))$  which is defined by seminorms

$$\pi_p(x) \mapsto \|xap\| + \|x^*ap\|, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Since  $\mathcal{RM}(A, p)^* = \mathcal{LM}(A, p)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(A, p) = \mathcal{LM}(A, p) \cap \mathcal{RM}(A, p)$ , Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 imply  $\overline{\pi_p(A)}^{\text{DSOT}} = \pi_p(\mathcal{M}(A, p))$ . Moreover, the unit ball of  $\pi_p(A)$  (resp. its self-adjoint part, positive part) is DSOT dense in the unit ball (resp. its self-adjoint part, positive part) of  $\pi_p(\mathcal{M}(A, p))$ . Another way to look at  $\pi_p(\mathcal{M}(A, p))$  is to observe that it coincides with the family of all *adjointable* admissible operator sections  $\{T_\varphi\}_\varphi$  in  $\prod_{\varphi \in F(p)} B(H_\varphi)$ . We say that  $\{T_\varphi\}_\varphi$  is adjointable if the operator section  $\{T_\varphi^*\}_\varphi$  is admissible (see Corollary 3.14). Tomita expected that in some situations the double commutant  $\pi_p(A)''$  of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(Ap)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\pi_p(\mathcal{M}(A, p))$ . However, as indicated by the Theorem 4.8 below, we shall see that the Banach algebra  $\pi_p(\mathcal{LM}(A, p))$  is a more appropriate object to look for.

Recall that a projection  $r$  in  $A^{**}$  is closed if the face  $F(r) = \{\varphi \in Q(A) : \varphi(1-r) = 0\}$  of  $Q(A)$  supported by  $r$  is weak\* closed, and  $r$  is *compact* if  $F(r) \cap S(A)$  is weak\* closed [2]. An element  $h$  of  $pA_{sa}^{**}p$  is called *q-continuous* on  $p$  [4] if the spectral projection  $E_F(h)$  (computed in  $pA^{**}p$ ) is closed for every closed subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also,  $h$  is called *strongly q-continuous* on  $p$  [5] if, in addition,  $E_F(h)$  is compact whenever  $F$  is closed and  $0 \notin F$ .

**Lemma 4.6** ([5, 3.43]). *Let  $h \in pA_{sa}^{**}p$ .*

- (1)  *$h$  is strongly q-continuous on  $p$  if and only if  $h = pa = ap$  for some  $a$  in  $A_{sa}$ .*
- (2) *In case  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital,  $h$  is q-continuous on  $p$  if and only if  $h = px = xp$  for some  $x$  in  $M(A)_{sa}$ .*

In general,  $h$  in  $pA^{**}p$  is said to be *q-continuous* or *strongly q-continuous* if both  $\text{Re}h$  and  $\text{Im}h$  are. Denote by  $QC(p)$  (resp.  $SQC(p)$ ) the set of all q-continuous elements (resp. strongly q-continuous elements) on  $p$ .  $SQC(p)$  is always a  $C^*$ -algebra, and so is  $QC(p)$  if  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital. We say that  $p$  has MQC (“many q-continuous elements”) or MSQC (“many strongly q-continuous elements”) if  $QC(p)$  or  $SQC(p)$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $pA^{**}p$ , respectively [8].

**Lemma 4.7** ([8, 3.1 and 3.3]). *The following statements are all equivalent.*

- (1)  *$p$  has MSQC.*
- (2)  *$pAp = SQC(p)$ .*
- (3)  *$pAp$  is an algebra.*
- (4)  *$pAp$  is a Jordan algebra.*
- (5)  *$F(p)$  is isomorphic to the quasi-state space of a  $C^*$ -algebra.*
- (6)  *$p \in \mathcal{M}(A, p)$ , i.e.,  $pAp \subseteq pA \cap Ap$ .*
- (7)  *$p \in \mathcal{QM}(A, p)$ , i.e.,  $pApAp \subseteq pAp$ .*

*In this case,*

$$pApAp = pAp = pA \cap Ap = SQC(p).$$

When the closed projection  $p$  has MSQC, it shares many good properties with the projection 1. Moreover, every central closed projection in  $A^{**}$  has MSQC.

The first part of the following theorem says that all bounded  $A$ -module maps in  $B(Ap)$  are right multiplications provided that  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra,  $p$  a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  and  $\pi_p$  the left regular representation of  $A$  on  $Ap$ . Denote by  $\pi_p(A)'$  the commutant and by  $\pi_p(A)''$  the double commutant of  $\pi_p(A)$  in  $B(Ap)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Y}$  the set  $\{x \in \text{RM}(A) : xp = pxp\}$ . If  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital then*

$$\pi_p(A)' = \{R_{pxp} : x \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

If  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital and  $p$  has MQC then we also have

$$\pi_p(A)'' = \pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p)).$$

Here  $R_{pxp}(ap) := apxp = axp, \forall a \in A, \forall x \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

PROOF. It is clear that all right multiplications of the form  $R_{pxp}$  with  $x$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  commute with elements of  $\pi_p(A)$ . Conversely, assume  $T \in \pi_p(A)' \subseteq B(Ap)$ . If  $\{u_\lambda\}_\lambda$  is a (bounded) approximate unit of  $A$ , the bounded net  $\{T(u_\lambda p)\}_\lambda$  in  $Ap$  has a weak\* cluster point  $xp$  in  $A^{**}p$ . For each  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $axp$  is a weak\* cluster point of  $\{aT(u_\lambda p)\}_\lambda = \{T(au_\lambda p)\}_\lambda$ . But  $T(au_\lambda p) \rightarrow T(ap)$  in norm. It follows that  $T(ap) = axp \in Ap$ . Therefore,  $Axp = T(Ap) \subseteq Ap$ . By [5, 3.9], we have  $xp \in \text{RM}(A)p$  if  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital. Moreover, if  $a, b \in A$  and  $ap = bp$  then  $T(ap) = T(bp)$ . This is equivalent to that  $axp = bxp$ . Consequently,  $Lxp = \{0\}$  where  $L = A^{**}(1-p) \cap A$ , the norm closed left ideal of  $A$  related to the closed projection  $p$ . It follows that  $L^{**}xp = \{0\}$ ; i.e.,  $A^{**}(1-p)xp = \{0\}$ . This forces  $(1-p)xp = 0$ . Therefore  $xp = pxp$ . Hence  $T(ap) = axp = apxp = R_{pxp}(ap)$ .

By Theorem 4.4,  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p)) \subseteq \pi_p(A)''$ . Let  $T \in \pi_p(A)'' \subseteq B(Ap)$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $\varphi$  a pure state in  $F(p)$ . Assume that  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$ , or equivalently  $ap_\varphi = 0$ , where  $p_\varphi$  is the support projection of  $\varphi$  in  $A^{**}$ . Since  $p$  is assumed to have MQC and  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -unital, there is a net  $\{m_\lambda p\}_\lambda$  with  $m_\lambda$  in  $M(A)$  such that

$$(8) \quad m_\lambda p = pm_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad m_\lambda p \rightarrow p_\varphi \text{ } \sigma\text{-weakly}$$

by Lemma 4.6. Hence,  $am_\lambda p \rightarrow ap_\varphi = 0$   $\sigma$ -weakly. In particular,  $am_\lambda p \rightarrow 0$  with respect to  $\sigma(Ap, (Ap)^*)$  since  $(Ap)^* \cong (A/L)^* \cong L^\circ$  can be considered as a subspace of  $A^*$ , and the  $\sigma$ -weak topology of  $A^{**}$  coincides with  $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ . Here  $L^\circ$  is the polar of the left ideal  $L = A^{**}(1-p) \cap A$  in  $A^*$ . As a bounded Banach space operator,  $T$  is  $\sigma(Ap, (Ap)^*)$ - $\sigma(Ap, (Ap)^*)$  continuous. Therefore,  $T(am_\lambda p) \rightarrow 0$  in the  $\sigma(Ap, (Ap)^*)$  topology of  $Ap$  and thus also  $\sigma$ -weakly. On the other hand, the right multiplication  $R_{pm_\lambda p}$  belongs to  $\pi_p(A)'$ . As a result, by (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(am_\lambda p) &= T(apm_\lambda p) = TR_{pm_\lambda p}(ap) = R_{pm_\lambda p}T(ap) \\ &= (Tap)pm_\lambda p \rightarrow (Tap)p_\varphi \quad \sigma\text{-weakly.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(Tap)p_\varphi = 0$ , and hence  $\varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = 0$ . Now, Corollary 3.14 implies  $T \in \pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p))$ .  $\square$

Although it follows from Theorem 4.4 that we always have  $\pi_p(\text{LM}(A, p)) \subseteq \pi_p(A)''$ , the following example indicates that the inclusion can be strict in case  $p$  does not have MQC.

**Example 4.9.** (This example is based on one given in [8, 3.4]) Let  $A = C[0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{K}$  where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H$  and  $P_n$  the projection on span  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ . A closed projection in  $A$  is given by a projection-valued function  $P : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(H)$  such that if  $h$  is any weak cluster point of  $P(y)$  as  $y \rightarrow x$ , then  $h \leq P(x)$  [5, Section 5.G].  $P$  describes the atomic part of a closed projection  $p$  in  $A^{**} \cong C[0, 1]^{**} \otimes B(H)$ , and  $P$  determines  $p$  since a closed projection is determined by its atomic part. In our case  $p$  will equal its atomic part. We now define  $P$ .

For each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  we construct recursively a countable subset  $S_n$  of  $[0, 1]$  and a unit vector  $v(x)$  for each  $x$  in  $S_n$ .

**Step 0:** Take  $S_0 = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $v(\frac{1}{2}) = e_1$ .

**Step 1:** Take  $S_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  where the  $x_i$ 's are distinct,  $x_i \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $x_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $v(x_i) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}e_1 + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}e_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

$\vdots$

**Step  $n$  ( $n > 1$ ):** Write  $S_{n-1} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . Choose distinct  $y_{ij}$ 's from  $[0, 1]$  but outside  $\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k$  such that  $|y_{ij} - x_i| \leq 2^{-(i+j)}$ . Let  $S_n = \{y_{ij} : i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $v(y_{ij}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}v(x_i) + (1 - n^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}w_{ij}$ , where  $w_{ij}$  is a unit vector such that  $\langle w_{ij}, v(x_i) \rangle_H = 0$  and  $P_{i+j+n}w_{ij} = 0$ .

Let  $S = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n$ . Define a projection-valued function  $P$  on  $[0, 1]$  by setting  $P(x)$  to be the projection on span  $\{v(x)\}$  if  $x \in S$ , and  $P(x) = 0$  otherwise. It is shown in [8] that  $P$  describes a closed projection  $p$  in  $A^{**}$  which is atomic and abelian. Moreover, if  $h$  in  $pA^{**}p$  satisfies that  $h \in pAp$  and  $h^2 \in pAp$  then  $h = 0$ . (In [8], this fact is used to show that  $SQC(p) = \{0\}$ .)

Now consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $B = C[-1, 1] \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . Define a projection-valued function  $Q$  on  $[-1, 1]$  by putting  $Q(t) := P(|t|), \forall t \in [-1, 1]$ . It is clear that  $Q$  determines an atomic, abelian and closed projection  $q$  in  $B^{**}$  such that  $k = 0$  whenever  $k \in qB^{**}q$  satisfying that  $k \in qBq$  and  $k^2 \in qBq$ .

Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra obtained by adjoining an identity to  $A$  and  $\tilde{p} = p + p_\infty$  where  $p_\infty = 0 \oplus 1$  in  $\tilde{A}^{**} \cong A^{**} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $\tilde{p} = p \oplus 1$ . In [8], it is shown that  $\tilde{p}$  is closed, and hence compact, in  $\tilde{A}^{**}$  and that  $QC(\tilde{p}) = \mathbb{C}\tilde{p}$ . Similarly, a compact projection  $\tilde{q}$  in  $\tilde{B}^{**}$  can be obtained such that  $QC(\tilde{q}) = \mathbb{C}\tilde{q}$  and thus  $\tilde{q}$ , like  $\tilde{p}$ , does not have MQC.

We now consider the left regular representation  $\pi_{\tilde{q}} : \tilde{B} \longrightarrow B(\tilde{B}\tilde{q})$ . Since  $\tilde{B}$  is unital,  $\text{RM}(\tilde{B}) = \tilde{B}$  and thus

$$\pi_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{B})' = \{R_{\tilde{x}} : \tilde{x} = \tilde{r}\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}\tilde{r}\tilde{q} \text{ for some } \tilde{r} \text{ in } \tilde{B}\}$$

by Theorem 4.8. Suppose  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{r}\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}\tilde{r}\tilde{q}$  for some  $\tilde{r}$  in  $\tilde{B}$ . Here  $\tilde{r} = r + \lambda = (r + \lambda) \oplus \lambda$  for some  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $r$  in  $B$ . It follows  $r\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}r\tilde{q} \in qBq$ . Now  $(\tilde{q}r\tilde{q})^2 = \tilde{q}r\tilde{q}r\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}r^2\tilde{q} \in qBq$  implies  $\tilde{q}r\tilde{q} = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{x} = \tilde{q}\tilde{r}\tilde{q} = \lambda\tilde{q}.$$

Consequently,  $\pi_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{B})' = \mathbb{C}R_{\tilde{q}}$  and thus  $\pi_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{B})'' = B(\tilde{B}\tilde{q})$ , since the right multiplication  $R_{\tilde{q}}$  induced by  $\tilde{q}$  is the identity in  $B(\tilde{B}\tilde{q})$ .

It is easy to see that  $B(\tilde{B}\tilde{q}) \neq \pi_{\tilde{q}}(\text{LM}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{q}))$ . For example, we define an isometry  $T$  in  $B(\tilde{B}\tilde{q})$  by

$$T((\lambda + a)\tilde{q}) := (\lambda + \bar{a})\tilde{q}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a \in B,$$

where

$$\bar{a}(t) := a(-t), \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

To see that  $T$  is not implemented as a left multiplication  $\pi_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{h})$  for any  $\tilde{h}$  in  $\text{LM}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{q})$ , we just need to show that  $T$  is not decomposable by Corollary 3.14. Let  $t \in (S \cup (-S)) - \{0\}$ , and  $\varphi_t$  the corresponding pure state in  $F(\tilde{q})$ . Since there is  $b$  in  $B$  such that  $\varphi_t(b^*b) = 0$  but  $\varphi_{-t}(b^*b) \neq 0$ , it is clear that  $T$  is not decomposable.  $\square$

## 5. THE C\*-ALGEBRA ASSOCIATED TO A CLOSED PROJECTION

Recall that for a C\*-algebra  $A$  and a closed projection  $p$  in  $A^{**}$ , the Banach space  $Ap$  (resp.  $\mathcal{W}_p$ ) consists of all continuous (resp. weakly continuous) admissible vector sections in  $A^{**}p$  (see Theorem 3.4). It follows from Corollary 3.6 that

$$\pi_p(x)Ap \subseteq Ap \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \pi_p(x^*)\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p, \quad \forall x \in A^{**}.$$

We collect these facts in the following.

$$\text{LM}(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : \pi_p(x)Ap \subseteq Ap\},$$

$$\text{RM}(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : \pi_p(x)\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p\},$$

$$M(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : \pi_p(x)Ap \subseteq Ap, \pi_p(x)\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p\},$$

$$\text{and } \text{QM}(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : \pi_p(x)Ap \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p\}.$$

Since the kernel of  $\pi_p$  is  $A^{**}(1 - c(p))$ , the interesting parts of  $\text{LM}(A, p)$ ,  $\text{RM}(A, p)$ ,  $M(A, p)$  and  $\text{QM}(A, p)$  are the ones cut down by  $c(p)$ . It is interesting and useful to see if there exists

a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $A^{**}c(p)$  such that

- (a)  $\text{LM}(A, p)c(p) = \text{LM}(\mathcal{B}),$
- (b)  $\text{RM}(A, p)c(p) = \text{RM}(\mathcal{B}),$
- (c)  $\text{M}(A, p)c(p) = \text{M}(\mathcal{B}),$
- (d)  $\text{QM}(A, p)c(p) = \text{QM}(\mathcal{B}).$

Consider

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}(A, p) = \{x \in A^{**} : \pi_p(x)\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq Ap\}.$$

We think of  $\mathcal{A}c(p)$  as a natural candidate for  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an ideal of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\text{M}(A, p)$ . Moreover,  $\text{LM}(A, p)\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}\text{RM}(A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{M}(A, p)\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}\text{M}(A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}\text{QM}(A, p)\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 5.1.** If  $p$  is central, or equivalently if the ideal  $L = A^{**}(1 - p) \cap A$  is two-sided, then  $Ap \cong A/L$  as  $C^*$ -algebras. Consequently, we have  $\mathcal{A}c(p) = Ap$  and (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold for  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}c(p)$ .

It follows from definitions and Corollary 3.6 that we have

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $x \in A^{**}$ .*

- (1)  $x \in \text{Alg}(A, p)$  if and only if  $pv^*xup \in pAp$ ,  $\forall up, vp \in \mathcal{W}_p$ .
- (2)  $x \in \text{LM}(A, p)$  if and only if  $pv^*xap \in pAp$ ,  $\forall ap \in Ap, \forall vp \in \mathcal{W}_p$ .
- (3)  $x \in \text{RM}(A, p)$  if and only if  $pb^*xup \in pAp$ ,  $\forall up \in \mathcal{W}_p, \forall bp \in Ap$ .
- (4)  $x \in \text{M}(A, p)$  if and only if  $pv^*xap, pb^*xup \in pAp$ ,  $\forall ap, bp \in Ap, \forall up, vp \in \mathcal{W}_p$ .
- (5)  $x \in \text{QM}(A, p)$  if and only if  $pb^*xap \in pAp$ ,  $\forall ap, bp \in Ap$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *The following conditions are all equivalent and each of them implies (a), (b), (c) and (d) for  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}c(p)$ .*

- (1)  $\pi_p(\mathcal{A})Ap$  is norm dense in  $Ap$ .
- (2)  $\pi_p(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{W}_p$  is norm dense in  $Ap$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-degenerately represented on  $H_{\text{univ}}$ , i.e.,  $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})H_\varphi} = H_\varphi, \forall \varphi \in Q(A)$ , where  $H_{\text{univ}} = \bigoplus_2 \{H_\varphi : \varphi \in Q(A)\}$  is the underlying Hilbert space of the universal representation of  $A$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly dense in  $A^{**}$ .
- (5)  $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$  for all pure states  $\varphi$  in  $F(p)$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\implies$  (2) is trivial.

(2)  $\implies$  (3): Since  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $A^{**}(1 - c(p))$ , we may assume  $\varphi$  is supported by  $c(p)$ . Now, since  $\pi_p(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{W}_p$  is norm dense in  $Ap$ , we see that  $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{W}_p H_\varphi)$  is dense in  $\pi_\varphi(Ap)H_\varphi = ApH_\varphi$ , which is dense in  $A^{**}pH_\varphi$ . Let  $q = v^*pv$  be a projection for some partial isometry  $v$  in  $A^{**}$ . We see that  $qH_\varphi = v^*pvH_\varphi \subseteq A^{**}pH_\varphi$ . Hence  $\pi_\varphi(\mathcal{A})H_\varphi$  is also dense in  $H_\varphi$ , and thus (3) follows.

(3)  $\implies$  (4): This follows from the fact that  $A\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

(4)  $\implies$  (5) is obvious.

(5)  $\implies$  (1): Suppose the norm closure  $\overline{\pi_p(\mathcal{A})Ap} \neq Ap$ . Choose a nonzero  $\varphi$  in  $(Ap)^*$  such that  $\varphi(\pi_p(\mathcal{A})Ap) = \{0\}$ . Let  $\{v_\lambda\}_\lambda$  be a positive increasing approximate identity in the C\*-subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $A^{**}$ , and note that  $v_\lambda \nearrow q$  for some projection  $q$  in  $A^{**}$ . For every  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $pa^*v_\lambda ap \nearrow pa^*qap$ . Note that  $pa^*v_\lambda ap \in pAp$ . It follows from the continuity of  $pa^*v_\lambda ap$  that  $pa^*qap$  is lower semi-continuous on  $F(p)$ . Since  $A\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , we see that  $\overline{\pi_\psi(\mathcal{A})H_\psi}$  is an invariant subspace for  $\pi_\psi(A)$  for every  $\psi$  in  $F(p)$ . For each pure state  $\psi$  in  $F(p)$ , the hypothesis  $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$  implies  $\overline{\pi_\psi(\mathcal{A})H_\psi} = H_\psi$  and hence  $\pi_\psi(q) = 1$ . Therefore, the non-positive lower semicontinuous affine function

$$\psi \longmapsto \psi(pa^*(q-1)ap), \quad \psi \in F(p),$$

vanishes on the extreme boundary  $(F(p) \cap P(A)) \cup \{0\}$  of the weak\* compact convex set  $F(p)$ , where  $P(A)$  is the pure state space of  $A$ . Thus  $pa^*(q-1)ap = 0$ . We then have  $qap = ap$  for every  $a$  in  $A$ . Consequently,

$$\varphi(ap) = \varphi(qap) = \lim \varphi(v_\lambda ap) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

This contradiction establishes the implication.

From now on, we assume these equivalent conditions are satisfied and we are going to verify (a) to (d). We prove only that  $\text{LM}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \text{LM}(A, p)c(p)$  since the opposite inclusions are obvious and the other assertions will follow similarly. Note that we can consider  $\text{LM}(\mathcal{B})$  as a subset of  $A^{**}c(p)$  (cf. [3, 4.3]).

Let  $x$  be a nonzero element of  $\text{LM}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $a$  in  $A$ , it follows from (2) that there exist  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $w_1p, w_2p, \dots, w_np$  in  $\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq A^{**}p$  such that

$$\|ap - \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{a}_k w_k p\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|x\|}.$$

Hence

$$\|xap - \sum_{k=1}^n x\mathbf{a}_k w_k p\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $x \in \text{LM}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq A^{**}c(p)$ ,  $x\mathbf{a}_k = x(\mathbf{a}_k c(p)) \in x(\mathcal{A}c(p)) = x\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Note that elements of  $\pi_p(\mathcal{B})$  send  $\mathcal{W}_p$  into  $Ap$ . Consequently,  $\pi_p(x\mathbf{a}_k)w_k p \in Ap$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows that  $xap \in \overline{Ap} = Ap$ . That is,  $x \in \text{LM}(A, p)$ . Since  $x = xc(p)$ , we have  $x \in \text{LM}(A, p)c(p)$ , too.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *If  $p$  has MSQC then (a) to (d) will be satisfied for  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}c(p)$ . Moreover, we have  $Ap + pA \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  in this case.*

PROOF. By Theorem 5.3, it suffices to show that  $\pi_p(\mathcal{A})p = Ap$  (since  $p \in \mathcal{W}_p$ ). One inclusion is easy:

$$\pi_p(\mathcal{A})p \subseteq \pi_p(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq Ap.$$

For the opposite inclusion, as well as the assertion  $Ap + pA \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , it will sufficient to show that  $Ap \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . To this end, let  $up, vp \in \mathcal{W}_p$  and  $a \in A$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} pu^*(apvp) &= (pa^*up)^*vp \\ &\in (pAp)^*vp \\ &= pApvp \\ &\subseteq pAvp, \text{ since } pAp \subseteq pA \text{ as } p \text{ has MSQC,} \\ &\subseteq pAp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $ap \in \mathcal{A}$  by Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

We remark that the inclusion in Corollary 5.4 does not hold if  $p$  fails to have MSQC (see Example 5.7). Even when  $p$  does have MSQC, the inclusion can be strict (see Example 5.8). The rest of this section is devoted to a few assorted results and examples about what  $\mathcal{A}$  contains.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $B = pA^{**}p \cap \text{QM}(A, p)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  contains the norm closure of the linear space spanned by  $ABA$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, we only need to prove that if  $a, c \in A, b \in B$  then  $abc \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is equivalent to show that  $pu^*abcvp \in pAp$  for every  $up, vp$  in  $\mathcal{W}_p$  by Lemma 5.2. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} pu^*abcvp &= pu^*apbpcvp, \text{ since } b \in pA^{**}p, \\ &\in pApbpAp, \text{ since } up, vp \in \mathcal{W}_p, \\ &= pAbAp, \text{ since } b \in pA^{**}p, \\ &\subseteq pAp, \text{ since } b \in \text{QM}(A, p). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $C = \text{SQC}(p) \cap \text{M}(A, p)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $C$  as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra.*

PROOF. Note that  $C$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. In particular,  $C = C^3$ . The assertion now follows from Proposition 5.5 since  $C \subseteq pA^{**}p \cap \text{QM}(A, p)$  and  $C^3 \subseteq ACA$  (see Lemma 4.6).  $\square$

To convince the readers that  $B$  and  $C$  in Proposition 5.5 and Corollary 5.6 can be nonzero, we present the following example. In particular, the closed span of  $B$  is the whole of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $C$  is only a proper subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  in this example.

**Example 5.7.** In this example,  $A$  is a separable scattered  $C^*$ -algebra and  $p$  is a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  with central support  $c(p) = 1$ . But  $p$  does not have MSQC. We shall see that (a) to (d) are all satisfied here. In fact,  $\mathcal{A} = A$ ,  $\text{LM}(A, p) = \text{LM}(A)$ ,  $\text{RM}(A, p) = \text{RM}(A)$ ,  $\text{M}(A, p) = \text{M}(A)$  and  $\text{QM}(A, p) = \text{QM}(A)$ . Moreover,  $B$  and  $C$  are both nonzero. Furthermore,  $ABA$  is norm dense in  $\mathcal{A}$  but  $Ap \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}$  (cf. Corollary 5.4).

Let  $A$  be the C\*-subalgebra of  $c \otimes M_2$  consisting of all sequences of  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$x_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$A^{**}$  can be represented as the C\*-algebra of all uniformly bounded sequences of  $2 \times 2$  matrices plus a copy of  $\mathbb{C}$ . More precisely, every element of  $A^{**}$  is of the form  $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  where

$$x_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } x_{\infty} = a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The norm of  $A^{**}$  (and  $A$ ) is given by  $\|x\| := \sup_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} \|x_n\| < \infty$ . Put

$$p_n = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } p_{\infty} = 1 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then  $p = (p_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  and  $c(p) = 1$ . Let  $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in A^{**}$ , with notation as above. We have:

- (1)  $x \in Ap \Leftrightarrow x_n = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} u_n & u_n \\ v_n & v_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $u_n \longrightarrow a$ , and  $v_n \longrightarrow 0$ .
- (2)  $x \in \mathcal{W}_p \Leftrightarrow x_n = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} u_n & u_n \\ v_n & v_n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u_n \longrightarrow a$ .
- (3)  $x \in pA^{**}p \Leftrightarrow x_n = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} s_n & s_n \\ s_n & s_n \end{pmatrix}$  for some scalars  $s_n$ .
- (4)  $x \in pAp \Leftrightarrow x_n = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} s_n & s_n \\ s_n & s_n \end{pmatrix}$  for some scalars  $s_n \longrightarrow a$ .
- (5)  $x \in SQC(p) \Leftrightarrow x_n = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} s_n & s_n \\ s_n & s_n \end{pmatrix}$  for some scalars  $s_n \longrightarrow a = 0$ .
- (6)  $x \in LM(A) = LM(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \longrightarrow a$  and  $c_n \longrightarrow 0$ .
- (7)  $x \in RM(A) = RM(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \longrightarrow a$  and  $b_n \longrightarrow 0$ .
- (8)  $x \in M(A) = M(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \longrightarrow a$  and  $b_n, c_n \longrightarrow 0$ .
- (9)  $x \in QM(A) = QM(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \longrightarrow a$ .
- (10)  $x \in A = \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow a_n \longrightarrow a$  and  $b_n, c_n, d_n \longrightarrow 0$ .

Since  $pAp \neq SQC(p)$ ,  $p$  does not have MSQC by Lemma 4.7. It is clear that both  $B = QM(A, p) \cap pA^{**}p$  and  $C = SQC(p) \cap M(A, p) = SQC(p)$  are nonzero. In addition, the closed span  $\overline{ABA} = A = \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.8.** In this example we shall see that  $LM(A, p) \neq LM(A), \dots$  etc., and  $\mathcal{A}$  is neither a subset nor a superset of  $A$  even when  $p$  has MSQC and its central support  $c(p) = 1$ . However, (a) to (d) are all satisfied.

Let  $A$  be the C\*-subalgebra of  $c \otimes M_2$  given by

$$A = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{n \geq 1} : \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let  $p = (p_n) \in A^{**}$  with

$$p_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } p_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $p$  is a closed projection in  $A^{**}$ . Let  $x = (x_n) \in A^{**}$  with

$$x_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix}, n = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } x_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

- (1)  $x \in Ap \Leftrightarrow x_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 0 \\ c_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $c_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- (2)  $x \in \mathcal{W}_p \Leftrightarrow x_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 0 \\ c_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow a$ .
- (3)  $x \in pAp \Leftrightarrow x_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $a_n \rightarrow a$ .
- (4)  $x \in \text{LM}(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $c_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- (5)  $x \in \text{RM}(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- (6)  $x \in \text{M}(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $b_n, c_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- (7)  $x \in \text{QM}(A, p) \Leftrightarrow a_n \rightarrow a$ .
- (8)  $x \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $b_n, c_n, d_n \rightarrow 0$ .

We first note that  $c(p) = 1$ . Since  $pAp$  is an algebra,  $p$  has MSQC by Lemma 4.7. Thus, (a) to (d) are satisfied for  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand, obviously we have  $A \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . We want to point out also that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *not* contained in  $A$ , either. For example, the element  $x = (x_n)$  of  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A^{**}$  given by  $x_n = 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $x_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  does not belong to  $A$ . It is clear that  $\text{LM}(A, p) \neq \text{LM}(A) = A, \dots$  etc., since  $A$  is unital.  $\square$

**Example 5.9.** Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A = c \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and

$$A^{**} = \{(h_n) : h_n \in B(H), 1 \leq n \leq \infty, \|h\| = \sup \|h_n\| < \infty\}.$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space  $H$ . Let

$$v_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_{n+1}, n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad v_\infty = e_1,$$

and

$$p_n = v_n \otimes v_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty.$$

Then  $p = (p_n)$  is a closed projection in  $A^{**}$  without MSQC (cf. [8]) and the central support  $c(p)$  of  $p$  is 1. We have

- (1)  $Ap = \{(x_n p_n) \in A^{**} p : x_n v_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x_\infty e_1\}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{W}_p = \{(x_n p_n) \in A^{**} p : x_n v_n \xrightarrow{\text{weakly}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x_\infty e_1\}$ .
- (3)  $pAp = \{(p_n b_n p_n) : \langle b_n v_n, v_n \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \langle b_\infty e_1, e_1 \rangle\}$ .
- (4)  $\text{LM}(A) = \text{LM}(A, p) = \{(t_n) \in A^{**} : t_n \xrightarrow{\text{SOT}} t_\infty\}$ .
- (5)  $\text{RM}(A) = \text{RM}(A, p) = \{(t_n) \in A^{**} : t_n^* \xrightarrow{\text{SOT}} t_\infty^*\}$ .
- (6)  $\text{M}(A) = \text{M}(A, p) = \{(t_n) \in A^{**} : t_n \xrightarrow{\text{DSOT}} t_\infty\}$ .
- (7)  $\text{QM}(A) = \text{QM}(A, p) = \{(t_n) \in A^{**} : t_n \xrightarrow{\text{WOT}} t_\infty\}$ .
- (8)  $\mathcal{A} = \{(t_n) \in A^{**} : t_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} t_\infty, t_\infty \in \mathcal{K}\}$ .

By Theorem 5.3 and the fact that  $A \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , the equations  $\text{LM}(A, p) = \text{LM}(\mathcal{A}), \dots$  etc. are satisfied in this case. This can also be verified by direct calculation.  $\square$

**Remark 5.10.** In [6], it is shown that for two *separable* C\*-algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , the multiplier algebras  $M(A_1)$  and  $M(A_2)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are isomorphic. In fact,  $A_1$  (resp.  $A_2$ ) is the largest separable closed, two-sided ideal of  $M(A_1)$  (resp.  $M(A_2)$ ). However, in the inseparable case, this may not be true. A perhaps less artificial than usual example to this fact is provided by Example 5.9, since  $M(A) = M(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $A$  is separable and  $\mathcal{A}$  is not separable.

## 6. ATOMIC PARTS OF RELATIVE MULTIPLIERS

In the following,  $z = z_{\text{at}}$  denotes the maximal atomic projection in  $A^{**}$ ; in other words,  $z$  is the smallest central projection in  $A^{**}$  supporting all pure states of  $A$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $xp$  and  $yp$  be in  $\mathcal{W}_p$ . If  $zxp = zyp$  then  $xp = yp$ . Moreover, we have  $\|xp\| = \|zxp\|$ . In other words, weakly continuous vector sections are determined by their atomic parts.*

PROOF. For each  $a$  in  $A$ , the continuous affine function  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(a^*(x-y))$  on  $F(p)$  vanishes at all pure states in  $F(p)$ . Consequently, it is identically zero on  $F(p)$ . As a result,  $pA(x-y)p = \{0\}$  and thus,  $xp = yp$ . For the norm equality, we note that the bounded affine function  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(x^*x)$  is lower semi-continuous on the weak\* compact convex set  $F(p)$  [9, Lemma 2.1]. It follows from the Krein-Milman theorem that

$$\|xp\|^2 \leq \sup\{\varphi(x^*x) : \varphi \text{ is a pure state in } F(p)\} = \|zxp\|^2 \leq \|xp\|^2.$$

$\square$

The following theorem says that if the operator section  $\pi_p(x)$  preserves the continuity of the atomic part of every vector section in  $A^{**}p$  then  $x$  itself must have an appropriate atomic part.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $x$  be an element of  $A^{**}$ .*

- (1)  $zxAp \subseteq zAp$  if and only if  $zx \in z\text{LM}(A, p)$ .
- (2)  $zx\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq z\mathcal{W}_p$  if and only if  $zx \in z\text{RM}(A, p)$ .
- (3)  $zxAp \subseteq zAp$  and  $zx\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq z\mathcal{W}_p$  if and only if  $zx \in zM(A, p)$ .
- (4)  $zxAp \in z\mathcal{W}_p$  if and only if  $zx \in z\text{QM}(A, p)$ .
- (5)  $zx\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq zAp$  if and only if  $zx \in z\text{Alg}(A, p)$ .

PROOF. The sufficiency is obvious and thus we verify the necessity only. Suppose first that  $zxAp \subseteq z\mathcal{W}_p$ . By Lemma 6.1, we can define a linear map  $T$  from  $Ap$  into  $\mathcal{W}_p$ . More precisely, we set  $Tap = up$  if  $zxp = zup$ . Moreover,  $\|T\| \leq \|x\|$  since  $\|zyp\| = \|yp\|$  for all  $yp$  in  $\mathcal{W}_p$ . Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a pure state in  $F(p)$  and  $a$  is in  $A$  such that  $\varphi(a^*a) = 0$ .

Then  $\varphi((Tap)^*(Tap)) = \varphi(u^*u) = \varphi((zup)^*(zup)) = \varphi((xap)^*(xap)) = \varphi(pa^*x^*xap) \leq \|x\|^2\varphi(a^*a) = 0$ . By Theorem 3.13, there is a relative quasi-multiplier  $q$  in  $\text{QM}(A, p)$  such that  $Tap = qap$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ . Therefore  $zxp = zTap = zqap$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ . Consequently,  $z(x - q)Ap = \{0\}$ , and thus,  $zxc(p) = zq \in z\text{QM}(A, p)$ .

Consider next the case  $zxAp \subseteq zAp$ . A similar argument yields a bounded linear map  $T$  from  $Ap$  into  $Ap$  (by restricting the co-domain of  $T$ ). We thus have an  $l$  in  $A^{**}c(p)$  such that  $lap = Tap \in Ap$  for all  $a$  in  $A$ . Consequently,  $l \in \text{LM}(A, p)$ , and thus  $zxc(p) = zl \in z\text{LM}(A, p)$ .

For the case  $zx\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq z\mathcal{W}_p$ , we note that  $zx^*Ap \subseteq zAp$ . To see this, we observe that  $zpy^*x^*ap = (pa^*zxy)^* \in zpAp$  for all  $yp$  in  $\mathcal{W}_p$ , and quote [9, Theorem 1.7] which says  $zup \in zAp$  if and only if  $zpAup \subseteq zpAp$  and  $zpu^*up \in zpAp$ . Hence there is a relative left multiplier  $l$  in  $A^{**}$  such that  $zx^* = zl$ . By setting  $r = l^*$ , we have  $zx = zr \in z\text{RM}(A, p)$ . The case where  $zx\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq zAp$  is similar.

Finally, we suppose that  $zxAp \subseteq zAp$  and  $zx\mathcal{W}_p \subseteq z\mathcal{W}_p$ . By above observation, there is an  $l$  in  $\text{LM}(A, p)$  and an  $r$  in  $\text{RM}(A, p)$  such that  $zx = zl = zr$ . Now,  $pa_1(l - r)a_2p$  belongs to  $pAp$  and vanishes at each pure state in  $F(p)$  for all  $a_1, a_2$  in  $A$ . It follows that  $pA(l - r)Ap = \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $lc(p) = rc(p)$ , and thus  $zx \in \text{M}(A, p)$ .  $\square$

The following is the special case when  $p = 1$ .

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $x$  be an element of  $A^{**}$ .*

- (1) *If  $zxA \subseteq zA$  then  $zx = zl$  for some left multiplier  $l$  of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$ .*
- (2) *If  $zx\text{RM}(A) \subseteq z\text{RM}(A)$  then  $zx = zr$  for some right multiplier  $r$  of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$ .*
- (3) *If  $zxA \subseteq zA$  and  $zx\text{RM}(A) \subseteq z\text{RM}(A)$  then  $zx = zm$  for some multiplier  $m$  of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$ .*
- (4) *If  $zxA \subseteq z\text{RM}(A)$  then  $zx = zq$  for some quasi-multiplier  $q$  of  $A$  in  $A^{**}$ .*
- (5) *If  $zx\text{RM}(A) \subseteq zA$  then  $zx = za$  for some  $a$  in  $A$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Akemann, "Left ideal structure of C\*-algebras", *J. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1970), 305–317.
- [2] C. A. Akemann, "A Gelfand representation theory for C\*-algebras", *Pac. J. Math.* **39** (1971), 1–11.
- [3] C. A. Akemann and G. K. Pedersen, "Complications of semicontinuity in C\*-algebra theory", *Duke Math. J.* **40** (1973), 785–795.
- [4] C. A. Akemann, G. K. Pedersen and J. Tomiyama, "Multipliers of C\*-algebras", *J. Funct. Anal.* **13** (1973), 277–301.
- [5] L. G. Brown, "Semicontinuity and multipliers of C\*-algebras", *Can. J. Math.*, vol. XL, no. 4 (1988), 865–988.
- [6] L. G. Brown, "Determination of  $A$  from  $\text{M}(A)$  and related matter", *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, vol. X, no. 6 (1988), 273–278.
- [7] L. G. Brown, *Complements to various Stone-Weierstrass theorems for C\*-algebras and a theorem of Shultz*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **143** (1992), 405–413.
- [8] L. G. Brown, "MASA's and certain type I closed faces of C\*-algebras", *Contemp. Math.* **449** (2008), 69–98.
- [9] L. G. Brown and N.-C. Wong, "Left quotients of a C\*-algebra, II: Atomic parts of left quotients", *J. Operator Theory* **44** (2000), no. 1, 207–222.

- [10] J. Dixmier and A. Douady, “Champs continus d’espaces hilbertiens et de C\*-algèbras”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **91** (1963), 227–284.
- [11] J. Dixmier, “C\*-algebras”, North-Holland publishing company, Amsterdam–New York–Oxford, 1977.
- [12] E. G. Effros, “Order ideals in C\*-algebras and its dual”, *Duke Math. J.* **30** (1963), 391–412.
- [13] J. M. G. Fell, “The structure of algebras of operator fields”, *Acta Math.* **106** (1961), 233–280.
- [14] G. K. Pedersen, “C\*-algebras and their automorphism groups”, Academic Press, 1979, London.
- [15] R. T. Prosser “On the ideal structure of operator algebras”, *Memoirs A. M. S.* **45**, 1963.
- [16] F. W. Shultz, *Pure states as a dual object for C\*-algebras*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **82** (1982), 497–509.
- [17] M. Tomita, “Spectral theory of operator algebras, I”, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **9** (1959), 63–98.
- [18] M. Tomita, “Spectral theory of operator algebras, II”, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **10** (1960), 19–60.
- [19] N.-C. Wong, “The left quotient of a C\*-algebra and its representation through a continuous field of Hilbert spaces”, Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A., 1991.
- [20] N.-C. Wong, “Left quotients of a C\*-algebra, I: Representation via vector sections”, *J. Operator Theory* **32** (1994), 185–201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907, U. S. A.

*E-mail address:* lgb@math.purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG, 80424, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

*E-mail address:* wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw