# DISJOINTNESS PRESERVING LINEAR OPERATORS OF WIENER RING

### WAN-CHAIN FANG (方婉茜) AND NGAI-CHING WONG (黃毅青)

ABSTRACT. Using elementary arguments, we shall show that every bounded disjointness preserving linear functional of the Wiener ring  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  assumes the form

$$\varphi(f) = \lambda \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} f(n) z^n$$

for some scalar  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and for some z in the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . Consequently, every bounded disjointness preserving linear operator  $\Phi$  from  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  into itself assumes the form  $\Phi(f) = \Phi(\mathbf{e_0}) * H(f)$ , where H is an algebra homomorphism of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A linear functional  $\varphi$  of an algebra A is said to be *disjointness pre*serving if  $\varphi(f)\varphi(g) = 0$  whenever fg = 0. In the case when A is a function algebra on X, a disjointness preserving map is the map that preserves the disjointness of cozeroes, where the cozero of a function f is the set  $\cos(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . Recently, many authors studied disjointness preserving maps between function algebras, group algebras, algebras of differentiable functions and general Banach algebras. See e.g., [1, 6, 5, 8, 7, 3, 2].

In this note, using elementary arguments, we shall study bounded disjointness preserving linear functionals  $\varphi$  of the Wiener ring

$$L^{1}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} |f(n)| < +\infty \},\$$

with multiplication defined by convolution. Our results state that such  $\varphi$  will assume a form,

$$\varphi(f) = \lambda \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) z^n,$$

Date: January 12, 2006.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46J40, 22B10, 43A20.

for some scalar  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and for some z in the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . Using this, we show that every bounded disjointness preserving linear operator  $\Phi$ is the convolution of an algebra homomorphism H of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  and the function  $\Phi(e_0)$ ; namely,

$$\Phi(f) = \Phi(e_0) * H(f), \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Recall that  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is a commutative Banach algebra with norm

$$||f|| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(n)|$$

and multiplication

$$f * g(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n-k)g(k).$$

The (multiplicative) unit in  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is the function  $e_0$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  defined by  $e_0(0) = 1$  and  $e_0(n) = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ .

It is also well-known that every complex homomorphism of a group algebra  $L^1(G)$  arises exactly from the dual group  $\hat{G}$  of G. More precisely, let G be a locally compact abelian group and  $\gamma : G \to \mathbb{T}$  be a continuous homomorphism. Define  $\hat{f}(\gamma)$  by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x)\gamma(x^{-1})dx$$

for every f in  $L^1(G)$ . Then  $f \mapsto \hat{f}(\gamma)$  is a nonzero complex homomorphism of  $L^1(G)$ . Conversely, if  $\varphi : L^1(G) \to \mathbb{C}$  is a nonzero homomorphism, there is a continuous homomorphism  $\gamma : G \to \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \hat{f}(\gamma)$ . See, e.g., [4, p. 226].

Suppose  $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{T}$  satisfies that  $\gamma(x+y) = \gamma(x)\gamma(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then either  $\gamma \equiv 0$  or there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gamma(n) = a^n$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{T}$ . In other words, the dual group of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{T}$ . Hence, every complex homomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  assumes the form

$$\varphi(f) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) z^n, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}),$$

for some z in  $\mathbb{T}$ . In other words, the maximal ideal space of the Banach algebra  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is homeomorphic to the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ .

The Gelfand transform  $\Gamma: L^1(\mathbb{Z}) \to C(\mathbb{T})$ , sending f to  $\hat{f}$ , takes the following form

$$\hat{f}(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n)z^n, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}), z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

It is clearly linear, bounded, injective and multiplicative. We note that the range of the Gelfand transform contains  $C^2(\mathbb{T})$ , via, e.g., the Fourier series. Moreover, this map expends to an isometry from  $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$  onto  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  (Plancherel Theorem).

As usual, we regard  $C(\mathbb{T})$  the space of all continuous periodic functions defined on the real line with period  $2\pi$ . Then the following wellknown results are applicable. We sketch the proofs here for completeness.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $[a,b] \subset (c,d)$ . There exists a function  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = 1$  on [a,b],  $\mathcal{K} = 0$  outside (c,d), and  $0 \leq \mathcal{K} \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Define  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x}, & \text{if } x > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(x) = f(x)f(1-x).$$

Then g is of class  $C^{\infty}$ ; furthermore, g is positive for 0 < x < 1 and vanishes elsewhere. Define

$$h(x) = \frac{\int_0^x g(t)dt}{\int_0^1 g(t)dt}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \leq c \\ h(\frac{x-c}{a-c}) & \text{for } c \leq x \leq a \\ 1 & \text{for } a \leq x \leq b \\ h(\frac{d-x}{d-b}) & \text{for } b \leq x \leq d \\ 0 & \text{for } x \geq d \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that  $\mathcal{K}$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the stated properties.

# Corollary 2.

- (1) Let U, V be two nonempty disjoint closed subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then there is an  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\hat{f} = 1$  on U and  $\hat{f} = 0$  on V.
- (2) Let  $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$  be an open covering of a compact subset K of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then there are  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $0 \leq \hat{f}_i \leq 1$ ,  $\cos \hat{f}_i \subset U_i$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $\hat{f}_1 + \cdots + \hat{f}_n = 1$  on K.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\hat{f}(z_0) = 0$  for some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $f_{\epsilon} \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\hat{f}_{\epsilon}$  vanishes in a neighborhood of  $z_0$  and  $||f - f_{\epsilon}|| < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* We can assume  $z_0 = 1$ . As  $\hat{f}(1) = 0$ , we have

(1) 
$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f(m) = 0.$$

On the other hand,  $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(m)| < +\infty$  ensures that for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is a positive integer N such that

$$\sum_{m=-N}^{N} |f(m)| < \delta.$$

Let

$$U = \bigcap_{m=-N}^{N} \{ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi) : |1 - e^{im\theta}| < \delta \}.$$

Then U is an open neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $(-3\alpha, 3\alpha) \subseteq U$ . Set

$$C_t = \{ e^{i\theta} : -t\alpha < \theta < t\alpha \}, \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let  $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\hat{g}$  and  $\hat{h}$  are the characteristic functions of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , respectively. Define

$$k = \frac{\pi g h}{\alpha} \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then  $\hat{k} = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\hat{g} * \hat{h}$ , and

$$\hat{k}(z) = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \hat{g}(z') \hat{h}(\frac{z}{z'}) dz' = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \int_{C_1} \hat{h}(\frac{z}{z'}) dz'.$$

Hence,  $\hat{k} = 1$  on  $C_1$ ,  $\hat{k} = 0$  outside  $C_3$ , and  $0 \le \hat{k} \le 1$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Moreover,

$$||k|| \le \frac{\pi}{\alpha} ||g||_2 ||h||_2 = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha/\pi} \sqrt{2\alpha/\pi} = \sqrt{2}.$$

By (1), we have

$$f * k(n) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{+\infty} f(m)k(n - m) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{+\infty} f(m)[k(n - m) - k(n)].$$

Denoting by  $k_m(n) = k(m-n)$ , we have

$$||f * k|| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f(m) [k_m(n) - k(n)] \right|$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(m)| ||k_m - k||$$
  
$$= \sum_{m=-N}^{N} |f(m)| ||k_m - k|| + \sum_{|m|>N} |f(m)| ||k_m - k||$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{m=-N}^{N} |f(m)| ||k_m - k|| + 2\sqrt{2}\delta.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{\alpha}{\pi}(k_m - k) = g_m h_m - gh = g_m (h_m - h) + (g_m - g)h.$$

Here,

$$\|g_m(h_m - h)\| \le \|g_m\|_2 \|h_m - h\|_2 = \|\hat{g}_m\|_2 \|\hat{h}_m - \hat{h}\|_2$$

Let  $\hat{\gamma}_m(z) = z_m$  be the character of  $\mathbb{T}$  associated to m in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{h}_m - \hat{h}\|_2^2 &= \|(\hat{\gamma}_m - 1)\hat{h}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\alpha}^{2\alpha} |e^{im\theta} - 1|^2 d\theta \\ &< \frac{2\alpha\delta^2}{\pi}, \quad \forall |m| \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\|\hat{g}_m\|_2 = \|\hat{g}\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}}$ , we have

$$\|g_m(h_m - h)\| < \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha\delta}}{\pi}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|(g_m-g)h\|<\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha\delta}}{\pi}.$$

Hence,

$$||k_m - k|| < 2\sqrt{2}\delta, \quad \forall |m| \le N.$$

Consequently,

$$||f * k|| < 2\sqrt{2}||f||\delta + 2\sqrt{2}\delta.$$

Setting  $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{2}(1+\|f\|)}$  and  $f_{\epsilon} = f - f * k$ , we will have the desired conclusion.

### 3. Bounded disjointness preserving operators of $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$

**Theorem 4.** If  $\varphi$  is a nonzero bounded disjointness linear functional of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\varphi = \lambda h$ , where h is a complex homomorphism of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\lambda$  is a scalar.

*Proof.* For each point z in the dual group  $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$ , let  $I_z$  (resp.  $M_z$ ) consist of all functions f in  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\hat{f}$  vanishes in a neighborhood of z (resp. vanishing at z). By Lemma 3,  $I_z$  is norm dense in  $M_z$ .

We claim that  $\varphi(I_z) = \{0\}$  for exactly one z in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Suppose, on contrary, that for each z in  $\mathbb{T}$  there is an  $\hat{f}_z$  vanishing in an open neighborhood  $V_z$  of z with  $\varphi(f_z) \neq 0$ . Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . The compact support of  $\hat{f}$  is covered by a finite union of the open sets  $V_{x_i}$ . By Corollary 2, we can write  $f = f_1 + \cdots + f_n$  for some  $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\operatorname{coz}(\hat{f}) \subseteq V_{x_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Now  $\hat{f}_i \hat{f}_{x_i} = 0$  implies  $f_i * f_{x_i} = 0$ . Since  $\varphi$ preserves disjointness,  $\varphi(f_i) = 0$  since  $\varphi(f_{x_i}) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

For the uniqueness of z, assume that  $\varphi(I_z) = \varphi(I_y) = \{0\}$  for some  $y \neq z$ . By Corollary 2, for every f in  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  we can write  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 \in I_z$  and  $f_2 \in I_y$ . It follows  $\varphi(f) = 0$  for all f in  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . And thus  $\varphi = 0$ , again a contraction.

Finally, it follows from the boundedness of  $\varphi$  that  $\varphi(M_z) = \{0\}$ . In other words, the kernel of  $\varphi$  contains that of the complex homomorphism h in  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by  $h(f) = \hat{f}(z)$ . Hence the assertion follows.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5.** Every bounded disjointness preserving linear functional  $\varphi$  of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is in the form of

$$\varphi(f) = \lambda \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) z^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for some z in  $\mathbb{T}$  and scalar  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\Phi : L^1(\mathbb{Z}) \to L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  be a bounded disjointness preserving linear operator. Then there is an algebra endomorphism H of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\Phi(f) = \Phi(e_0) * H(f), \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

*Proof.* For each z in  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\Phi(\cdot)(z)$  is a bounded disjointness preserving linear functional of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . By Theorem 4, we have

$$\Phi(f)(z) = \lambda_z h_z(f), \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here,  $\lambda_z$  is a scalar and  $h_z$  is a complex homomorphism of  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . In case  $\widehat{\Phi(\cdot)}(z)$  is zero, we have  $\lambda_z = 0$ . It is clear that  $\widehat{\Phi(e_0)}(z) = \lambda_z$  for

all  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ . Define, by duality, a map H from  $L^1(\mathbb{Z})$  into itself by asking that

$$\widehat{H}(\widehat{f})(z) = h_z(f), \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We see that H is an algebra homomorphism. The assertion follows.  $\Box$ 

### References

- Y. A. Abramovich, Multiplicative representations of disjointness preserving operators, *Indag. Math.* 45 (1983), 265–279.
- J. Araujo, Linear biseparating maps between spaces of vector-valued differentiable functions and automatic continuity, Adv. Math. 187(2004), 488-520.
- [3] Lawrence Brown and Ngai-Ching Wong, "Unbounded disjointness preserving linear functionals", *Monatshefte für Mathematik* 141 (2004), no. 1, 21–32.
- [4] J. B. Conway. A Course in Functional Analysis 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, New York (1990).
- [5] J. J. Font and S. Hernandez, Automatic continuity and representation of certain linear isomorphisms between group algebras, *Indag. Mathem.* N. S. 6 (4) (1995), 397–409.
- [6] K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms, Can. Math. Bull. 33 (1990), 139-144.
- [7] K. Jarosz, "When is a linear functional multiplicative?", in Proc. of The 3rd Conference on Function Spaces, Cont. Math. 232 (1999), AMS, 201–210.
- [8] J.-S. Jeang and N.-C. Wong, Weighted composition operators of  $C_0(X)$ 's, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **201** (1996), 981–993.

(Wan-Chain Fang) NATIONAL PINGTUNG GIRLS' SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL, PING TONG 900, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

E-mail address, Wan-Chain Fang: m8824620@student.nsysu.edu.tw

(Ngai-Ching Wong) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, KAOHSIUNG, 804, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

E-mail address, Ngai-Ching Wong: wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw