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#### Abstract

Let $E$ and $F$ be two Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$, respectively. Let $T$ be a surjective linear isometry from $E$ onto $F$ and $\varphi$ a map from $A$ into $B$. We will prove in this paper that if the $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$ are commutative, then $T$ preserves the inner products and $T$ is a module map, i.e., there exists a *-isomorphism $\varphi$ between the $C^{*}$-algebras such that


$$
\langle T x, T y\rangle=\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle),
$$

and

$$
T(x a)=T(x) \varphi(a) .
$$

In case $A$ or $B$ is noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebra, $T$ may not satisfy the equations above in general. We will also give some condition such that $T$ preserves the inner products and $T$ is a module map.

## 1. Introduction

A (right) Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is a right $A$-module $E$ equipped with $A$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ which is conjugate $A$-linear in the first variable and $A$-linear in the second variable such that $E$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|x\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{1 / 2}$.

Let $X$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $H$ a Hilbert space, the Banach space $C_{0}(X, H)$ of all continuous $H$-valued functions vanishing at infinity is a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over the $C^{*}$-algebra $C_{0}(X)$ with inner product $\langle f, g\rangle(x):=\langle f(x), g(x)\rangle$ and module operation $(f \phi)(x)=f(x) \phi(x)$, for all $f \in C_{0}(X, H)$ and $\phi \in C_{0}(X)$. Every $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over itself with inner product $\langle a, b\rangle:=$ $a^{*} b$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two locally compact Hausdorff spaces, the Banach-Stone theorem states that every surjective linear isometry between $C_{0}(X)$ and $C_{0}(Y)$ is a weighted composition operator. More precisely, let $T$ be a surjective linear isometry from $C_{0}(X)$ onto $C_{0}(Y)$, then there exists a continuous function $h \in C_{0}(Y)$ with $|h(y)|=$ 1, for all $y$ in $Y$, and a homeomorphism $\varphi$ from $Y$ onto $X$ such that $T$ is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f(y)=h(y) f(\varphi(y)), \forall f \in C_{0}(X), \forall y \in Y . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two Hilbert spaces. In [7], Jerison characterizes surjective linear isometries between $C_{0}\left(X, H_{1}\right)$ and $C_{0}\left(Y, H_{2}\right)$, see also [12, 6]. It is said that every surjective linear isometry $T$ from $C_{0}\left(X, H_{1}\right)$ onto $C_{0}\left(Y, H_{2}\right)$ is also of the form (1)

[^0]in which $h(y)$ is a unitary operator from $H_{1}$ onto $H_{2}$ and $h$ is continuous from $Y$ into ( $B\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right), S O T$ ), the space of all bounded linear operators with the strong operator topology. In this case, we can find a relationship of inner products of $C_{0}\left(X, H_{1}\right)$ and $C_{0}\left(Y, H_{2}\right)$ by a simple calculation:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle T f, T g\rangle(y) & =\langle T f(y), T g(y)\rangle=\langle h(y)(f(\varphi(y))), h(y)(f(\varphi(y)))\rangle \\
& =\langle f(\varphi(y)), f(\varphi(y))\rangle=\langle f, g\rangle \circ \varphi(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

i.e.

$$
\langle T f, T g\rangle=\langle f, g\rangle \circ \varphi .
$$

Let $R_{\varphi}: C_{0}(X) \rightarrow C_{0}(Y)$ be the $*$-isomorphism defined by $R_{\varphi}(\phi)=\phi \circ \varphi$. Then $T$ preserves the inner products with respect to $R_{\varphi}$, i.e.,

$$
\langle T f, T g\rangle=R_{\varphi}(\langle f, g\rangle)
$$

By (1), it is easy to see that $T$ is a module map with respect to $R_{\varphi}$ in the sense

$$
T(f \phi)=T(f) R_{\varphi}(\phi), \text { for all } f \in C_{0}\left(X, H_{1}\right) \text { and } \phi \in C_{0}(X) .
$$

It is natural to ask if these properties are true for surjective linear isometries between Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $C^{*}$-algebras. We will show in this paper that the answer is yes if the $C^{*}$-algebras are commutative. Unfortunately, if one of the $C^{*}$-algebras is noncommutative, the answer is more complicated. We will give an example (see Example 3) to explain this is not true in general. And we will give a condition on $T$ (see Theorem 9) such that $T$ is a module map and preserves the inner products.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. We set $\langle E, E\rangle$ to be the linear span of elements of the form $\langle x, y\rangle, x, y \in E . E$ is said to be full if the closed two-sided ideal $\overline{\langle E, E\rangle}$ equal $A$.

A $J B^{*}$-triple is a complex vector space $V$ with a continuous mapping $V^{3} \rightarrow$ $V,(x, y, z) \rightarrow\{x, y, z\}$, called a Jordan triple product, which is symmetric and linear in $x, z$ and conjugate linear in $y$ such that for $x, y, z, u, v$ in $V$, we have
(1) $\{x, y,\{z, u, v\}\}=\{\{x, y, z\}, u, v\}-\{z,\{y, x, u\}, v\}+\{z, u,\{x, y, v\}\}$;
(2) the mapping $z \rightarrow\{x, x, z\}$ is hermitian and has non-negative spectrum;
(3) $\|\{x, x, x\}\|=\|x\|^{3}$.

In [5], J. M. Isidro shows that every Hilbert $C^{*}$-module is a JB*-triple with the Jordan triple product

$$
\{x, y, z\}=\frac{1}{2}(x\langle y, z\rangle+z\langle y, x\rangle) .
$$

A well-known theorem of Kaup [10] (see also [1]) states that every surjective linear isometry between JB*-triples is a Jordan triple homomorphism, i.e., it preserves the Jordan triple product

$$
T\{x, y, z\}=\{T x, T y, T z\}, \forall x, y, z \in E
$$

Hence, if $T$ is a surjective linear isometry between Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x\langle y, z\rangle+z\langle y, x\rangle)=T x\langle T y, T z\rangle+T z\langle T y, T x\rangle, \forall x, y, z \in E . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x\langle x, x\rangle)=T x\langle T x, T x\rangle, \forall x \in E \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by triple polarization

$$
2\{x, y, z\}=\frac{1}{8} \sum_{\alpha^{4}=\beta^{2}=1} \alpha \beta\langle x+\alpha y+\beta z, x+\alpha y+\beta z\rangle(x+\alpha y+\beta z) .
$$

A ternary ring of operators (TRO) between two Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$ is a linear subspace $\mathfrak{R}$ of $B(H, K)$, the space of all bounded linear operators from $H$ into $K$, satisfying $A B^{*} C \in \mathfrak{R}$. Zettl shows in [17] that every Hilbert $C^{*}$-module is isomorphic to a norm closed TRO. In this case, Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules have another triple product, i.e.,

$$
\{x, y, z\}:=x\langle y, z\rangle
$$

A map between TROs is said to be a triple homomorphism if it preserves the triple products. In the case of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules, a map $T$ is a triple homomorphism if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x\langle y, z\rangle)=T x\langle T y, T z\rangle, \forall x, y, z \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have known every surjective linear isometry is a Jordan triple homomorphism, but it could not be a triple homomorphism, see Example 3.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a TRO. Then $M_{n}(\mathcal{R})$, the space of all $n \times n$ matrices whose entries are in $\mathcal{R}$, has a TRO-structure. Let $T$ be a map between TROs $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2}$. For all positive integer $n$, define maps $T^{(n)}: M_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}\right) \rightarrow M_{n}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}\right)$ by $T^{(n)}\left(\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i j}\right)=\left(T\left(x_{i j}\right)\right)_{i j}$. We call $T n$-isometry if $T^{(n)}$ is isometric and complete isometry if each $T^{(n)}$ is isometric for all $n$. It has been shown that a surjective linear isometry between TROs is a triple homomorphism if and only if it is completely isometric. More details about TROs mentioned above, we refer to [17], see also [14, 3]. In fact, Solel shows in [16] that every surjective 2 -isometry between two full Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules is necessarily completely isometric.

## 3. Results

Note that in the case of a commutative $C^{*}$-algebra $A=C_{0}(X)$, for some locally compact Hausdorff space $X$, Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $C_{0}(X)$ are the same as Hilbert bundles, or equivalently, continuous fields of Hilbert spaces, over $X$.

We showed the following theorem in [4].
Theorem 1. Let $E$ and $F$ be two Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over commutative $C^{*}$-algebras $C_{0}(X)$ and $C_{0}(Y)$, respectively. Then every surjective linear isometry from $E$ onto $F$ is a weighted composition operator

$$
T f(y)=h(y)(f(\varphi(y))), \forall f \in E, \forall y \in Y
$$

Here, $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism from $Y$ onto $X, h(y)$ is a unitary operator between the corresponding fibers of $E$ and $F$, for all $y$ in $Y$.

By the similar argument discussed in the introduction, we have

Corollary 2. Every surjective linear isometry between Hilbert C*-modules over commutative $C^{*}$-algebras preserves the inner products and is a module map.

Now we discuss the case of noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebras. From equation (4), it seems that a surjective linear isometry $T$ indicates that $T$ preserves inner products and that $T$ is a module map. We explain this could be not true in general by a example.

Example 3. Given a positive integer $n$. The Hilbert column space $H_{c}^{n}$ is the subspace of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all matrices whose non-zero entries are only in the first column. Similarly, the Hilbert row space is the subspace consisting of matrices whose non-zero entries are only in the first row. Clearly, $H_{c}$ and $H_{r}$ are right Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{C}$ and $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, respectively, with the inner product $\langle A, B\rangle:=A^{*} B$. Define a surjective linear isometry $T: H_{r}^{n} \rightarrow H_{c}^{n}$ by $T(A)=A^{t}$, the transpose of $A$. Then $\langle T(A), T(B)\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\langle A, B\rangle$, the trace of $\langle A, B\rangle$, but $T$ is not a module map with respect to the trace. For the surjective linear isometry $T: H_{c}^{n} \rightarrow H_{r}^{n}, T(A)=A^{t}$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ be defined by $\varphi(\alpha)=\alpha I$. Then $T$ is a module map with respect to $\varphi$, but the equation $\langle T A, T B\rangle=\varphi(\langle A, B\rangle)$ does not hold. It is clear that $T$ does not satisfy the equation (4).
Remark 4. In fact, the corollary above says that there exists a $*$-isomorphism $\varphi$ between the $C^{*}$-algebras such that

$$
\langle T x, T y\rangle=\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle)
$$

and

$$
T(x a)=T(x) \varphi(a)
$$

We have seen in the Example 3 that even if $T$ is a module map or preserves the inner products, the map $\varphi$ might be just a linear map.

In the following, $E$ and $F$ stand for two Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $C^{*}$-algebras $A$ and $B$, respectively. $T$ is a map from $E$ into $F$ and $\varphi$ is a map from $A$ into $B$. The following lemmas explain the relations of $T, \varphi$, when $T$ preserves the inner products and when $T$ is a module map, see also [8].
Lemma 5. If $\varphi$ is linear, every map $T$ from $E$ into $F$ which preserves the inner products with respect to $\varphi$ is linear.

Proof. Since $T$ preserves the inner products with respect to $\varphi$. Then for all $x, y$ and $z$ in $E, \alpha$ in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\langle T(\alpha x+y), T z\rangle=\varphi(\langle\alpha x+y, z\rangle)=\alpha \varphi(\langle x, z\rangle)+\varphi(\langle y, z\rangle)=\langle\alpha T x+T y, T z\rangle .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\langle T x, T(\alpha y+z)\rangle=\langle T x, \alpha T y+T z\rangle .
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\langle T(\alpha x+y)-(\alpha T x+T y), T(\alpha x+y)-(\alpha T x+T y)\rangle=0 .
$$

This proves $T(\alpha x+y)=\alpha T x+T y$ and hence $T$ is linear.
Lemma 6 ([8]). Let $T$ be a surjective linear map which preserves the inner products and is a module map w.r.t. $\varphi$. If $F$ is full, then $\varphi$ is a $*$-homomorphism.

Proof. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}$ in $A$ and $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{C}$. It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(x)\left(\varphi\left(\alpha a_{1}+a_{2}\right)-\alpha \varphi\left(a_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(a_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & T(x) \varphi\left(\alpha a_{1}+a_{2}\right)-\alpha T(x) \varphi\left(a_{1}\right)-T(x) \varphi\left(a_{2}\right) \\
= & T\left(\alpha x a_{1}+x a_{2}\right)-\alpha T\left(x a_{1}\right)-T\left(x a_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(x)\left(\varphi\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & T(x) \varphi\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-T(x) \varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \varphi\left(a_{2}\right) \\
= & T\left(x a_{1} a_{2}\right)-T\left(x a_{1} a_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T$ is surjective and $F$ is full, we have $\varphi\left(\alpha a_{1}+a_{2}\right)=\alpha \varphi\left(a_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(a_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)$.

Let $x, y$ in $A$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(\langle x, y\rangle^{*}\right)=\varphi(\langle y, x\rangle)=\langle T y, T x\rangle=\langle T x, T y\rangle^{*}=\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle)^{*} .
$$

For $a$ in $A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle T(x)\left(\varphi\left(a^{*}\right)-\varphi(a)^{*}\right), T(x)\left(\varphi\left(a^{*}\right)-\varphi(a)^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \varphi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) \varphi\left(a^{*}\right)-\varphi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) \varphi(a)^{*}-\varphi(a) \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) \varphi\left(a^{*}\right)+\varphi(a) \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) \varphi(a)^{*} \\
= & \left(\varphi\left(\left\langle x a^{*}, x\right\rangle\right) \varphi\left(a^{*}\right)\right)^{*}-\left(\varphi(a) \varphi(\langle x, x\rangle) \varphi\left(a^{*}\right)\right)^{*}-\varphi\left(\left\langle x a^{*}, x a^{*}\right\rangle\right)+\left(\varphi(a) \varphi\left(\left\langle x, x a^{*}\right\rangle\right)\right)^{*} \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $T(x)\left(\varphi\left(a^{*}\right)-\varphi(a)^{*}\right)=0$ for all $x$ in $E$. Since $T$ is surjective and $F$ is full, we have $\varphi\left(a^{*}\right)=\varphi(a)^{*}$.

Lemma 7. If $\varphi$ is $a *$-homomorphism, then every map $T$ which preserves the inner products w.r.t. $\varphi$ is a module map w.r.t. $\varphi$.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ in $E$ and $a$ in $A$. Then

$$
\langle T(x a), T y\rangle=\varphi(\langle x a, y\rangle)=\varphi(a)^{*} \varphi(\langle x, y\rangle)=\langle T(x) \varphi(a), T y\rangle .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\langle T(x), T(y a)\rangle=\langle T(x), T(y) \varphi(a)\rangle
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\langle T(x a)-T(x) \varphi(a), T(x a)-T(x) \varphi(a)\rangle=0 .
$$

Hence, $T(x a)=T(x) \varphi(a)$.
Lemma 8 ([13]). Let $T$ be a surjective linear isometry and $\varphi$ a*-isomorphism. If $T$ is a module map w.r.t. $\varphi$, then $T$ preserves the inner products with respect to $\varphi$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\langle T x, T x\rangle=\varphi(\langle x, x\rangle)$ for all $x$ in $E$. Note that $|a|:=\left(a^{*} a\right)^{1 / 2}$. For all $b$ in $B$, let $\varphi(a)=b$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \||T x| b\|^{2}=\left\|b^{*}|T x|^{2} b\right\|=\|\langle T(x) \varphi(a), T(x) \varphi(a)\rangle\| \\
= & \|\langle T(x a), T(x a)\rangle\|=\|\langle x a, x a\rangle\|=\||x| a\|^{2}=\|\varphi(|x| a)\|^{2}=\|\varphi(|x|) b\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.5 in [11], we get $|T x|=(\varphi(|x|)$ and hence $\langle T x, T x\rangle=\varphi(\langle x, x\rangle)$.

Theorem 9. Let $T$ be a surjective linear 2-isometry from $E$ onto $F$. Then there exists $a *$-isomorphism $\varphi$ from $\overline{\langle E, E\rangle}$ onto $\overline{\langle F, F\rangle}$ such that, for all $x, y$ in $E$, and $a$ in $A$,

$$
\langle T x, T y\rangle=\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle)
$$

and

$$
T(x a)=T(x) \varphi(a)
$$

Proof. We can regard $E$ and $F$ as full modules over $\langle E, E\rangle$ and $\langle F, F\rangle$, respectively. In this case, as we mentioned above, $T$ is completely isometric and hence it preserves the triple products

$$
T(z\langle x, y\rangle)=T z\langle T x, T y\rangle, \forall x, y, z \in E .
$$

Define $\varphi:\langle E, E\rangle \rightarrow\langle F, F\rangle$ by

$$
\varphi\left(\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle\right):=\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle T x_{i}, T y_{i}\right\rangle, x_{i}, y_{i} \in E, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, i=1, \cdots, n
$$

Let $x_{i}, y_{i}$ and $z \in E, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, i=1, \cdots, n$. Then $\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=0$ if and only if $z\left(\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle\right)=0$ for all $z$ if and only if $T(z)\left(\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle T x_{i}, T y_{i}\right\rangle\right)=\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i} T z\left\langle T x_{i}, T y_{i}\right\rangle=$ $\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i} T\left(z\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle\right)=T\left(z\left(\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right)=0$ for all $z$ if and only if $\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle T x_{i}, T y_{i}\right\rangle=0$ since $T$ is injective, $\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle \in\langle E, E\rangle$ and $\sum_{i=i}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\langle T x_{i}, T y_{i}\right\rangle \in\langle F, F\rangle$. This shows that $\varphi$ is well-defined and injective. From the defintion of $\varphi$, since $T$ is surjective, it is clear that $\varphi$ is a surjective $*$-homomorphism and $T$ preserves the inner products w.r.t. $\varphi$. By lemma $7, T$ is a module map w.r.t $\varphi$.

Corollary 10. Every surjective linear 2-isometry between two full Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules preserves the inner products and is a module map with respect to some $*$-isomorphism of underlying $C^{*}$-algebras.
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