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Abstract. In this paper, we study an implicit predictor-corrector iteration process for finitely many asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive self-mappings on a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$. We derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the strong convergence of this iteration process to a common fixed point of these mappings. In the case $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and the mappings are asymptotically nonexpansive, we verify the weak (resp. strong) convergence of this iteration process to a common fixed point of these mappings if Opial's condition is satisfied (resp. one of these mappings is semi-compact). Our results improve and extend earlier and recent ones in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a real Banach space equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|$, let $C$ be a nonempty subset of $E$, and let $T: C \rightarrow C$. The set $F(T)=\{x \in C: T x=x\}$ consists of all fixed points of $T$.

Definition 1.1. $T$ is said to be
(1) nonexpansive if

$$
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C ;
$$

(2) asymptotically nonexpansive [4] if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}$ $=1$ such that

$$
\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C, n \geq 1
$$

(3) asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset$ $[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that

$$
\left\|T^{n} x-p\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-p\|, \quad \forall x \in C, p \in F(T), n \geq 1
$$

(4) semi-compact [2] if for any bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$, there exists a strongly convergent subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$.

The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, as a natural extension of that of nonexpansive mappings, was introduced by Goebel and Kirk [4] in 1972. They proved that if $C$ is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space $E$, then every asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping $T$ on $C$ has a fixed point. Furthermore, the study of iterative construction for fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings began
in 1978. Bose [1] first proved that if the uniformly convex Banach space $E$ satisfies Opial's condition [6] then $\left\{T^{n} x\right\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of $T$, provided $T$ is asymptotically regular at $x$, i.e., $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n+1} x\right\|=0$. A Banach space $E$ is said to satisfy Opial's condition [6] if whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $E$ which converges weakly to $x$, one has

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|, \quad \text { for all } y \in E, y \neq x
$$

It is well known that every Hilbert space satisfies Opial's condition (see, for example, [6]).
In 2001, Xu and Ori [9] first introduced an implicit iteration process for $N$ nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space and proved the following weak convergence theorem.

Theorem 1.2 ([9]). Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of H. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be $N$ nonexpansive self-mappings on $C$ such that $F=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_{0} \in C$ and let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined implicity by

$$
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n(\bmod N)} x_{n}, \quad n \geq 1,
$$

converges weakly to a common fixed point of mappings $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$.

Later, Sun [8] introduced and studied another implicit iteration process

$$
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n(\bmod N)}^{l_{n}+1} x_{n}, \quad n \geq 1,
$$

for $N$ asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive self-mappings $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ on a nonempty bounded closed convex subset $C$ of a Banach space $E$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1), x_{0}$ is an initial point in $C$, and $n=l_{n} N+n(\bmod N)$. Moreover, he proved that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by
his iteration process converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ under suitable conditions.

At the same time, Zhou and Chang [10] introduced and studied the following implicit iteration process

$$
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\beta_{n} T_{n(\bmod N)}^{n} x_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}, \quad n \geq 1,
$$

for $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ on a nonempty closed convex subset $C$ of a Banach space $E$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ are three sequences in $[0,1], x_{0}$ is an initial point in $C$, and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $C$. Moreover, they proved that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by their iteration process converges weakly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ under suitable conditions.

As indicated in [10], if $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}: C \rightarrow C$ are $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, then there exists a sequence, called common Lipschitz constants, $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\left\|T_{i}^{n} x-T_{i}^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C, n \geq 1
$$

A similar situation occurs when $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}$ are asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive. By convention, we write $T_{n}:=T_{n(\bmod N)}$, for integer $n \geq 1$, with the $\bmod$ function taking values in the set $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. In other words, if $n=l_{n} N+q$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq q \leq N$, then we set $T_{n}=T_{q}$.

In this paper, we introduce the following implicit predictor-corrector iteration process with an auxiliary finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive self-mappings on $C$.

Definition 1.3 (Basic set up). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$, and $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{\hat{T}_{1}, \hat{T}_{2}, \ldots, \hat{T}_{\hat{N}}\right\}$ be two families of asymptotically quasinonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $C$ with common Lipschitz constants $\left\{k_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\hat{k}_{n}\right\}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<+\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\hat{k}_{n}-1\right)<+\infty$, respectively. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be an iterative sequence in $C$ generated from an arbitrary $x_{0} \in C$ by three steps:

Auxiliary step. With $x_{n-1}(n \geq 1)$ established, $y_{n}$ is computed implicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\hat{\alpha}_{n} x_{n-1}+\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{T}_{n}^{\hat{l}_{n}} y_{n}+\hat{\gamma}_{n} \hat{u}_{n} \tag{1.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Predictor step. With $y_{n}$ obtained in the auxiliary step, $z_{n}$ is computed implicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\bar{\alpha}_{n} y_{n}+\bar{\beta}_{n} T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}+\bar{\gamma}_{n} \bar{u}_{n} \tag{1.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corrector step. With $z_{n}$ obtained in the predictor step, $x_{n}$ is computed explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} y_{n}+\beta_{n} T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}, \tag{1.1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $T_{n}:=T_{n(\bmod N)}$ and $\hat{T}_{n}:=\hat{T}_{n(\bmod \hat{N})}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. On the other hand, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\left\{\hat{u}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\bar{u}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are three bounded sequences in $C$; and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\hat{\alpha}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\bar{\alpha}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\left\{\hat{\beta}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\bar{\beta}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\hat{\gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\bar{\gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are nine real sequences in $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}+\gamma_{n}=1 \quad(\forall n \geq 1), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<+\infty,  \tag{1.2}\\
\hat{\alpha}_{n}+\hat{\beta}_{n}+\hat{\gamma}_{n}=1 \quad(\forall n \geq 1), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_{n}<+\infty, \\
\bar{\alpha}_{n}+\bar{\beta}_{n}+\bar{\gamma}_{n}=1 \quad(\forall n \geq 1), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\gamma}_{n}<+\infty, \\
0<\hat{\beta}_{n}, \bar{\beta}_{n} \leq c<K^{-1} \quad(\forall n \geq 1), \quad K=\max \left\{\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}, \sup _{n \geq 1} \hat{k}_{n}\right\} \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 1.4. Since $0<\hat{\beta}_{n}, \bar{\beta}_{n} \leq c<K^{-1}$, it is clear that the mappings $y \mapsto \hat{\alpha}_{n} x_{n-1}+$ $\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{T}_{n}^{\hat{l}_{n}} y+\hat{\gamma}_{n} \hat{u}_{n}$ and $z \mapsto \bar{\alpha}_{n} y_{n}+\bar{\beta}_{n} T_{n}^{l_{n}} z+\bar{\gamma}_{n} \bar{u}_{n}$ are two contractions from the nonempty closed
convex set $C$ into itself. Thus, by the Banach Contraction Principle there exist the unique points $y_{n}, z_{n} \in C$ such that (1.1a) and (1.1b) hold, respectively. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined.

Our aim is to consider and study the strong and weak convergence of the above implicit predictor-corrector iteration process. To this end, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.5. Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\},\left\{\bar{b}_{n}\right\},\left\{\hat{b}_{n}\right\}$ be three nonnegative real sequences with finite sums. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<+\infty$, where $\lambda_{n}=\left(1+b_{n}\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)-1$ for each $\geq 1$.

Lemma 1.6 ([10]). $\operatorname{Let}\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be three nonnegative real sequences such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<$ $+\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<+\infty$, and

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+\lambda_{n}\right) a_{n}+\mu_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists.

Lemma 1.7 ([7]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset[b, c] \subset(0,1)$, and $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset E$. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=d<+\infty, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq d$, and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq d$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.

Lemma 1.8 (Demi-closed principle [3]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero, that is, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
x_{n} \rightarrow q \in C \text { weakly } \\
(I-T) x_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { strongly }
\end{array}\right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad(I-T) q=0
$$

## 2 Main Results

Lemma 2.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$, and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ and $\left\{\hat{T}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\hat{N}}$ be two finite families of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive self-mappings on $C$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are the iterative sequences defined by (1.1a), (1.1b) and (1.1c), then for each $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right)$, there hold

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=d, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq d, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq d
$$

Proof. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\hat{u}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\left\{\bar{u}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are three bounded sequences in $C$, for any given $p \in$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right)$ we have

$$
M:=\max \left\{\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|, \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|\hat{u}_{n}-p\right\|, \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|\bar{u}_{n}-p\right\|\right\}<+\infty .
$$

Note that $1-\bar{\beta}_{n} k_{l_{n}} \geq 1-c K>0$ and $1-\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}} \geq 1-c K>0$. Put

$$
L=\frac{1}{1-c K}, \quad b_{n}=\beta_{n}\left(k_{l_{n}}-1\right), \quad \bar{b}_{n}=\frac{1-\bar{\beta}_{n}}{1-\bar{\beta}_{n} k_{l_{n}}}-1, \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{b}_{n}=\frac{1-\hat{\beta}_{n}}{1-\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}}-1
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{cases}0 \leq b_{n}=\beta_{n}\left(k_{l_{n}}-1\right) \leq k_{l_{n}}-1, & \text { and } \quad 1+b_{n} \leq K  \tag{2.1}\\ 0 \leq \bar{b}_{n}=\frac{\bar{\beta}_{n}\left(k_{l_{n}}-1\right)}{1-\hat{\beta}_{n} k_{l}} \leq L\left(k_{l_{n}}-1\right), \quad \text { and } \quad 1+\bar{b}_{n} \leq L \\ 0 \leq \hat{b}_{n}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{n}}{\left.1-\hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}-1\right)} \leq L\left(\hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}-1\right), \quad \text { and } \quad 1+\hat{b}_{n} \leq L\end{cases}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|\hat{\alpha}_{n}\left(x_{n-1}-p\right)+\hat{\beta}_{n}\left(\hat{T}_{n}^{\hat{l}_{n}} y_{n}-p\right)+\hat{\gamma}_{n}\left(\hat{u}_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \hat{\alpha}_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\hat{\gamma}_{n}\left\|\hat{u}_{n}-p\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| & \leq \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{n}}{1-\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\frac{\hat{\gamma}_{n}}{1-\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}}\left\|\hat{u}_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1-\hat{\beta}_{n}}{1-\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+L M \hat{\gamma}_{n}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+L M \hat{\gamma}_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|\bar{\alpha}_{n}\left(y_{n}-p\right)+\bar{\beta}_{n}\left(T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-p\right)+\bar{\gamma}_{n}\left(\bar{u}_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \bar{\alpha}_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\bar{\beta}_{n} k_{l_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\bar{\gamma}_{n}\left\|\bar{u}_{n}-p\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| & \leq \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{n}}{1-\beta_{n} k_{n}}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{n}}{1-\bar{\beta}_{n} k_{l_{l}}}\left\|\bar{u}_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1-\bar{\beta}_{n}}{1-\bar{\beta}_{n} k_{l_{n}}}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+L M \bar{\gamma}_{n}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+L M \bar{\gamma}_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(y_{n}-p\right)+\beta_{n}\left(T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-p\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n} k_{n}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\beta_{n} k_{l_{n}}\left[\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+L M \bar{\gamma}_{n}\right]+\gamma_{n} M \\
& \leq\left(1+\beta_{n}\left(k_{l_{n}}-1\right)\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+M\left[K L \bar{\gamma}_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right] \\
& \leq\left(1+b_{n}\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+K L M\left[\bar{\gamma}_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right]  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq\left(1+b_{n}\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left[\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+L M \hat{\gamma}_{n}\right]+K L M\left[\bar{\gamma}_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right] \\
& \leq\left(1+b_{n}\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+K L^{2} M \hat{\gamma}_{n}+K L M\left[\bar{\gamma}_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right] \\
& \leq\left(1+b_{n}\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+K L^{2} M\left[\gamma_{n}+\bar{\gamma}_{n}+\hat{\gamma}_{n}\right] \\
& =\left(1+\lambda_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\mu_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}=\left(1+b_{n}\right)\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)-1$, and $\mu_{n}=K L^{2} M\left[\gamma_{n}+\bar{\gamma}_{n}+\hat{\gamma}_{n}\right]$.
Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{l_{n}}-1\right)<+\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\hat{k}_{\hat{l}_{n}}-1\right)<+\infty$, it follows from (2.1) that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<$ $+\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{n}<+\infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{b}_{n}<+\infty$. Hence, we derive $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<+\infty$ by Lemma 1.5. Note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<+\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\gamma}_{n}<+\infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_{n}<+\infty$. This provides $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<$ $+\infty$. By Lemma 1.6, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=d$.

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{b}_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\gamma}_{n}=0$, from (2.2) we obtain

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\hat{b}_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+L M \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\gamma}_{n} \leq d
$$

Further, since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{b}_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{n}=0$, from (2.3) we obtain

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\bar{b}_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+L M \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{n} \leq d
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space E. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ and $\left\{\hat{T}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\hat{N}}$ be two finite families of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive self-mappings on $C$ such that $F:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the iterative sequence defined by (1.1a), (1.1b) and (1.1c). Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element of $F$ if and only if

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. The necessity is obvious. For the sufficiency, we assume $\lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$. Let $p$ be any given element in $F$. Then from (2.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left(1+\lambda_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\mu_{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}<+\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<+\infty$. Taking the infimum over all $p \in F$, we get

$$
d\left(x_{n}, F\right) \leq\left(1+\lambda_{n}\right) d\left(x_{n-1}, F\right)+\mu_{n} .
$$

Hence, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)$ exists. Furthermore, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$.
By Lemma 2.1, we know that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists. Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Put $\delta_{n}=\lambda_{n}\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\mu_{n}$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<+\infty$, and (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n-1}-p\right\|+\delta_{n}
$$

For arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, choose $N_{0}$ such that $d\left(x_{N_{0}}, F\right)<\varepsilon / 4$ and $\sum_{j=N_{0}}^{\infty} \delta_{j}<\varepsilon / 4$. Consequently, for all $n, m \geq N_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|x_{m}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{N_{0}}-p\right\|+\sum_{j=N_{0}+1}^{n} \delta_{j}+\left\|x_{N_{0}}-p\right\|+\sum_{j=N_{0}+1}^{m} \delta_{j} \\
& \leq 2\left\|x_{N_{0}}-p\right\|+2 \sum_{j=N_{0}}^{\infty} \delta_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum over all $p \in F$, we obtain

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\| \leq 2 d\left(x_{N_{0}}, F\right)+2 \sum_{j=N_{0}}^{\infty} \delta_{j} \leq \frac{2 \varepsilon}{4}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{4}=\varepsilon
$$

This shows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=u$. It is easy to verify that $F$ is closed. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$, we must have that $u \in F$.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1, the iterated sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. If the underlying space $E$ is reflexive then we can expect that its weak cluster points provide common fixed points of $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}$. This leads to the following

Theorem 2.3. Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ (resp. $\left\{\hat{T}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\hat{N}}$ ) be a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive (resp. asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive) self-mappings on $C$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right) \cap$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\beta}_{n}=0$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset[b, c] \subset\left(0, K^{-1}\right)$, where $K$ is as in (1.2). Then every weak cluster point of the bounded iterative sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.1a), (1.1b) and (1.1c) belongs to $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Let $p \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=d, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| \leq d, \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq d
$$

Obviously, $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences in $C$.
Observe that

$$
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[y_{n}-p+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right]+\beta_{n}\left[T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-p+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right]\right\| \rightarrow d
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n}=0$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\right] \leq d
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-p+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[k_{l_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\gamma_{n}\left\|u_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\right] \leq d
$$

It follows from Lemma 1.7 that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\bar{\alpha}_{n} y_{n}+\bar{\beta}_{n} T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}+\bar{\gamma}_{n} \bar{u}_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\bar{\beta}_{n}\left(T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\bar{\gamma}_{n}\left(\bar{u}_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\alpha_{n} y_{n}+\beta_{n} T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}+\gamma_{n} u_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\beta_{n}\left(T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-y_{n}\right)+\gamma_{n}\left(u_{n}-y_{n}\right)\right\|=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| & =\left\|\hat{\alpha}_{n} x_{n-1}+\hat{\beta}_{n} \hat{T}_{n}^{\hat{l}_{n}} y_{n}+\hat{\gamma}_{n} \hat{u}_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\hat{\beta}_{n}\left(\hat{T}_{n}^{\hat{l}_{n}} y_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)+\hat{\gamma}_{n}\left(\hat{u}_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \hat{\beta}_{n}\left\|\hat{T}_{n}^{\hat{l}_{n}} y_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\hat{\gamma}_{n}\left\|\hat{u}_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\beta}_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\gamma}_{n}=0$. As a result, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

It forces

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|=0, \quad \text { for each } i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} z_{n}\right\|+k_{l_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n=l_{n} N+n(\bmod N)$ for $n>N$, we get

$$
n-N=\left(l_{n}-1\right) N+n(\bmod N)
$$

and hence $l_{n-N}=l_{n}-1$. Thus, we have

$$
T_{n}^{l_{n}-1}=T_{n-N}^{l_{n-N}} .
$$

Consequently, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+K\left\|T_{n}^{l_{n-1}} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
&=\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+K\left\|T_{n-N}^{l_{n-N}} x_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+K\left[\left\|T_{n-N}^{l_{n-N}} x_{n}-T_{n-N}^{l_{n-N}} x_{n-N}\right\|\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\left\|T_{n-N}^{l_{n-N}} x_{n-N}-x_{n-N}\right\|+\left\|x_{n-N}-x_{n}\right\|\right] \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{l_{n}} x_{n}\right\|+K\left[(1+K)\left\|x_{n-N}-x_{n}\right\|\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\left\|T_{n-N}^{l_{n-N}} x_{n-N}-x_{n-N}\right\|\right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that for each $j=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+j} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+j}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+j}-T_{n+j} x_{n+j}\right\|+\left\|T_{n+j} x_{n+j}-T_{n+j} x_{n}\right\|  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq(1+K)\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+j}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+j}-T_{n+j} x_{n+j}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the closedness and convexity of $C$ imply the weak closedness of $C$. Let $\tilde{x} \in C$ be any weak cluster point of the bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Let $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ weakly (see, e.g., [5, p. 313]). Since the pool of mappings $\left\{T_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}$ is finite, we may further assume (passing to a further subsequence if necessary) that for some integer $l \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}, T_{n_{i}}=T_{l}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then it follows from (2.6) that for each $j=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
x_{n_{i}}-T_{l+j} x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty,
$$

that is, for each $j=1,2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n_{i}}-T_{j} x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1.8, we can conclude that $\tilde{x} \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} F\left(T_{j}\right)$.

Theorem 2.4. In addition to the conditions in Theorem 2.3, we assume further that $\emptyset \neq$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^{\hat{N}} F\left(\hat{T}_{j}\right)$.
(a) If $E$ satisfies Opial's condition, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to an element of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
(b) If one of $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is semi-compact, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.

Proof. We continue the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.3.
For (a), we claim that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is weakly convergent. Were this false, there existed another subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{j}} \rightarrow \bar{x} \in C$ weakly and $\bar{x} \neq \tilde{x}$. Utilizing the same argument as in Theorem 2.3, we can prove that $\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} F\left(T_{j}\right)$. Note that by Lemma 2.1 both $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|$ exists. It follows from the Opial condition of $E$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\| & =\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-\tilde{x}\right\| \\
& <\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-\bar{x}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|=\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-\bar{x}\right\| \\
& <\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-\tilde{x}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\tilde{x}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction indicates that $\bar{x}=\tilde{x}$, and so $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $\tilde{x}$.
For (b), by (2.7), we can assume a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ exists such that $x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow \hat{x} \in$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ in norm. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-\hat{x}\right\|=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-\hat{x}\right\|=0
$$

This completes the proof.
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