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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and which possesses uniform normal structure, $K$ a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $E$, $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings on $K$ with common sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset[1, \infty),\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ ( $n \geq 1$ ) and $f$ be a contraction on $K$. Under suitable conditions on the sequences $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$, we show the existence of a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying the relation $x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+$ $\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}$ where $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$. Further we prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$, which solves some variational inequality, provided $\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. As an application, we prove that the iterative process defined by $z_{0} \in K, z_{n+1}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) z_{n}+$ $\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} z_{n}$, converges strongly to the same common fixed point of $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that $E$ is a real Banach space with dual $E^{*}$ and $K$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $J: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ be the normalized duality mapping defined by

$$
J(x):=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \quad x \in E
$$

A mapping $f: K \rightarrow K$ is called a contraction if there exists a constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$ such that $\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \alpha\|x-y\| \forall x, y \in K$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$ is called nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\| \forall x, y \in K$, and is called asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that $\left\|T^{n} x-T^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|$ for all $n \geq 1$ and all $x, y \in K$. It is easy to see that every contraction is nonexpansive, and every nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically nonexpansive. The converse is not valid. In 1972, Goebel and Kirk [1] proved that if the space $E$ is assumed to be uniformly convex, then every asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping $T$ on a bounded closed convex subset $K \subset E$ has a fixed point. Subsequently, Lim and Xu [2] also proved another existence result which is similar to the existence theorem in [1]. Moreover, Lim and Xu [2] introduced an implicit iterative scheme as follows:

Suppose that $K$ is a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$ and $T: K \rightarrow K$ is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Fix a $u$ in $K$ and define for each $n \geq 1$ the contraction $S_{n}: K \rightarrow K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(x)=\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) u+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1)$ is any sequence such that $t_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the Banach Contraction Principle yields a unique point $x_{n}$ fixed by $S_{n}$. Now the question gives rise to whether the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$. The following is a partial answer.

Theorem 1.1 ([2]). Suppose E is uniformly smooth and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is chosen so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right) /\left(k_{n}-t_{n}\right)=0
$$

(Such a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ always exists, for example, take $t_{n}=\min \left\{1-\left(k_{n}-1\right)^{1 / 2}, 1-n^{-1}\right\}$.) Suppose in addition the condition $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$ holds. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$.

On the other hand, Moudafi [3] proposed a viscosity approximation method of selecting a particular fixed point of a given nonexpansive mapping in Hilbert spaces. If $H$ is a Hilbert space, $T: K \rightarrow K$ is a nonexpansive self-mapping of a nonempty closed convex subset $K$ of $H$, and $f: K \rightarrow K$ is a contraction, he proved the strong convergence of both the implicit and explicit methods:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{n}} T x_{n}+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{1+\varepsilon_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_{n}} T x_{n}+\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{1+\varepsilon_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}=0$. Motivated by Moudafi [3], Xu [4] studied the viscosity approximation methods for a nonexpansive mapping in a uniformly smooth Banach space. For a contraction $f$ on $K$ and $t \in(0,1)$, let $x_{t} \in K$ be the unique fixed point of the contraction $x \mapsto t f(x)+(1-t) T x$. Consider also the iteration process $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, where $x_{0} \in K$ is arbitrary and $x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$. Xu [4] proved that $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ and, under certain appropriate conditions on $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to a fixed point of $T$ which solves some variational inequality.

Very recently, the viscosity approximation methods are extended by Shahzad and Udomene [5] to develop new iterative schemes for an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. They proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2 ([5, Theorem 3.1]). Let E be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E, T: K \rightarrow K$ an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $f: K \rightarrow K$ a contraction with constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \frac{(1-\alpha) k_{n}}{k_{n}-\alpha}\right)$ be such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{k_{n}-t_{n}}=0$. Then,
(i) for each $n \geq 0$, there is $a$ unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} x_{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$, then,
(ii) the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
p \in F(T) \text { such that }\left\langle(I-f) p, j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F(T)
$$

Theorem 1.3 ([5, Theorem 3.3]). Let E be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E, T: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ and $f: K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \xi_{n}\right)$ be such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n}\left(1-t_{n}\right)=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{k_{n}-t_{n}}=0$, where $\xi_{n}=\min \left\{\frac{(1-\alpha) k_{n}}{k_{n}-\alpha}, \frac{1}{k_{n}}\right\}$. For an arbitrary $z_{0} \in K$ let the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be iteratively defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}:=\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) f\left(z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} z_{n}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,
(i) for each $n \geq 0$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\right) f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} x_{n}
$$

and, if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|=0$, then
(ii) the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
p \in F(T) \text { such that }\left\langle(I-f) p, j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F(T)
$$

Furthermore, Chang et al. [6] studied the weak and strong convergence of implicit iteration process

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n-1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}}^{l_{n}+1} x_{n}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a finite family $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ of asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings on a nonempty closed convex subset $K$ of a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial condition, where $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$. In the proof of the main results of Chang et al. [6], the following proposition is crucial.

Proposition 1.1 ([6, Proposition 1]). Let $K$ be a nonempty subset of $E$, and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive selfmappings on K. Then,
(i) there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ with $k_{n} \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{i}^{n} x-T_{i}^{n} y\right\| \leq k_{n}\|x-y\| \quad \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in K, i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\left\{T_{i}^{n}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ is uniformly Lipschitzian with a Lipschitzian constant $L \geq 1$, i.e., there exists a constant $L \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{i}^{n} x-T_{i}^{n} y\right\| \leq L\|x-y\| \quad \forall n \geq 1, x, y \in K, i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call the sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n}$ a common sequence of a finite family $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ of asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings. Meantime, the authors [7] introduced and studied the implicit iteration scheme with perturbed mappings for common fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, as a special case of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, in a Hilbert space.

The main aim of this paper is to obtain fixed point solutions of variational inequalities for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings defined on a real Banach space with uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure. We prove, under appropriate conditions on $K, T$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$, that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ defined iteratively by: $z_{0} \in K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}:=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) z_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} z_{n} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$, and $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$, converges strongly to the unique solution of the above variational inequality. We remark that Shahzad and Udomene's theorems [5] extend Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 of [4] to the more general class of asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings and to the much more general class of Banach spaces (see Theorems 1.1 and 1.2) and the corresponding results of [8] (hence of [9]) follow as immediate corollaries of their theorems. Now, our results extend Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 of [5] to new viscosity iterative schemes and to the case of a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings. Therefore our results are the improvements and extension of the corresponding ones in [3-10].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a Banach space. Let $S_{E}:=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$ denote the unit sphere of $E$. Recall that $E$ is said to have a Gâteaux differentiable norm if for each $x \in S_{E}$ the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(\|x+t y\|-\|x\|) / t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $y \in S_{E}$, and we call $E$ smooth. In this case, it is known [11] that the normalized duality mapping $J$ on $E$ is singlevalued. $E$ is said to have a uniform Gâteaux differentiable norm if for each $y \in S_{E}$ the limit (10) is attained uniformly for $x \in S_{E}$. Further, $E$ is said to be uniformly smooth if the limit (10) exists uniformly for ( $x, y$ ) $\in S_{E} \times S_{E}$. It is known [11] that if $E$ has a uniform Gâteaux differentiable norm then the normalized duality mapping $J$ on $E$ is single-valued and norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of $E$.

Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E$ and let the diameter of $K$ be defined by $d(K):=\sup \{\|x-y\|$ : $x, y \in K\}$. For each $x \in K$, let $r(x, K):=\sup \{\|x-y\|: y \in K\}$ and let $r(K):=\inf \{r(x, K): x \in K\}$ denote the Chebyshev radius of $K$ relative to itself. The normal structure coefficient $N(E)$ of $E$ (cf. [12]) is defined by

$$
N(E):=\inf \left\{\frac{d(K)}{r(K)}: K \text { is a closed convex and bounded subset of } E \text { with } d(K)>0\right\} .
$$

A space $E$ such that $N(E)>1$ is said to have uniform normal structure. It is known that every space with a uniform normal structure is reflexive, and that all uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces have uniformly normal structure (see e.g., $[2,13]$ ).

Let LIM be a Banach limit. Recall that LIM $\in\left(\ell^{\infty}\right)^{*}$ such that $\|\operatorname{LIM}\|=1, \lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \leq \operatorname{LIM}_{n} a_{n} \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$, and $\operatorname{LIM}_{n} a_{n}=\operatorname{LIM}_{n} a_{n+1}$ for all $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n} \in \ell^{\infty}$.

The following lemmas will be needed in what follows. Lemma 2.1 is well known.

Lemma 2.1. Let E be an arbitrary real Banach space. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, j(x+y)\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and all $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$.
Lemma 2.2 (Kim and $X u[4]$ ). Let $E$ be a Banach space with uniform normal structure, $K$ a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E$, and $T: K \rightarrow K$ an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then $T$ has a fixed point.

Lemma 2.3 (Chidume et al. [8], $\mathrm{Xu}[4,9])$. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) a_{n}+\lambda_{n} \gamma_{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{R}$ such that
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=\infty$;
(ii) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n} \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n} \gamma_{n}\right|<\infty$.

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.
Lemma 2.4 (See Lim and Xu [2, Theorem 1]). Suppose that E is a Banach space with uniform normal structure, C is a nonempty bounded subset of $E$, and $T: C \rightarrow C$ is an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ and $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$. Suppose also that there exists a nonempty bounded closed convex subset $D$ of $C$ with the following property ( P ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in D \Rightarrow \omega_{w}(x) \subset D \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{w}(x)$ is the weak $\omega$-limit set of $T$ at $x$, i.e., the set
$\left\{y \in E: y=\right.$ weak $-\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} T^{n_{j}} x$ for some $\left.n_{j} \uparrow \infty\right\}$.
Then $T$ has a fixed point in $D$.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E,\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with common sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$, and let $f: K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1)$ such that (a) $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (b) $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \frac{1-\alpha}{k_{n}-\alpha}\right)$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$. Then
(i) for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$; and if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, then
(ii) the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in F \text { such that }\left\langle(I-f) p, j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
Proof. First, using Lemma 2.2 we know that each $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is nonempty, bounded, and closed for $1 \leq i \leq N$. By the condition on $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$, for each $n \geq 1$ the mapping $S_{n}: K \rightarrow K$ defined for each $x \in K$ by $S_{n} x:=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f(x)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x$ is a contraction. Indeed, observe that for all $x, y \in K$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{n} x-S_{n} y\right\| & \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)\|x-y\|+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\|f(x)-f(y)\|+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\|T_{r_{n}}^{n} x-T_{r_{n}}^{n} y\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)\|x-y\|+\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}\|x-y\|+\frac{t_{n} k_{n}}{k_{n}}\|x-y\| \\
& =\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)+\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right\}\|x-y\| \\
& =\theta_{n}\|x-y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)+\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}+t_{n}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{n}<1 & \Leftrightarrow\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)+\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}+t_{n}<1 \\
& \Leftrightarrow t_{n}<\frac{1-\alpha}{k_{n}-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that there exists a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that $S_{n} x_{n}=x_{n}$. Now we define $\phi: K \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\phi(z)=\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}
$$

Since $\phi$ is continuous and convex, $\phi(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|z\| \rightarrow \infty$, and $E$ is reflexive, $\phi$ attains its infimum over $K$. Hence, the set

$$
D=\left\{x \in K: \phi(x)=\min _{z \in K} \phi(z)\right\}
$$

is nonempty, closed and convex.
We claim that for any $l \geq 1, \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. Indeed, whenever $l=1$, we set $T_{1}=T$ for convenience. Then in terms of Lemma 2.2 we have $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. We follow the line of argument in Lim and Xu [2, Theorem 2]. Though $D$ is not necessarily invariant under $T$, it does have the property ( P ). In fact, if $x$ is in $D$ and $y=w$ - $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} T^{m_{j}}$ belongs to the weak $\omega$-limit set $\omega_{w}(x)$ of $T$ at $x$, then from the $w$-l.s.c. of $\phi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(y) & \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T^{n_{j}} x\right) \leq \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T^{m} x\right) \\
& =\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-T^{m} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|T^{m} x_{n}-T^{m} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m}^{2} \operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}=\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2} \\
& =\min _{z \in K} \phi(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $y$ belongs to $D$ and hence $D$ satisfies the property ( P ). It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $T$ has a fixed point in $D$, i.e., $F(T) \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

For $l \geq 1$, assume that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. Let us show that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l+1} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq l+1$. In this case, it is clear that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D$ is nonempty, bounded, closed and convex. Then define a subset $W$ of $C$ as

$$
W=\left\{x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D: \phi(x)=\min _{\substack{z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D}} \phi(z)\right\}
$$

Since $\phi$ is continuous and convex, $\phi(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|z\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $E$ is reflexive, $\phi$ attains its infimum over $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D$. Hence the subset $W$ is nonempty, bounded, closed and convex. Now we prove that $W$ has the property (P), i.e., $x \in W \Rightarrow$ $\omega_{w}(x) \subset W$ where

$$
\left\{y \in X: y=\text { weak }-\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} T_{l+1}^{n_{j}} x \text { for some } n_{j} \uparrow \infty\right\}
$$

Observe that $T_{l+1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ since $T_{i} T_{l+1}=T_{l+1} T_{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, l$, implies that for each $u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$

$$
T_{l+1} u=T_{l+1} T_{i} u=T_{i} T_{l+1} u, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l
$$

that is, $T_{l+1} u \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$. Suppose that $x$ is in $W$ and $y=w$ - $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} T_{l+1}^{m_{j}} x$ belongs to the weak $\omega$-limit set $\omega_{w}(x)$ of $T_{l+1}$ at $x$. Then $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D$ and $\phi(x)=\min _{z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D} \phi(z)$. From $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $T_{l+1}: \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$, we have $\left\{T_{l+1}^{m} x\right\} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$. Again from the closedness and convexity of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$, we have $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right)$. Note that from the $w$-l.s.c. of $\phi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{l+1} x_{n}\right\|=0$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(y) & \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T_{l+1}^{m_{j}} x\right) \leq \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T_{l+1}^{m} x\right) \\
& =\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-T_{l+1}^{m} x\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|T_{l+1}^{m} x_{n}-T_{l+1}^{m} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} k_{m}^{2} \operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}=\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2} \\
& =\min _{z \in K} \phi(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

due to $x \in D$. This shows that $y$ belongs to $D$ and hence $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D$. Since $x \in W$, i.e., $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D$ and $\phi(x)=\min _{z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D} \phi(z)$, from the last inequality it follows that

$$
\phi(y) \leq \operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}=\min _{\substack{l \\ z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D}} \phi(z)
$$

Thus $y \in W$. This implies that $W$ has the property $(\mathrm{P})$ for $T_{l+1}$. Consequently, all conditions in Lemma 2.4 are fulfilled. According to Lemma $2.4, T_{l+1}$ has a fixed point in $W$, i.e., $F\left(T_{l+1}\right) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. This shows that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{l+1} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap D \neq \emptyset$. So $D \cap F \neq \emptyset$ where $F:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$.

According to $D \cap F \neq \emptyset$, we take $p \in D \cap F$ and $t \in(0,1)$. Then $(1-t) p+t x \in K$ for any $x \in K$. Thus, $\phi(p) \leq \phi((1-t) p+t x)$, and using Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{\phi((1-t) p+t x)-\phi(p)}{t}=\frac{\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p+t(p-x)\right\|^{2}-\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}}{t} \\
& \leq \frac{\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left[\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 t\left\langle p-x, j\left(x_{n}-p+t(p-x)\right)\right\rangle\right]-\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}}{t} \\
& =-2 \operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\langle x-p, j\left(x_{n}-p-t(x-p)\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\langle x-p, j\left(x_{n}-p-t(x-p)\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Since $K$ is bounded and $j$ is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of $E$, letting $t \rightarrow 0$ we have that

$$
\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\langle x-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in K
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with common sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$, we conclude that for all $x^{*} \in F:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{n}-T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, j\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle x_{n}-x^{*}-\left(T_{i}^{n} x_{n}-T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right), j\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \geq-\left(k_{n}-1\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$, we have that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}
$$

which implies that

$$
x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}=-\frac{s_{n}}{t_{n}}\left(x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=-\frac{1-t_{n}}{t_{n}}\left(x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Hence from (15) we obtain for all $x^{*} \in F$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle & =-\frac{t_{n}}{1-t_{n}}\left\langle x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}, j\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{1-t_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K$ is bounded, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\alpha)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\langle x_{n}-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(p), j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(x_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus using (14) and (16) we derive $\operatorname{LIM}_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=0$. Consequently, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow p$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To fulfil the proof, suppose that there is another subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{l}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges strongly to (say) $q \in K$. Then $q$ is a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}$ by the hypothesis that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Noticing $x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow p$ and setting $x^{*}=q$, we infer from (16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle p-f(p), j(p-q)\rangle \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, noticing $x_{n_{l}} \rightarrow q$ and setting $x^{*}=p$, we infer from (16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle q-f(q), j(q-p)\rangle \leq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (17) with (18) yields that

$$
\|p-q\|^{2} \leq\langle f(p)-f(q), j(p-q)\rangle \leq \alpha\|p-q\|^{2}
$$

which implies that $p=q$ due to $\alpha \in\left[0,1\right.$ ). Therefore, $x_{n} \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \in F$ is unique. Again, using (16), we can readily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p-f(p), j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $p$ is the unique solution of the variational inequality (13). This completes the proof.
Corollary 3.2. Let E be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E$, and $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with common sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$. Let $u \in K$ be fixed, $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1)$ such that (a) $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (b) $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \frac{1}{k_{n}}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$. Then
(i) for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} u+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}
$$

where $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$; and if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, then
(ii) the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}$.

Proof. In this case the map $f: K \rightarrow K$ defined by $f(x)=u \forall x \in K$ is a strict contraction with constant $\alpha=0$. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1. For the case of $N=1$, in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have proven $F\left(T_{1}\right) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ where $F\left(T_{1}\right)$ is not necessarily convex. Hence by the careful analysis of the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can see that the following consequence is valid.

Corollary 3.3. Let E be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E, T: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$, and let $f: K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1)$ such that (a) $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (b) $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \frac{1-\alpha}{k_{n}-\alpha}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$. Then
(i) for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} x_{n}
$$

and if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$, then
(ii) the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
p \in F(T) \text { such that }\left\langle(I-f) p, j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F(T) .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $E$ be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E,\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with common sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$, and let $f: K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\},\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in ( 0,1 ) such that (a) $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (b) $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \xi_{n}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t_{n}\right)=\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$, where $\xi_{n}=\min \left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{k_{n}-\alpha}, \frac{1}{k_{n}}\right\}$. For an arbitrary $z_{0} \in K$ let the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be iteratively defined by (9). Then
(i) for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}
$$

where $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$; and if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{i} z_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, then
(ii) the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
p \in F \text { such that }\left\langle(I-f) p, j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F,
$$

where $F=\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
Proof. Part (i) has already been proved in Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{i} z_{n}\right\|=0$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, and $F \neq \emptyset$. We proceed to prove part (ii). Let $n>m$. Then, from (12) we get

$$
x_{m}-z_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}\right)\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)+\frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\left(f\left(x_{m}\right)-z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left(T_{r_{m}}^{m} x_{m}-z_{n}\right)
$$

We follow the line of the argument in [8]. Applying inequality (11), we estimate as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}\right)\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left(T_{r_{m}}^{m} x_{m}-z_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-z_{n}, j\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}\right)\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left(T_{r_{m}}^{m} x_{m}-T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left(T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}-z_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-z_{n}, j\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|+\frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\|T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\right]^{2}+2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-z_{n}, j\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)\right\rangle } \\
= & \left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right) \frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|\left\|T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \\
& +\frac{t_{m}^{2}}{k_{m}^{2}}\left\|T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\left[\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-x_{m}, j\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)\right\rangle+\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
\leq & {\left[2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}+\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}\right]\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\left\{2\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right) \frac{t_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\|x_{m}-z_{n}\right\|\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{t_{m}^{2}}{k_{m}^{2}}\left\|T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\right\}+2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-x_{m}, j\left(x_{m}-z_{n}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K$ is bounded, for some constant $M>0$, it follows that

$$
\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-x_{m}, j\left(z_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\rangle \leq \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}-\left(1-2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\right)}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}} M+\frac{M\left\|z_{n}-T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}\right\|}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}} .
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}-\left(1-2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\right)}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}} & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}-\left(1-\frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\right)^{2}}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}}+\frac{s_{m}}{2 k_{m}}\right\} \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{k_{m}}{2 s_{m}}\left(2-\frac{1}{k_{m}}-\frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}+\frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\right)+\frac{s_{m}}{2 k_{m}}\right\} \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{t_{m}\left(k_{m}-1\right)}{2\left(1-t_{m}\right)}\left(2-\frac{2-t_{m}}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)+\frac{1-t_{m}}{2 k_{m}}\right\} \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f\left(x_{m}\right)-x_{m}, j\left(z_{n}-x_{m}\right)\right\rangle & \leq \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}-\left(1-2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\right)}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}} M+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M\left\|z_{n}-T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}\right\|}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}} \\
& =\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{m}}+t_{m}\right)^{2}-\left(1-2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}\right)}{2 \frac{s_{m}}{k_{m}}} M
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{i} z_{n}\right\|=0$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}\right\| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left\|z_{n}-T_{r_{m}} z_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{r_{m}} z_{n}-T_{r_{m}}^{2} z_{n}\right\|+\cdots+\left\|T_{r_{m}}^{m-1} z_{n}-T_{r_{m}}^{m} z_{n}\right\|\right\}=0
$$

In terms of Theorem 3.1, $x_{m} \rightarrow p \in F$, which solves the variational inequality (13). Since $j$ is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of $E$, in the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n}-p\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from the iterative process (9) and Lemma 2.1, we estimate as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)\left(z_{n}-p\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\left(T_{r_{n}}^{n} z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\langle f\left(z_{n}\right)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\|T_{r_{n}}^{n} z_{n}-p\right\|\right]^{2}+2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\|f\left(z_{n}\right)-f(p)\right\|\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\| } \\
& +2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\|+2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}\left(\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}\right)+2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1-2 \frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{2}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \frac{\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1-2 \frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{2}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}} & =\frac{\left(1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}\right)^{2}-\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{s_{n}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}} \\
& =\frac{\left(-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}+\frac{1}{k_{n}}-t_{n}\right)\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}-\frac{\frac{s_{n}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}-\frac{\frac{s_{n}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}}}{1-\frac{\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (21) for some constant $M>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\frac{\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\frac{\frac{s_{n}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \frac{\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\frac{s_{n}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{k_{n}\left(k_{n}-s_{n} \alpha\right)} M \\
& +2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}-s_{n} \alpha}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

since $K$ is bounded. Now putting

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)}{1-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{n}=\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)^{-1}\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{-1}\left\{\frac{s_{n}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{k_{n}^{2}} M+2 \frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}\left\langle f(p)-p, j\left(z_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle\right\}
$$

we rewrite (22) as follows:

$$
\left\|z_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{n} \gamma_{n} .
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t_{n}\right)=\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$, we deduce that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\right.$ $\left.\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)=\infty$ and hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=\infty$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}\left(\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}}(1-\alpha)-\frac{t_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)}{k_{n}}\right)^{-1}\left(2-\frac{s_{n} \alpha}{k_{n}}-\frac{1}{k_{n}}+t_{n}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}
$$

and hence limsup $\sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n} \leq 0$. Consequently, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $z_{n} \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.5. Let $E$ be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E$, and $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}: K \rightarrow K$ be $N$ asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with common sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$. Let $u \in K$ be fixed, $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1)$ such that (a) $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (b) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1,\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \frac{1}{k_{n}}\right), \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t_{n}\right)=\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$. Define the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ iteratively by $z_{0} \in K$,

$$
z_{n+1}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) z_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} u+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} z_{n}
$$

where $n=l_{n} N+r_{n}$ for some unique integers $l_{n} \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r_{n} \leq N$. Then
(i) for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} u+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T_{r_{n}}^{n} x_{n}
$$

and, if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T_{i} z_{n}\right\|=0, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$ and $F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is convex for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, then
(ii) $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right\}$.

If $N=1$ then the following corollary follows immediately from Remark 3.1 and Theorem 3.4.
Corollary 3.6. Let E be a real Banach space with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm possessing uniform normal structure, $K$ be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of $E, T: K \rightarrow K$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset[1, \infty)$ such that $\sup _{n \geq 1} k_{n}<\sqrt{N(E)}$, and let $f: K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with constant $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1)$ such that (a) $s_{n}+t_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (b) $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n} \subset\left(0, \xi_{n}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t_{n}\right)=\infty$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_{n}-1}{1-t_{n}}=0$, where $\xi_{n}=\min \left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{k_{n}-\alpha}, \frac{1}{k_{n}}\right\}$. For an arbitrary $z_{0} \in K$ let the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be iteratively defined by

$$
z_{n+1}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) z_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(z_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} z_{n} .
$$

Then
(i) for each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique $x_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
x_{n}=\left(1-\frac{1}{k_{n}}\right) x_{n}+\frac{s_{n}}{k_{n}} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{t_{n}}{k_{n}} T^{n} x_{n}
$$

and, if in addition, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|=0$, then
(ii) the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n}$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality:

$$
p \in F(T) \text { such that }\left\langle(I-f) p, j\left(p-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in F(T)
$$

Remark 3.2. (i) Since every nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically nonexpansive, our Corollaries 3.3 and 3.6 hold for the case when $T$ is simply nonexpansive. In this case, $k_{n}=1 \forall n \geq 1$, our viscosity iterative schemes coincide essentially with Shahzad and Udomene's viscosity iterative schemes in [5]. As pointed out in [5, p. 566, Remarks (B)], the boundedness requirement on $K$ can be removed from the above Corollaries 3.3 and 3.6 (see [4]); $k_{n}=1 \forall n \geq 1$ and the conditions: $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|=0$ are satisfied. The choice of $t_{n}$ is as follows: $t_{n}=1-\frac{1}{n}$.
(ii) Since every uniformly smooth Banach space has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and possesses uniform normal structure (see e.g., $[2,10,11,14,15]$ ), our Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 , proved for the more general class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and in the more general real Banach spaces considered here are significant improvements on the results of [4], and hence of [3]. Meantime, our Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 extend Theorem 3.1 and 3.3 of [5] to new viscosity iterative schemes and to the case of a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings.
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